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## PREFACE

The text and numbering of this edition is, with one or two trifling alterations in punctuation, that of Dindorf, in the Poctae Scenici (the stereotyped edition of 1865). The editions to which most reference has been made are those of Elmsley ${ }^{1}$, Pflugk, Bothe and Mr Paley. Indebtedness to the three first has in most cases of importance, and to the last, it is believed, in all cases, been acknowledged.

The Critical Commentary does not profess to do more than notice and put into handy shape such of the more important or more instructive variations of text, as the more advanced student should generally be acquainted with. For further cletails the full critical commentary of Pflugk can be consulted; for an account of the older editions, the Preface of Elmsley; and for an account of the MSS., Pflugk, Prooemium ad finem. The readings of the Aldine edition or of the MSS. are for brevity referred to as "orig."

The Explanatory Notes, it is hoped, will be found sufficiently comprehensive for the more advanced students: but the aim has also been to leave unnoticed no point ${ }^{3}$

> 1 The minute and yet extensive learning of Elmsley is marvellous. In such labours is the foundation of all our knowledge. But for a loftily contemptuous estimate of them, it amuses one to refer to the late Lord Lytton's Pelham, chapter LXIII, towards the end of the
second paragraph.
${ }^{2}$ For beginners this play is well adapted. The dialogue is simple, idiomatic, and spirited ; the choruses are fine and not complicated; the difficult passages are but few; and the theme is noble.
which would be likely to present difficulties to those in an earlier stage ${ }^{1}$.

Of the parallel passages referred to in the notes, the more important have, to save trouble, been quoted at length; the numbering of the lines being in all cases that of the "Poetae Scenici." But the careful reader will of course work with this volume, as well as grammar and lexicon, by his side.

Passages have only been translated where it seemed that the point to be brought out could be most simply explained by translation. In other cases the student has been left to do his own work.

The chorus-metres, since the few peculiarities in them have been noticed in the accessible edition of Mr Paley, have been left without comment. A full scheme or map of each is given in Pflugk.

To Mr A. W. W. Dale, Fellow and Classical Lecturer of Trinity Hall, I am much indebted for careful revision of the notes, both in MS. and in proof, and for the references to Madvig and Goodwin. The Explanatory Notes on lines 120 and 384 are his; as also those on lines $186,213,232$, $330,336,409,439,466,479$; and portions of a dozen others.
E. A. B.

## Trinity Hall, March, 188 r .

${ }^{1}$ These should be taught to notice the reason for and construction of every indirect mood, participle, preposition, and $\mu \eta^{\prime}$ : prepositions, whether apart or in composition; participles, whether concessive, as in $733, \mathrm{~S}_{14}, 999$; causal, as in 757 ; or forming dis-
guised protases of conditional sentences, as in 732. It is also excellent practice, with or without the book, to analyse and to paraphrase the dialogue ; and thus to bring out the points of those complex retorts and hinted arguments which abound in a Greek Tragedy.

## INTRODUCTION

The Heracleidue of Euripides differs from most Greek tragedies in the fact that its subject ${ }^{1}$, though drawn from the usual cycle and period of dramatic legend, The sutject is is treated with a direct eye to contemporary events.
In this it resembles the Persae ${ }^{2}$ of Aeschylus. The Pirsae is, indeed, a finer play, since it was written in more stirring times, when a momentous crisis had united in a common aim the states of Hellas, and roused to finer consciousness the patriotism of Athens. But again, by the war with Sparta, had been evoked an enthusiasm of nationality, more limited but no less strong. To this emotion, utterance was given by the Hiracleidae, which, written in the tension of strife, abounds with allusions, encouragements and appeals to the love of country and the championship of the weak ${ }^{3}$. Conspicuous amongst these is the following :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ảeí } \pi 0 \theta^{\prime} \eta \text { च̈ } \delta \epsilon \text { үaîa toîs ả } \mu \eta \chi \text { ávots }
\end{aligned}
$$

a couplet which gives noble and epigrammatic expression to a
${ }^{1}$ Mr Paley, judging ( $a$ ) from the shortness of the play, (b) from the fewness and brevity of its choruses, thinks that the Heracleidae, like the Alcestis and probably also the Rhesus, was the fourth in a tetralogy; thus filling the place of the usual Satyric drama, such as the Cyclops. These plays he calls Pro-Satyric. His view seems to be supported by the quasi-comic
touches in the scene where old Iolaus arms for battle: though possibly their effect was intended to be entirely pathetic.
${ }^{2}$ For similar plots Mr Paley refers to Aesch.Suppl., Eur. Suppl., and Soph. Oed. Col.
${ }^{3}$ Compare lines 62, 304-306, 957 , and especially $28-2$ SS, and 352.
principle of action which our own country has boasted to be her traditional aim.

Scene as well as subject must have kindled the ardour of the audience: since Marathon, where Athens, as
so is the scene, the champion of Hellas, had turned the tide of barbarism, is pictured as destined to be threatened, and in fact was at that moment threatened, by those whose fathers had been saved by Athens.

Besides the patriotic nature of subject and of scene, prominence is given to three national characteristics on so are the vir- which the Athenians specially plumed themselves: Piety to the gods, Patronage of the miserable, and Pride in noble blood. Athens, as a Democracy, proud of the universal suffrage of her citizens, justifies and founds that pride on her faith in their nobility of manners and of hereditary instinct.

Thus the subject, the prevalent underlying idea, and as it were the hero of the drama, is Athens, in her attiIn fact, The tude or character of free champion of the oppressed. nation is the hero.

It is true that at different times our sympathies are concentrated now on the troubles of Demophon as a constitutional ruler, now on the heroic self-sacrifice of Macaria, now on the equally heroic bearing of the doomed Eurystheus; and that Iolaus, who is the most prominent and continuous actor, is in a sense the hero. But the characters in the plot are meant to excite in themselves and in their time an interest wholly secondary to that aroused by the suggested parallel with contemporary events.

The disjointed nature of the Plot ${ }^{1}$ has been much complained of. It has been asserted that the interest ceases Is the plot when the climax is reached, when the sacrifice of
faulty? Macaria secures the victory of Athens; and that the rest is detail, foreseen already, and wearisome. To this complaint the following should be sufficient answers: that to the then Athenian audience the details of the consequent Athenian victory, culminating in the Pro-Athenian Prophecy of

[^0]Eurystheus, was a most exciting consummation: and that to create this excitement, and not to invent a technically correct plot, was probably the main intention of the author.

If it may be again objected, that the story, as a whole, thus constituted, is not a true tragedy: there can at least be no doubt of the artistic correctness of the $\begin{gathered}\text { Is the play a } \\ \text { legitimate } \text { 'Tra- }\end{gathered}$ several themes: or that the plight and deliverance gedy? of the Heracleidae, the self-sacrifice of Macaria, and the fearless death of Eurystheus, are each of them worthy subjects of tragedy: while the references to the existing life-struggle of Athens lend as it were continuity to the whole, and wrap it all in a tragic atmosphere. This conclusion will be confirmed by careful collation of the plot, taken both in sections and in its entirety, with the canons laid down in Aristotle's definition of Poetry (Poetics, c. 6):- ${ }^{\prime \prime} \sigma \tau \iota \nu$ o乞̃ข т $\rho a \gamma \omega o ̂ i a ~ \mu i ́ \mu \eta \sigma \iota s ~ \pi \rho a ́ \xi \epsilon \omega s ~ \sigma \pi o v-~$


 "Tragedy, then, is an imitation of an action that is important, entire, and of a proper magnitude ; by language embellished and rendered pleasurable, but by different means in different parts; in the way, not of narration, but of action; effecting, through pity and terror, the correction and refinement of such passions."

As minor points it may be noticed (I) that the proverbial Athenian delight in minute argument and litigation is gratified throughout the play. (1) By the dispute Litigation in of Iolaus and Demophon with the herald ; (2) by
the perplexed debate which Demophon holds with himself how he may reconcile his championship of the refugees with a constitutional policy; (3) by the enforced admiration of the noble death of the enemy Eurystheus, and the excellent case which he is made to establish for himself.

And again (II) that two principles of International Law seem so clearly alluded to that we may fairly assume them to have existed, at least as tacit customs,
and International Law. in the time of Euripides. (I) The Argive claim to
the persons of the Heracleidae is (line 139 ) grounded on a presumed practice of the extradition of political criminals. (2) The Athenians are made to state, as an exceptional fact (line 956), which they take credit for, that they kill only in fair fight, and do not put to death their prisoners of war.

A summary of the Plot will be found at the end of the text: and the probable date of the production of the play will be gathered from the second and third paragraphs of the summary of the Prooemium of Pflugk which here follows.

## Summary of Parts of Pflugk's Prooemiunn.

It has been said that the Heracleidae is bad both in plot and in treatment. This statement is unfair. A great Justification of author may infringe the variable, though not the
the plot. fundamental, laws of tragedy. He may set a precedent which need not be followed. He may write, that is, for once, and if the times demand it, "ad captandam auram popularem, et temporis causa." Such work we should consider "quasi spectemus fabulam, non quasi legamus." The design of the plot, its national and temporary character, was deliberate The momentousness of the crisis justifies that design.

Boeckh, from lines 284 sq. (cf. also 353 sq ., and 759 sq .), conjectures the Heracleidae to have been written Ol .

Date, according to Eueckh. 90. 3, B.C. $418^{1}$, "quum rupto foedere Argivi pacem cum Laconibus ferrent, Atheniensibus autem bellum inferrent." Cf. Thuc. v. 76 sq . In the following year, the popular party was restored at Argos, and peace again made between Argos and Athens. Cf. Thuc. v. $82{ }^{2}$.
${ }^{1}$ This is the date to which Mr Paley leans. Argos, he thinks, is attacked for entering into a treaty with Sparta; while Sparta is reminded that Athens had protected from Argos the ancestors of the

Spartan kings.
${ }_{2}$ Cf. Thirlwall's History of Grecce, c. Xxiv. pp. 345. 352-5 (Cabinet Encyclopaedia edition) ; and Grote, c. Lvi. pp. $3^{62-370}$ (edition of 1870 ).

But Bocckh, in regarding the play to refer to an outbreak of hostilities with Argos, relies on individual passages. Taken as a whole, the play points to enmity not so Dateacco. much against Argos as against Sparta. Against
Argos it was momentary; against Sparta, deep and long. (1) The Spartans had notoriously broken their pledges, instance Plataeae. (2) From line 377 it would seem that peace had been broken, and that the Athenians wished to seem driven to war against their will. (3) The inroads of Sparta are alluded to in line 1034. It is probable that Euripides wished to protest against a threatened violation of the tetrapolis. (t) The mention of Sparta by name is in line 742 forcibly dragged in. The frequent mention of Argos as an enemy was a necessity of the plot: but the audience would take the allusions as referring to Sparta, whom they hated most and longest. The date, then, judging from (2), is probably neither earlier than Ol. S7. $\frac{2}{3}$, B.C. 43 I , $\mathrm{o}^{1}$, nor much later than Ol. 88. 2, B.C. 427.

At any rate, the regularity of the metre proves it to be one of the earlier plays of Euripides. Cf. note on line 2 II
[Pflugk, in the course of his Prooemium, amongst other subjects, further observes: (1) The Athenians thoroughly believed the legend that the Heracleidae had been saved by them. Cf, the Decree in Dem. de Cor. § 186 ; and references in Pi. Pr. p. 9. The Peloponnesians in their annual inroads abstained from places at which the Heracleidae were said to have found refuge. Cf. Diod. Sic. XII. 45. (2) To illustrate the Athenian passion for oracles during the war, P?ugk refers to Ar. Equitis $797,965,1002$; and Thuc. 11. 54. See also Ar. Aves
${ }^{1}$ B.C. 43r. The date of the commencement of the Peloponnesian war. Cf. Thirlwall, end of c. XIX. and beginning of c. xx . ; Grote, c. Xlviri.-We know that the Medza, the oldest surviving tragedy of Euripides (with the exception of the Rhesus), was written B.C. 432. The subsequent plays were therefore written during the war which broke out B.C.

43 r . The date of the Heracleidae can therefore be assigned to either about B.C. 43 , or to B.C. 418 : to the former date, if the allusions in the play are taken as referring to the outbreak of the war with Sparta; to the latter, if it is thought that the poet's object was to protest against the treaty of B. C. 418 between Sparta and Argos.
passim. To gratify this passion, Euripides has here invented the oracle which he puts into the mouth of Eurystheus: whom, in the teeth of the tradition, he saves from dying at the hands of Iolaus. (3) Pflugk defends the Heracleidae against the promiscuous abuse of Schlegel (de art. dram. Vol. I. p. 260). (4) He combats the opinion of Hermann, who argued (see Pf. Pro. p. 1I) that a portion of the Heracleidae, supposed to have contained the lamentations and other sequel on the death of Macaria, had been omitted. These would have been foreign to the purpose of the play, which is (a) to display the pietas of Athens, (b) to prophesy her success. (Ar. Eq. 214, which is said by the Scholiast in loc. to be taken from Eur. Heracl., was probably quoted from a similar play, the lost Tolaus of Sophocles.)]

## Note on the Dramatis Personae.

I. Protagonistes = first Iolaos, then Eurystheus.
II. Deuteragonistes = first Demophon, then Alcmena.
III. Tritagonistes $=$ (in order) Copreus, Macaria, Attendant, and Herald.
IV. кшфà $\pi \rho o ́ \sigma \omega \pi a$, Acamas, who accompanies Demophon ; and the remaining children of Heracles.

The story is, as usually, represented as occurring in one day and at one place (Marathon): and, to preserve this dramatic necessity, the interval necessary for the absence of Demophon is hurried over. He departs at 352 to make the military preparations which, on his return in 389 , he announces as completed.

## HPAKAEIDAI．

## TA TO؟ $\triangle$ PAMATOミ ПPOミ $\Omega$ ПA

IOMAOE．
KOПPETг．
XOPOE．
A $Ю О \Lambda \Lambda \Omega \mathrm{~N}$ ．
makapia．
ӨЕРАПתN．
AAKMHNH．
АГГЕлOL．
EイPrミeEř．

## TMO@E $\Sigma$ Iミ.

















## IIPAK $\Lambda E I \Delta A I$.

## IOAAOE.

 ò $\mu$ è $\nu$ Síкаıos тoîs $\pi$ é $\lambda a s ~ \pi \epsilon ́ \phi u \kappa ’ ~ a ̉ \nu \eta ̀ \rho, ~$
 $\pi о ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota ~ \tau ’ ~ a ้ \chi \rho \eta \sigma \tau о s ~ к а i ̀ ~ \sigma v \nu a \lambda \lambda a ́ \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu ~ \beta a \rho i ' s, ~$




 ขaíє, тà кєívov тє́кv’ є́ $\chi \omega \nu$ ن́тò $\pi \tau \epsilon \rho \circ i ̂ s$ $\sigma \omega \dot{\zeta} \omega$ тáס', av̉тòs $\delta є o ́ \mu \in \nu о \varsigma ~ \sigma \omega т \eta \rho i a s$.


 $\psi \nu \chi \grave{\eta} \delta^{\prime} \epsilon \in \sigma \omega ́ \theta \eta$. $\quad \phi \in \dot{\gamma} \gamma \sigma \mu \in \nu \delta^{\prime} \dot{a} \lambda \omega \dot{\omega} \mu \in \nu \circ \iota$,
 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau о i ̂ s ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ a ̈ \lambda \lambda о \iota s ~ к а i ̀ ~ \tau o ́ \delta ’ ~ E v ̉ \rho v \sigma \theta \epsilon v ̀ s ~ к а к о i ̂ s ~$






$$
\mathrm{I}-2
$$

 $\sigma \mu \iota \kappa \rho o v ̀ s ~ \delta e ̀ ~ \tau o v ́ \sigma \delta є ~ к а i ̀ ~ \pi a \tau \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \eta \tau \omega \mu e ́ v o v ৎ, ~$

 $\kappa a \grave{~ \sigma \nu ̀ \nu ~ к а к \omega ิ s ~ \pi \rho a ́ \sigma \sigma о v \sigma \iota ~ \sigma v \mu \pi \rho a ́ \sigma \sigma \omega ~ к а к \omega ि ऽ, ~}$
 " í $\delta \epsilon \sigma \theta$ ', є̇ $\pi \epsilon \iota \delta \grave{\eta} \pi a \iota \sigma i ̀ \nu ~ o v ̉ \kappa ~ \epsilon ै \sigma \tau \iota \nu ~ \pi a т \eta ̀ \rho, ~$



 $\pi \rho o \sigma \omega \phi \epsilon \lambda \hat{\eta} \sigma a \iota^{\circ} \pi \epsilon \delta i ́ a ~ \gamma \grave{a} \rho$ т $\eta \sigma \delta \epsilon \chi$ Өovòs












 ผึ тє́кขa тє́кขa, $\delta \epsilon \hat{v} \rho о$, $\lambda a \mu \beta a ́ \nu є \sigma \theta^{\prime}$ є’ $\mu \hat{\omega} \nu$
 $\sigma \tau \epsilon ' \chi о \nu \tau ’ \in \notin \phi^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{a} \varsigma$, ov̉ $\delta \iota \omega \kappa o ́ \mu \in \sigma \theta^{\prime}$ ข̈то,
$\pi a ́ \sigma \eta s ~ a ̀ \lambda \eta ̂ \tau a \iota ~ \gamma \eta ̂ s ~ a ̉ \pi \epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \eta \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o \iota . ~$




## KOMPETE.





 єis "Apros, ov̂ $\sigma \in \lambda \epsilon \dot{v} \sigma \iota \mu o s ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \epsilon \iota ~ \delta i ́ \kappa \eta$.













## XOPOミ.


є̈ $\sigma \tau \eta \kappa \epsilon ; ~ \pi о і ' a \nu ~ \sigma \nu \mu \phi о \rho a ̀ \nu ~ \delta \epsilon i \xi \in \iota ~ \tau a ́ \chi a ;$
IO. i'ठєтє $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu$ र'ि $\rho о \nu \tau$ ’

XO. $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o \hat{v} \pi o \tau ' \epsilon ่ \nu \gamma \hat{\eta} \pi \tau \hat{\omega} \mu a$ $\delta \dot{v} \sigma \tau \eta \nu \circ \nu \pi i \tau \nu \epsilon \varsigma$;



## ETPIIIIDOT

 $\xi$ ย่ขокоу $\dot{\eta} \lambda \theta \in \varsigma$
$\lambda a o ́ v ; ~ \geqslant ̀ ~ \pi \epsilon ́ \rho a \theta \epsilon \nu$ á $\lambda i \not \omega \pi \lambda a ́ \tau a$

IO．ov่ $\nu \eta \sigma \iota \omega ́ \tau \eta \nu, \omega^{*} \xi \in \nu o \iota, \tau \rho i \beta \omega$ ßiov，

XO．oैขоца тí $\sigma \epsilon$ ，خє́ $\rho \circ \nu$ ，





 iкє́таı $\sigma \in ́ \theta \in \nu \quad \tau \epsilon \kappa a \grave{~ \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \epsilon s ~ a ̀ \phi \iota \gamma \mu e ́ v o u . ~}$
XO．$\tau i ́ \chi \rho \in ́ o s, \eta \geqslant \lambda o ́ \gamma \omega \nu$





 $\kappa a i \quad \mu \grave{\eta} \beta \iota a i \not \varphi$
$\chi \epsilon \iota \rho \grave{\imath} \delta a \iota \mu o ́ \nu \omega \nu$ àmo入ı$\pi \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu \quad \sigma \phi^{\prime}$ є́ $\delta \eta \eta^{\circ}$ то́тvia ๆà $\Delta i ́ к а ~ \tau a ́ \delta ’ ~ o v ̉ ~ \pi є i ́ \sigma є \tau a l . ~$
 105

XO．${ }^{\circ} \theta \in o \nu \quad i \kappa \epsilon \sigma i ́ a \nu$ $\mu \epsilon \theta \in i v a \iota \quad \pi o ́ \lambda \in \iota \quad \xi \in ้ \nu \omega \nu \quad \pi \rho о \sigma \tau \rho о \pi a ́ \nu$ ．
 єủßou入ías тv 犭óvтa $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ à $\mu$ eivovos．


## HPAK $\Lambda E I \Delta A I$.





KO. $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ́ v \delta ' ~ a ̀ ~ a ́ \omega ́ \nu ~ \tau \iota s ~ a ̉ p a ~ \tau o v ̂ \delta \epsilon ~ \tau o v ̂ ~ \lambda o ́ y o v ~$

 'Ака́ $\mu a \varsigma ~ \tau ' ~ a ̉ \delta є \lambda \phi \iota ̀ s, ~ \tau \omega ̂ \nu \delta ' ~ є ่ \pi \eta ́ к о о \iota ~ \lambda о ́ \gamma \omega \nu . ~$

## $\triangle \mathrm{HMOФ} \Omega \mathrm{~N}$.

 ßопброни́баs тйขס’ є่ $\pi$ ’ є่ $\sigma \chi a ́ \rho a \nu ~ \Delta i o ̀ s, ~$


 $\pi a \tau \rho o ́ s ~ \tau \epsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau o ̀ s ~ ' I o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega s ~ \pi a \rho a \sigma \tau a ́ \tau \eta s$.

XO. ßía $\nu \iota \nu$ ov̉тos $\tau \hat{\eta} \sigma \delta^{\prime}$ ảm' є̇ $\sigma \chi a ́ \rho a s ~ a ̈ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$


 є' $\chi \epsilon \bullet$ тà $\delta^{\prime}$ є’ $\rho \gamma а$ ßapßápov $\chi є \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau a ́ \delta \epsilon . ~$










## ETPIIII $\triangle$ OT



 $\pi \circ \lambda \lambda \omega \hat{\nu}$ ठè кä̉ $\lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ є́ $\sigma \tau i a s ~ a ̉ \phi \iota \gamma \mu e ́ v \nu \nu$,




 oủ $\gamma a ̀ \rho ~ \phi \rho є \nu \eta \prime \rho \eta ~ \gamma ่ ~ o ̋ \nu \tau a ~ \sigma ' ~ \epsilon ่ \lambda \pi i \zeta o v \sigma i ́ ~ \pi o v ~ 150 ~$
 $\tau a ̀ s ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta{ }^{\prime}$ ảßoúخovs $\xi$ บифорàs катоиктєєîv.
 ŋ̀ $\mu \hat{s} \tau^{\prime}$ є’á $\sigma a s$ є' $\xi a ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu, \tau i \quad \kappa \epsilon \rho \delta a \nu \in i ̂ s ;$

 $i \sigma \chi \grave{\nu}$ ä $\pi a \sigma a \nu$ т $\hat{1} \delta \epsilon \pi \rho \circ \sigma \theta \epsilon ́ \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota$. $\eta \geqslant \nu ~ \delta ’ ~ \epsilon ’ s ~ \lambda o ́ \gamma o v s ~ \tau \epsilon ~ к а i ~ \tau a ̀ ~ \tau \omega ̂ \nu \delta ’ ~ о і к т і \sigma \mu а т а ~$ $\beta \lambda \epsilon ́ \Psi a s ~ \pi \epsilon \pi a \nu \theta \eta ̣ ̂ s, ~ \epsilon ’ s ~ \pi a ́ \lambda \eta \nu ~ к а Ө i \sigma \tau a \tau a \iota ~$




 Өá廿屯єц עєкроѝs $\pi \epsilon \sigma o ́ \nu \tau а \varsigma ; ~ \eta ̂ ~ к а к о ̀ \nu ~ \lambda o ́ \gamma о \nu ~$ $\kappa \tau \eta \dot{\sigma} \epsilon \iota \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a ̉ \sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \nu, ~ \epsilon i$ Үє́povтos oüขєка
 $\pi a i \delta \omega \nu \quad \tau \epsilon \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta^{\prime} \epsilon i \varsigma \quad$ äv $\nu \lambda o \nu \quad \dot{\epsilon} \mu \beta \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma \epsilon \iota \pi o ́ \delta a$.

 $\kappa а \kappa \omega ิ s ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ ' A \rho \gamma є i ́ o \iota \sigma \iota \nu ~ o i ̂ \delta ' ~ \omega i \pi \lambda \iota \sigma \mu e ́ v o \iota ~$




 $\pi a ́ \theta \eta s$ où тoûto，тoùs ả $\mu \in i ́ v o v a s ~ \pi a \rho o ̀ v ~$ фìдovs è $\lambda$ є́ $\theta a l$ ，то̀̀s какiovas $\lambda a ́ \beta \eta \eta$ ．
 $\pi \rho i ̀ \nu a ̀ \nu ~ \pi a \rho ’$ ả $\mu \phi \circ \hat{\nu} \nu \mu \hat{v} \theta o \nu \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \mu a ́ \theta \eta \quad \sigma a \phi \hat{\omega} s ; 180$














 グ入avขєs iкє́тая $\beta \omega \mu$ iovs ка $ө \eta \mu$ е́vovs．

 à $\lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ oì $\delta^{\prime}$ є่ $\gamma \omega$ тò $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \in ~ \lambda \hat{\eta} \mu a \kappa a \grave{~} \phi v ́ \sigma \iota \nu$ ．
 тои̂ $\zeta \hat{\eta} \nu ~ \pi а \rho ’ ~ \epsilon ่ \sigma \theta \lambda o i ̂ s ~ a ̉ \nu \delta \rho a ́ \sigma \iota \nu ~ \nu о \mu i \zeta \epsilon \tau а \iota . ~$


## ETPIHIDOT









 $\pi a \tau \grave{\eta} \rho$ àv єïך бós $\tau \epsilon$ каì тоúт $\omega \nu$ үєүต́s. $\gamma \epsilon ́ \nu o u s ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu \nu \eta ้ \kappa \epsilon \iota \varsigma ~ ఱ ̂ \delta \epsilon ~ \tau o i ̂ \sigma \delta \epsilon, \Delta \eta \mu о \phi \omega ิ \nu$.


 $\zeta \omega \sigma \tau \hat{\eta} \rho a$ Ө $\eta \sigma \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ тò̀ тодขкто́vov $\mu \epsilon ́ \tau a$,
 $\pi a \tau \epsilon ́ \rho a ~ \sigma o ́ v ~ ' E \lambda \lambda a ̀ s ~ \pi a ̂ \sigma a ~ \tau o v ̂ \tau o ~ \mu a \rho \tau v \rho \in i ̂ . ~$.




 $\beta \lambda \epsilon ́ \psi \circ \nu \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a v ̀ \tau o v ̀ s ~ \beta \lambda \epsilon ́ \psi o v, ~ " \epsilon \lambda \kappa \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \beta i ́ a]. ~{ }_{2} 25$
 $\kappa а \grave{\imath} \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon i o v, \mu \eta \delta a \mu \omega ิ s \dot{\alpha} \tau \iota a ́ \sigma \eta \rho$
 $\gamma \epsilon \nu \circ \hat{v}$ ठè $\tau 0 \hat{\imath} \sigma \delta \epsilon \sigma v \gamma \gamma \epsilon \nu \eta े s, \gamma \epsilon \nu 0 \hat{v}$ фíخos,
 230



 $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \theta \lambda \circ \hat{v} \gamma \epsilon \gamma \omega \hat{\omega} \epsilon \varsigma \quad \delta v \sigma \tau v \chi \circ \hat{\nu} \sigma^{\prime} \dot{a} \nu a \xi i \omega \varsigma$.




 $\pi \rho a ́ \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu$ тар’ $\grave{\eta \mu \omega \nu}$ тои́бסє татрผ́av $\chi a ́ \rho \iota \nu$,

 $\xi \in ́ v o v ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a ̉ \nu \delta \rho o ̀ s ~ \beta \omega \mu o ̀ \nu$, ov̉к є̀ $\lambda \epsilon \cup \theta \epsilon ́ \rho a \nu$




























XO. ä $\pi \epsilon \lambda \theta \epsilon^{*} \kappa a \grave{\imath} \sigma \hat{v} \tau 0 \hat{\delta} \delta \epsilon \mu \eta े \quad \theta i \gamma \eta s, a ̈ \nu a \xi$.
KO. $\sigma \tau \epsilon i ́ \chi \omega^{\circ} \mu l a ̂ s ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ \chi \epsilon \iota \rho o ̀ s ~ a ̀ \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu \grave{s} \mu a ́ \chi \eta$.
 тá $\chi \chi a \lambda \kappa о \nu$ ai $\chi \mu \eta ̀ \nu ~ \delta \epsilon \hat{v} \rho o^{\circ} \mu \nu \rho i ́ o \iota ~ \delta є ́ ~ \mu \epsilon$ $\mu \epsilon ́ v o v \sigma \iota \nu$ ả $\sigma \pi \iota \sigma \tau \hat{\eta} p \epsilon \varsigma$, Ev̉puбӨєús $\tau$ ’ảva
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$\Delta H$. ф $\theta \epsilon i \rho o v$. тò $\sigma o ̀ \nu ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ " A \rho \gamma o s ~ o u ̉ ~ \delta e ́ \delta o c c ' ~ \epsilon ́ \gamma \omega ́ . ~$
 285 ä $\xi \in \iota \nu$ ßía тov́ $\sigma \delta^{\prime}$. ov̉ $\gamma$ à $\rho$ 'A $\rho \gamma \epsilon i \omega \nu$ mó $\lambda \in \iota$

 $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau o ̀ v ~ ' A \rho \gamma \epsilon i \omega \nu$ ' $\mu a ́ \lambda a$ ס' ¿そ̀̀̀s "A $\rho \eta s$ ó Muкпиаі́ $\omega \nu$,
 $\pi a ̂ \sigma \iota ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ o v ̉ т о s ~ к \eta ́ \rho \nu \xi \iota ~ \nu o ́ \mu о \varsigma, ~$



$\psi u \chi \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\eta} \lambda \theta \epsilon \nu$ סıaкvaī $\sigma a \iota$.
IO. ov̉k єै $\sigma \tau \iota ~ \tau о ข ̂ \delta \epsilon ~ \pi a \iota \sigma i ̀ ~ \kappa a ́ \lambda \lambda ı o \nu ~ \gamma \epsilon ́ p a s, ~$



 тò $\delta v \sigma \tau v \chi$ ès $\gamma a ̀ \rho ~ \eta \dot{v} \gamma \epsilon ́ v \epsilon \iota$ ả àv́vєтaı


 ${ }^{305}$

 ن́ $\mu \in i ̂ \varsigma ~ \tau \epsilon \pi a \iota \sigma i$, каì $\pi \epsilon ́ \lambda a s ~ \pi \rho о \sigma є ́ \lambda \theta \epsilon \tau \epsilon$.


 $\sigma \omega \tau \eta ̂ p a s$ àєì каì фìخovs voцi弓єтє,
 $\mu \epsilon \mu \nu \eta \mu \epsilon ́ v o \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \delta^{\prime}, a ̉ \lambda \lambda a ̀$ ф $\iota \lambda \tau \alpha ́ \tau \eta \nu \pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu$






 vं $\psi \eta \lambda \grave{\nu} \nu \dot{a} \rho \omega$ каì $\lambda \in ́ \gamma \omega \nu$ тá $\delta^{\prime} \epsilon \dot{\phi} \phi \rho a \nu \omega$,






 $\sigma \grave{v} \tau \hat{̣}$ ठıкаí $\varphi$ ßoú $\lambda \epsilon \tau a \iota \pi \rho о \sigma \omega \phi \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i ̂ \nu$. 330 тolyàp $\pi$ óvous ठ̀̀ $\mu v \rho i o u s ~ v i \pi \epsilon ̀ \rho ~ \phi i ̀ ~ \omega \nu ~$

 тoıâ̂т' єै $\sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta a i{ }^{\circ} \mu \nu \eta \mu о \nu \epsilon v ่ \sigma \epsilon \tau a \iota ~ \chi a ́ p \iota s . ~$ $\kappa a ̉ \gamma \omega े ~ \mu e ̀ \nu ~ a ̉ \sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ \sigma u ́ \lambda \lambda o \gamma o \nu ~ \pi o \iota \eta \prime \sigma o \mu a \iota$, 335











 $\chi \rho \omega ́ \mu \in \sigma \theta a \quad \sigma v \mu \mu \dot{\chi} \chi \circ \iota \sigma \iota \nu$ 'Aрүєí $\omega \nu$, ă $\nu a \xi$. $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ 玄 $\nu$ خàp " $\mathrm{H} \rho a$ тробтатєî, $\Delta$ iòs $\delta a ́ \mu a \rho$,

 $\nu \iota \kappa \omega \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ \Pi а \lambda \lambda a ̀ s ~ o v ̉ \kappa ~ a ̉ \nu \in ́ \xi є \epsilon \tau a \iota . ~$
 бо̂̂ $\pi \lambda$ éov ov̉ $\mu$ é $\lambda o \nu \tau a l$, $\omega^{\mathcal{B}} \xi \in \in \nu \nu$ ', 'Apró $\theta \epsilon \nu \quad \epsilon \lambda \theta \omega \nu$.
$\mu \epsilon \gamma а \lambda \eta \gamma о р i ́ a \iota \sigma \iota \nu \quad \delta \epsilon ́ \gamma$ ' $\epsilon \mu a ̀ s$
$\phi \rho \in ́ v a s ~ o u ̉ ~ \phi o ß \eta \dot{\sigma} \epsilon \iota \varsigma$.
$\mu \dot{\eta} \pi \omega$ тaís $\mu \epsilon \gamma a ́ \lambda a \iota \sigma \iota \nu$ oüт $\omega$ каї кал入ıхо́роьs 'AӨávaıs
є $\eta$. $\sigma \nu \nu^{\prime} \delta^{\prime}$ ä $\phi \rho \omega \nu$ ö $\tau^{\prime}{ }^{*} А \rho \gamma \epsilon \iota$

òs $\pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu$ є̀ $\lambda \theta \omega ̀ \nu$ ย̇тє́ $\rho a \nu$

$\theta \epsilon \omega ̂ \nu$ iктй $\rho a s$ à $\lambda a ́ \tau a s$

$\xi \in \mathcal{\nu} о \varsigma ~ \omega ̈ \nu ~ \beta \iota a i \omega s$
ฮ̃ $\lambda \kappa \epsilon \iota \varsigma$, ov่ $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \hat{\sigma} \sigma \iota \nu$ є ${ }^{\prime} \xi a \varsigma$,


$\pi a \rho a ́ ~ \gamma ं ~ \epsilon \mathcal{~} \phi \rho o \nu o v ̂ \sigma \iota \nu$;
370
$\epsilon \in \pi \omega \delta$.

$\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \omega, \kappa \in i \quad \pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu$ ク̈ $\xi \in \iota \varsigma$,

ov̉ $\sigma o i ̀ ~ \mu o ́ v \varphi ~ є ้ \gamma \chi o s, ~ o u ̉ \delta ' ~$
iтє́a катá $а \lambda \kappa о ́ s ~ є ̇ \sigma \tau \iota \nu . ~$



$\pi \dot{\lambda} \lambda \iota \nu, \dot{a} \lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \dot{a} \nu a \dot{\sigma} \chi \chi^{\circ}$.
380



 ó $\gamma a ̀ \rho ~ \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma o ̀ s ~ \epsilon u ̉ \tau u \chi \eta े s ~ \tau a ̀ ~ \pi \rho o ́ \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu ~ \omega ̈ \nu ~$385

є’s $\tau a ̀ s$ 'A $\theta$ ท́vas. ả $\lambda \lambda a ̀$ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ фро $\eta \eta \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$


 ̋̋ $\sigma \tau \iota s ~ \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma \epsilon i ̂ \nu ~ \phi \eta \sigma$ ’ є่ $\pi i \sigma \tau a \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \kappa a \lambda \omega \hat{s}$,

 бтратòv, $\lambda \epsilon \pi a i ́ a \nu ~ \delta ’ ~ o ̉ \phi \rho о ́ \eta \nu ~ к а Ө \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \nu о$ s


 каì тả $\mu a ̀ ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \tau о \iota ~ \pi a ́ v \tau ’ ~ a ้ р а р ’ ~ \eta ้ \delta \eta ~ к а \lambda \omega ̂ s^{\circ}$
 є̈ $\sigma \tau \eta \kappa \epsilon \nu$ ois $\chi \rho \eta$ خ тav̂тa $\tau \epsilon ́ \mu \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu$,
$\theta v \eta \pi o \lambda \epsilon i ̂ \tau a \iota \delta^{\prime}$ ä $\sigma \tau v \mu a ́ \nu \tau \epsilon \omega \nu$ vi $\pi$ o,
 $\chi \rho \eta \sigma \mu \omega \hat{\nu}$ ס' ảoıסov̀s mávtas єis êv á $\lambda i \sigma a s$
 $\lambda o ́ \gamma \iota a ~ \pi a \lambda a \iota a ̀, ~ \tau \hat{\eta} \delta \in ~ \gamma \mathfrak{n}$ $\sigma \omega \tau \eta \dot{p} \iota a$.








 $\kappa a i ̀ ~ \nu v ̂ \nu ~ \pi \iota \kappa \rho a ̀ s ~ a ̀ \nu ~ \sigma v \sigma \tau a ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota s ~ a ̂ \nu ~ \epsilon i \sigma i ́ \delta o \iota s, ~$





## HPAK $\Lambda E I \Delta A I$.

 av̉тoí $\tau \epsilon \sigma \omega \theta$ rí $\sigma \epsilon \theta \theta \epsilon \kappa a i ̀ \pi \epsilon ́ \delta o \nu ~ \tau o ́ \delta \epsilon, ~$






 ढ’s $\chi \in i ̂ \rho a ~ \gamma!़ ̂ ~ \sigma v \nu \eta े \Psi a \nu, ~ \epsilon i ̂ \tau a ~ \chi \epsilon \rho \sigma o ́ \theta \epsilon \nu$ $\pi \nu 0 a i ̂ \sigma \iota \nu ~ \eta ’ \lambda a ́ \theta \eta \sigma a \nu$ є่s móvтov $\pi a ́ \lambda \iota \nu$.
 グठŋ $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a ̉ \kappa \tau a i ̂ s ~ o ̋ \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma, ~ \omega s ~ \sigma \epsilon \sigma \omega \sigma \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o \iota . ~$
 є̀ $\lambda \pi i ̀ s ~ \tau o ́ \tau ', ~ o v ̉ ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \lambda o v \sigma a ~ \delta \iota a \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i ̂ \nu ~ \chi a ́ \rho \iota \nu ; ~$





 то̂̂ov ठè үаías ёркоя ov̉к àфíү $\mu \in \theta a$;

 $\pi \lambda \eta ̀ \nu$ єl' $\tau \iota \tau \epsilon \in \rho \psi \omega$ тov̀s єُ $\mu o v ̀ s ~ \epsilon ่ \chi \theta \rho o u ̀ s ~ \theta a \nu \omega ́ \nu . ~$
 $\kappa а \grave{\tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ \gamma є \rho а \iota a ̀ \nu ~ \mu \eta \tau \epsilon ́ \rho ' ~ ' А \lambda \kappa \mu \eta ' \nu \eta \nu ~ \pi а т \rho o ́ s . ~}$
 $\tau \lambda \eta \prime \mu \omega \nu$ ठ̀̀ кả $\gamma \omega$, $\pi о \lambda \lambda a ̀ \mu 0 \chi \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma a s$ $\mu a ́ \tau \eta \nu$.




 $\kappa а i ̀ \mu \eta ं \tau \epsilon ~ \kappa เ \nu \delta \dot{v} \nu \in v \in \sigma \omega \theta \eta \dot{\eta} \tau \omega$ тє́ $\mu о \iota$


 бкаוòs خàp àvض́p．тоîs бофоîs $\delta^{\prime}$ єv̉ктòv боф＠̂









 עєа⿱亠䒑⿱亠幺十


 $\chi \rho \eta \sigma \mu \hat{\omega \nu}$ áкоv́баs єiцi каì фóßov $\pi \lambda$ е́ $\omega$ s．

## MAKAPIA．


耳vขаıкi $\gamma a ̀ \rho ~ \sigma \iota ŋ \eta ́ ~ \tau \epsilon ~ к а i ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \sigma \omega ф р о \nu є i ̂ \nu ~$
 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \sigma \hat{\nu} \nu$＇àкоv́ $\sigma a \sigma$＇，＇Ió $\lambda \epsilon \omega \varsigma, \sigma \tau \epsilon \nu a \gamma \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ ，

 $\mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau^{\prime} \dot{a} \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \epsilon$, кả $\mu a v \tau \eta$ 今 $\pi \epsilon \in \rho$


IO. $\omega \pi \pi a \hat{\imath}, \mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau a \sigma^{\prime}$ oủ $\nu \epsilon \omega \sigma \tau i ̀ ~ \delta \grave{~} \tau \epsilon \in \kappa \nu \omega$

i$\mu \hat{\imath} \nu$ סє̀ $\delta o ́ \xi a s ~ \epsilon u ̉ ~ \pi \rho о \chi \omega \rho \hat{\eta} \sigma a \iota ~ \delta o ́ \mu о s ~$

 oủ тâ̂pov ov̉ס̀̀ $\mu$ ó $\sigma \chi o \nu, a ̀ \lambda \lambda a ̀ ~ \pi a \rho \theta e ́ v o \nu ~$
 $\epsilon i$ Хрウ̀ $\mu$ èv $\hat{\eta} \mu \hat{a} s, \chi \rho \eta े ~ \delta \grave{~} \tau \eta \dot{\prime} \nu \delta^{\prime}$ єival $\pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu$. таиิт’ ov̉v ả $\mu \eta \chi$ аขоข̂ $\mu \epsilon \nu$. ои้тє خàp тє́кขа
 $\kappa a ̉ \mu o i ̀ ~ \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ o u ̉ ~ \sigma a \phi \hat{\omega} s, ~ \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota ~ \delta \epsilon ́ ~ \pi \omega s$,

 aưтòs $\delta$ è $\sigma \omega \hat{\sigma} a \iota ~ \tau \eta \dot{\nu} \delta \epsilon \beta$ Кú $\lambda \epsilon \tau a \iota ~ \chi$ Өóva.
MA. $\grave{\epsilon} \nu$ т $\hat{\omega} \delta \epsilon \epsilon \kappa a ̉ \chi o ́ \mu \epsilon \sigma \theta a \quad \sigma \omega \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota ~ \lambda o ́ \gamma \varphi ;$




 $\kappa i ้ \nu \delta v v o \nu ~ \grave{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ oûv $\nu \epsilon$ ' aĭ $\rho \in \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \gamma a \nu$,



 татрòs $\delta$ ’ є̇кєívov фúvтas oủ $\pi \epsilon ф и ́ к а \mu \epsilon \nu$,


## EヘPIHIUO؟

 $\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega s$ ù $\lambda o v ́ \sigma \eta s \quad \chi \epsilon i ̂ p a s ~ \epsilon i s ~ \grave{~ \epsilon} \chi Ө \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \epsilon \sigma \epsilon i \nu$ ， $\kappa a ̈ \pi \epsilon \iota \tau a ~ \delta \epsilon \iota \nu a ̀ ~ \pi a \tau \rho o ̀ s ~ o v ์ \sigma a \nu ~ \epsilon v ่ \gamma \epsilon \nu o u ̂ s ~$ $\pi a \theta o \hat{\sigma} \sigma a \nu$＂Aı$\langle\eta \nu \mu \eta \delta e ̀ \nu \nu \hat{\eta} \sigma \sigma o \nu ~ \epsilon i \sigma \iota \delta \epsilon i v$.






 $\pi о \lambda \lambda o i ̀ ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ \eta ้ \delta \eta ~ \tau \eta \eta \delta \epsilon \epsilon \pi \rho o v ̌ \delta o \sigma a \nu ~ \phi i ́ \lambda o u s . ~$


 àva乡íav．ä入入ך ס̀̀ каі̀ $\pi \rho \epsilon ́ \pi \epsilon є ~ \tau \iota \nu \grave{~}$ $\mu a ̂ \lambda \lambda o \nu ~ \tau a ́ \delta ', ~ ท ̄ \tau \iota \varsigma ~ \mu \eta ̀ ~ ' \pi i ́ \sigma \eta \mu o s ~ \omega ́ s ~ \epsilon ̇ \gamma \omega ́ . ~$ ทं $\gamma \epsilon i ̂ \sigma \theta$＇öтоv $\delta \in \hat{\imath}$ $\sigma \hat{\omega} \mu a$ кат $\theta a \nu \epsilon i ̂ \nu ~ \tau o ́ \delta \epsilon, ~$ $\kappa а i ~ \sigma \tau \epsilon \mu \mu а т о и ̂ т є ~ к а i ~ к а т а ́ \rho \chi є \sigma \theta ', ~ \epsilon i ~ \delta о к є i ́ ~ . ~$





XO．$\phi \epsilon \hat{v} \phi \epsilon \hat{v}$ ，$\tau i ́ \lambda \epsilon \in \xi \omega$ ，$\pi a \rho \theta \in ́ v o v ~ \mu e ́ \gamma a \nu ~ \lambda o ́ \gamma o v ~$ 535 $\kappa \lambda v ́ \omega \nu, a \dot{a} \delta \in \lambda \phi \hat{\omega} \nu$ ท̀ $\pi a ́ \rho o s$ $\theta$ é $\lambda \epsilon \iota ~ \theta a \nu \epsilon i ̂ \nu ;$






 $\pi \alpha ́ \sigma a s ~ a ̉ \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi a ̀ s ~ \tau \eta ᅱ \sigma \delta \epsilon ~ \delta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \rho o ~ \chi \rho \eta ̀ ̀ ~ \kappa a \lambda \epsilon i v$,
 $\sigma \epsilon ̀ ~ \delta ’$ ou ठíкаьov кат $\theta a v \in i ̂ \nu ~ a ̈ \nu \epsilon v ~ \pi a ́ \lambda o v . ~$





IO. $\phi \in \hat{v}$.





MA. $\sigma \circ \phi \hat{\omega} \varsigma \kappa \epsilon \lambda \epsilon v ́ \epsilon \iota \varsigma^{*} \mu \eta ̀$ т $\rho \epsilon ́ \sigma \eta, \quad \mu \iota \alpha ́ \sigma \mu a \tau o s$ тov̉ $\mu о \hat{v} \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \sigma \chi \epsilon \hat{\iota} \nu$, ả $\lambda \lambda^{’}$ є่ $\lambda \epsilon v \theta \epsilon \in \rho \omega s$ $\theta \alpha ́ \nu \omega$.




IO. ov̉k ầ $\delta v \nu a i ́ \mu \eta \nu \quad \sigma \hat{\omega} \pi a \rho \in \sigma \tau a ́ v a \iota ~ \mu o ́ \rho \omega . ~$








$\chi \omega ́ \rho \epsilon \iota \pi \rho \circ \sigma \epsilon \iota \pi \frac{\hat{v} \sigma}{}{ }^{\prime}$ v̈ $\sigma \tau a \tau o \nu \pi \rho o ́ \sigma \phi \theta \epsilon \gamma \mu a$ $\delta \dot{\eta}$.
MA. ${ }^{*} \chi \chi \hat{\imath} \rho \epsilon, \pi \rho \epsilon ́ \sigma \beta v, \chi a i ̂ \rho \epsilon, ~ \kappa a i ̀ ~ \delta i ́ \delta a \sigma \kappa є ́ ~ \mu о \iota ~$
 $\ddot{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \sigma v^{\cdot} \mu \eta \delta \grave{\iota} \nu \quad \mu a ̄ \lambda \lambda o \nu^{*}$ à $\kappa \kappa \in ́ \sigma o v \sigma \iota ~ \gamma a ́ \rho . ~$ $\pi \epsilon \iota \rho \hat{\omega}$ ठè $\sigma \hat{\omega} \sigma a \iota \mu \eta े$ Oavєîv $\pi \rho o ́ \theta \nu \mu o s \omega_{\omega} \nu$. бoì $\pi a i ̂ \delta \epsilon ́ s ~ \epsilon ̇ \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu$ • $\sigma a i ̂ \nu \quad \chi \epsilon \rho o i ̂ \nu ~ \tau \epsilon \theta \rho a ́ \mu \mu \epsilon \theta a$.
 ठıठov̂бav ả้тi $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \epsilon \kappa a \tau \theta a \nu o v \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta \nu$.
$\dot{v} \mu \epsilon i ̂ \varsigma ~ \tau ’, ~ a ̉ \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi \hat{\omega} \nu ~ \dot{\eta} \pi a \rho o v ̂ \sigma^{\prime}$ ó $\mu \iota \lambda i ́ a$,
 ทै ’ $\mu \eta$ خ̀ $\pi a ́ \rho o \iota \theta \epsilon \nu ~ к а \rho \delta i ́ a ~ \sigma ф а \gamma \eta ́ \sigma є \tau а \iota . ~$
 $\tau \iota \mu \hat{\tau} \tau \epsilon \pi а \tau \rho o ̀ s ~ \mu \eta \tau \epsilon ́ \rho ' ~ ' А \lambda \kappa \mu \eta ' \nu \eta \nu ~ \epsilon ́ \mu о \hat{v}$ 585 そ€́vovs $\tau \epsilon \tau$
 $\mu \epsilon ́ \mu \nu \eta \sigma \theta \epsilon \tau \grave{\nu} \sigma \omega \dot{\tau \epsilon} \rho a \nu$ ผs $\theta a ́ \psi a \iota ~ \chi \rho \epsilon \omega ́ \nu$.
 $\dot{v} \mu \hat{\imath} \nu \pi a \rho \in ́ \sigma \tau \eta \nu$, à $\lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \pi \rho o u ้ \theta a \nu o \nu ~ \gamma$ 'ヒ́vous.
 $\kappa a i ̀ \pi a \rho \theta \epsilon \nu \epsilon i ́ a s, ~ \epsilon l ้ ~ \tau \iota ~ \delta \eta ̀ ~ к a \tau a ̀ ~ \chi \theta o \nu o ́ s . ~$







 600 ? $\sigma \grave{\nu} \kappa к а т \hat{\eta} \rho к т а \iota ~ \sigma \hat{\omega} \mu a, \Delta \dot{\eta} \mu \eta \tau \rho о$ ко́р $\nu$. ผ̉ $\pi a i ̂ \delta \epsilon \varsigma$, oiхо́ $\mu \in \sigma \theta a \cdot \lambda \dot{v} \epsilon \tau a \iota ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \eta$


HPAK $\Lambda$ EI $\triangle$ AI．


 $\mu \epsilon i \zeta \omega \nu$ خà ${ }^{\prime}$ äт $\eta$ ．$\sigma \nu \mu \phi о \rho a ̀ ~ \delta e ̀ ~ \kappa a i ~ \tau a ́ \delta \epsilon . ~$
XO．ойтเvá ф $\eta \mu \iota$ $\theta \epsilon \omega ิ \nu$ äтєр oै $\lambda \beta \iota o \nu$ ，ov̉ $\beta a \rho v ́ \pi т о т \mu о \nu$ $a ̈ \nu \delta \rho a \gamma_{\epsilon} \nu_{\epsilon ́ \sigma \theta a \iota}$ ， oủס̀̀ тòv aư тòv à $\epsilon i$ ß $\beta$ ßávaı סó $\mu o \nu$ єu＇тuХíaं $\pi a \rho a ̀ ~ \delta ' ~ a ̈ \lambda \lambda a \nu ~ a ̈ \lambda \lambda a ~$ $\mu о і ̂ \rho a ~ \delta \iota \omega ́ к є \iota$.
 тòv $\delta^{\prime}$ ả入ท่тà єv̉סaípova тєv́ $\chi \epsilon \iota$ ．
 $\dot{a} \pi \omega \dot{\omega} \sigma \epsilon a \iota^{\circ}$ 615
à入入à $\mu a ́ \tau a \nu$ ó $\pi \rho o ́ \theta \nu \mu o s ~ a ̉ \epsilon \grave{~ \pi o ́ v o \nu ~ \epsilon ̈ ~} \xi \in \iota$ ．
 viтєрá $\lambda \gamma \epsilon \iota$ ［ả $\boldsymbol{\tau} \tau \iota \tau \tau$ ． фроитíסa $\lambda \dot{\prime} \pi a$ ． 620
 a $\mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon ́ a \pi \rho o ́ ~ \tau ’ a ̉ \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi \hat{\omega \nu}$ каì $\gamma \hat{a} \varsigma$ ， ov่ $\delta^{\prime}$ ảк $\kappa \epsilon \eta^{\prime} s \nu \iota \nu$

à $\delta^{\prime}$ ả $\rho \in \tau a ̀ ~ \beta a i ́ v \epsilon \iota ~ \delta \iota a ̀ ~ \mu o ́ \chi \theta \omega \nu$.


$\Theta E P A \Pi \Omega N$ ．


IO．$\pi a ́ \rho \epsilon \sigma \mu \in \nu$ ，oïa $\delta \dot{\eta} \gamma^{\prime}$ є่ $\mu \circ \hat{v} \pi a \rho o v \sigma i ́ a$ ．

IO．фроעтís тis $\eta \lambda \lambda \theta^{\prime}$ oikeios，？if $\sigma v \nu \epsilon \iota \chi o ́ \mu \eta \nu$ ．

## EヘPIIIIDOT

$\Theta E$. є̋таıрє́ $\nu v \nu ~ \sigma \epsilon a v \tau o ̀ v, ~ o ̋ \rho \theta \omega \sigma o \nu ~ к a ́ p a . ~$
IO. خє́ $\rho о \nu \tau \epsilon ́ \varsigma ~ \epsilon ̇ \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu ~ к о \nu ̉ \delta a \mu \omega ̂ s ~ \epsilon ่ \rho р \omega ́ \mu \epsilon \theta a$.

IO. $\tau i ́ s ~ \delta ’ ~ \epsilon \hat{i} \sigma v ́ ; ~ \pi o v ̂ ~ \sigma o \iota ~ \sigma v \nu \tau v \chi \omega ̀ \nu ~ a ̉ \mu \nu \eta \mu o \nu \omega \hat{~}$


$\Theta \mathrm{E} . \mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau a \cdot \kappa a \grave{~} \pi \rho o ́ s ~ \gamma ่ ~ \epsilon u ̉ \tau v \chi \epsilon i ̂ s ~ \tau a ̀ ~ \nu v ̂ \nu ~ \tau a ́ \delta \epsilon . ~$

 $\pi a ́ \lambda a \iota ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ \omega ’ o i ́ v o v \sigma a ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ a ̉ \phi \iota \gamma \mu \epsilon ́ v \omega \nu$


## A $\Lambda$ KMHNH.

$\tau i ́ \chi \rho \hat{\eta} \mu^{3}$ äüт $\eta$ s $\pi \hat{a} \nu$ тó $\delta^{\prime}$ є่ $\pi \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \eta \quad \sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \gamma o s ;$
'Ió $\lambda a \epsilon, \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ тís $\sigma$ ' aṽ $\beta \iota a ́ \zeta \epsilon \tau a \iota ~ \pi а р \omega ̀ \nu ~$




 Svoî̀ $\gamma \epsilon \rho$ ćvtoıv oủ ка入ิิs à $\gamma \omega \nu \epsilon \epsilon \hat{\imath}$.



IO. $\sigma \grave{\epsilon}$, $\pi \rho o ́ \sigma \theta \epsilon ~ \nu a o \hat{~ \tau o v ̂ \delta ’ ~ o ̈ \pi \omega s ~ \beta a i \eta s ~ \pi \epsilon ́ \lambda a s . ~}$



 $\pi o v ̂ \nu v ิ \nu$ ä $\pi \epsilon \sigma \tau \iota$; $\tau i ́ s \nu l \nu$ єîpyє $\sigma \nu \mu \phi \circ \rho a ̀$


ӨE. $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \grave{\nu} \kappa \alpha \theta i \zeta \epsilon \iota \tau a ́ \sigma \sigma \epsilon \tau a i ́ \theta^{\prime}$ ò $\nu \grave{\eta} \lambda \theta^{\prime}$ €ै $\chi \omega \nu$.











IO. $\tau i ́ \delta \rho \omega \nu \tau a ; \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \tau a ́ \sigma \sigma o \nu \tau a \pi o \lambda \epsilon \mu i \omega \nu$ $\sigma \tau i \chi a s ;$

 ov̉к à̀ $\theta \dot{\epsilon} \lambda о \iota \mu \iota \pi$ тодє $\mu$ io८ $\sigma \iota ~ \sigma v \mu \beta a \lambda \epsilon i \nu$.









IO. ảخ入’ oưv $\mu a \chi o v ̂ \mu a i ́ ~ \gamma ’ ~ a ̉ p ı \theta \mu o ̀ \nu ~ o v ̉ \kappa ~ \epsilon ̉ \lambda a ́ \sigma \sigma o \sigma \iota . ~$


$\Theta E$. $\delta \rho \hat{a} \nu \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu \nu u ́ \gamma$ 'oủ $\chi$ olós $\tau \epsilon$, ßoú $\lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \delta$ ' i' $\sigma \omega$ s.



## ETPIMI $\triangle$ OT






 тov̀s $\mu$ èv $\mu a ́ \chi \in \sigma \theta a \iota$, тov̀s $\delta e ̀$ è $\delta \in \iota \lambda i a ́ a ~ \mu e ́ v \in \iota \nu$.


 б $\mu \iota \kappa \rho a ̀ ~ \delta ’ ~ o ̀ \nu \eta ́ \sigma є \iota ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu ~ \grave{\eta \mu \epsilon \tau є ́ р а \nu . ~}$
$\chi \rho \eta े ~ \gamma \nu \omega \sigma \iota \mu a \chi \epsilon i ้ \nu ~ \sigma \eta े \nu ~ \hat{\eta} \lambda \iota \kappa i a \nu$,
 $\eta ้ \beta \eta \nu$ ктท่ $\sigma \epsilon \iota ~ \pi a ́ \lambda \iota \nu$ av่ $\theta \iota \varsigma$.







 IO. каì Z $\eta \nu \grave{\imath} \tau \hat{\nu} \nu \sigma \hat{\omega} \nu$, oi̊’ Є่ $\gamma \omega$, $\mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota ~ \pi o ́ \nu \omega \nu$. A.A. $\phi \in \hat{v}$.








## HPAKAEI $\triangle$ AI．


 $\tau \epsilon \cup ́ \chi \eta$ ко́ $\mu \iota \zeta \epsilon, \chi \epsilon \iota \rho i \delta^{\prime}{ }^{\epsilon} \nu \theta \in \varsigma$ ỏgú $\eta \nu$ ， $\lambda a \iota o ́ v ~ \tau ’ ~ \epsilon ’ \pi a \iota \rho \epsilon ~ \pi \hat{\eta} \chi \nu \nu, ~ \epsilon v ̇ \theta \dot{v} \nu \omega \nu \pi o ́ \delta a$ ．
ӨE．$\dot{\eta} \pi a i \delta a \gamma \omega \gamma \epsilon \hat{\nu} \nu ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ o ́ \pi \lambda i ́ \tau \eta \nu ~ \chi \rho \epsilon \omega ं \nu ;$

ӨE．єì $\theta^{\prime}$ ท̉ $\sigma \theta a$ $\delta \nu \nu a \tau o ̀ s ~ \delta \rho a ̂ \nu ~ o ́ \sigma o \nu ~ \pi \rho o ́ \theta \nu \mu o s ~ є i . ~$
IO．є̈тєєүє $\lambda \epsilon \iota \phi \theta \epsilon i \varsigma ~ \delta \epsilon \iota \nu a ̀ ~ \pi \epsilon i ́ \sigma o \mu a \iota ~ \mu a ́ \chi \eta s . ~$


ӨE．ठ́p̂̂ ठоко仑̂vтa $\mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o \nu \hat{\eta} \sigma \pi \epsilon v ́ \delta o \nu \tau \dot{\alpha} \sigma \epsilon$ ．

$\Theta E . \tau i ́ \delta \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \tau a ; \beta o v \lambda o i ́ \mu \eta \nu \delta^{\prime} a ̀ \nu ~ \epsilon u ̉ \tau v \chi o \hat{\nu} \nu \tau a ́ ~ \gamma \epsilon$.
IO．$\delta \iota$＇á $\sigma \pi i ́ \delta o s$ Өєívovta $\pi o \lambda \epsilon \mu i \omega \nu \nu \tau ו \nu a ́$.

IO．$\phi \in \hat{v}$ ．
$\epsilon^{\prime} \theta^{\prime} \theta^{\prime}$ ， $\boldsymbol{\omega}^{\circ}$ ßpaxi $i \omega \nu$ ，oiov $\dot{\eta} \beta \eta^{\prime} \sigma a \nu \tau a ́ ~ \sigma \epsilon$



$\theta \epsilon i ́ \eta \nu \cdot$ є̇тєí то九 каі̀ како̀s $\mu$＇́vєıц סópv．



XO．$\gamma \hat{a}$ каì $\pi a \nu \nu v ́ \chi \iota o s ~ \sigma \epsilon \lambda a ́ v a ~$
каì 入антро́татає $\theta є o \hat{v}$
фаєбьرßро́тоv à̀子аі̀，


каї тарà Өро́vov àpхє́тау
ү入аขка̂ऽ $\tau$＇є่v＇AӨávas．

$\mu \epsilon ่ \lambda \lambda \omega \pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta^{\prime} \mu \omega \nu$ ікє́таs ข̇тобє $\chi$ Өєis, $\kappa i \nu \delta v \nu o \nu \pi o \lambda \iota \hat{\omega}$ т $\tau \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \hat{\nu} \nu \sigma \iota \alpha a ́ p \varphi$.

єv̉סaípova каi סopòs
то入vaivєтov à $\lambda \kappa \hat{a}$

$\kappa а \kappa o ̀ \nu ~ \delta ', ~ \omega ̂ ~ т o ́ \lambda \iota s, ~ \epsilon i ́ ~ \xi є ́ v o u s ~$ іктйрая тарабш́бонед
$\kappa \in \lambda \epsilon \dot{\sigma} \sigma \mu a \sigma \iota \nu$ "A $\rho \gamma o v s$.
Zєús $\mu \circ \iota$ छ̀v́ $\mu \mu a \chi o s$, oủ фоßо̂̂ $\mu a \iota$,

Є' $\chi \in \iota^{\circ}$ оข้ $\pi о \tau \epsilon \theta \nu a \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$


үâs бòv каì mó入ıs, âs бv̀ $\mu a ́ t \eta \rho$

$\pi o ́ \rho \in \cup \sigma о \nu$ ä $\lambda \lambda a$ тòv oủ ठıкаíms
тâठ’ є̇ாáyоעтa סорvббо́ทтa



$\tau \iota \mu a ̀ ~ к \rho а і ̈ \nu \epsilon \tau а \iota, ~ о и ’ \delta \grave{\epsilon} \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \theta \epsilon \iota$
$\mu \eta \nu \omega ิ \nu \phi \theta \iota \nu a ̀ s$ á $\mu \epsilon ́ p a$,


 Өє́v$\nu \nu$ iак $\chi \epsilon \hat{\imath} \pi \sigma \delta \hat{\omega} \nu ~ к \rho о ́ т о \iota \sigma เ \nu . ~$


 $\pi a \nu \tau \epsilon \cup \chi i a \nu$ é $\chi о \nu \tau a \pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu i \omega \nu$ $\sigma \epsilon \in \epsilon \nu$.


 фóßos $\gamma a ̀ \rho ~ \epsilon l ̆ ~ \mu o \iota ~ \zeta ิ \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota \nu ~ o u ̀ s ~ \epsilon ่ \gamma \omega ̀ ~ \theta e ́ \lambda \omega . ~$


ӨЕ. $\mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau a, \pi \rho a ́ \xi a \varsigma ~ \gamma ่ \epsilon ̇ \kappa ~ \theta \epsilon \omega ̂ \nu ~ к а ́ \lambda \lambda \iota \sigma \tau a ~ \delta \grave{\eta}$.


 $\mu a ́ \chi \eta s$ à $\gamma \omega \hat{\nu} v a \quad \pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau o \nu$ à $\gamma \gamma \epsilon i ̂ \lambda a \iota ~ \theta \epsilon ́ \lambda \omega$.
ӨE. єis $\mu$ ov 入óyos $\sigma o \iota ~ \pi a ́ \nu \tau a ~ \sigma \eta \mu a \nu \epsilon i ̂ ~ \tau a ́ \delta \epsilon . ~$

$\kappa а \tau a ̀ ~ \sigma \tau о ́ \mu ’ ~ \epsilon ’ \kappa \tau \epsilon і \nu о \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma ~ a ̀ \nu \tau \epsilon \tau а ́ \xi а а \mu є \nu$, є́кßàs $\tau \epsilon \theta \rho i ́ \pi \pi \omega \nu$ " $\Upsilon \lambda \lambda о \varsigma$ á $\rho \mu a ́ т \omega \nu$ тóסa,


 803 каì тàs Muкŋ́vas ovंס̀̀̀ є’рүа́бєє какòv
 $\mu a ́ \chi \eta \nu ~ \sigma v \nu a ́ \psi a \varsigma, ~ \grave{\eta} \kappa \tau \alpha \nu \omega े \nu$ ä $\gamma o v ~ \lambda a \beta \omega \nu$

 810 бтратòs $\delta^{\prime}$ є̇ $\pi \eta!\nu \in \sigma^{\prime}$, és $\tau^{\prime}$ ảma $\pi \lambda a \gamma a ̀ s ~ \pi o ́ v \omega \nu$

ó $\delta$ ’ oüte тov̀s к入úovtas ai̊є $\sigma \theta \epsilon \epsilon$ is $\lambda o ́ \gamma \omega \nu$ ov้т av̉тòs aútô̂ $\delta \in \iota \lambda i ́ a \nu$, $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma o ̀ s ~ \omega ̂ \nu$,



тov̀s ${ }^{\text {＇H }} \mathrm{H} \rho a \kappa \lambda \epsilon$ ious $\eta ๋ \lambda \theta \epsilon$ סou $\lambda \omega$＇$\sigma \omega \nu$ 耳óvovs．

 Sıa入入ayàs єै $\gamma \nu \omega \sigma a \nu$ oủ $\tau \epsilon \lambda o u \mu \epsilon ́ v a s$,
 $\lambda a \iota \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ ßротєíav єùقùs ov̀piov фóvov． oi $\delta$＇áp $\mu a \tau$＇єi $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \epsilon$＇ßaıvov，oi $\delta$＇$\dot{\tau} \pi$＇$\dot{a} \sigma \pi i \delta \omega \nu$
 $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \hat{\omega}$ т $\pi a \rho \eta \dot{\gamma} \gamma \epsilon \lambda \lambda$ ’ oìa $\chi \rho \eta े ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \epsilon u ̀ \gamma \epsilon \nu \eta ̂, ~$




 бá $\lambda \pi \iota \gamma \gamma \iota$ ，каì $\sigma v \nu \eta ̂ \Psi a \nu ~ a ̀ \lambda \lambda \eta ́ \lambda o \iota s ~ \mu a ́ \chi \eta \nu, ~$
 móбov тıvà $\sigma \tau \epsilon \nu a \gamma \mu o ̀ v ~ o i \mu \omega \gamma \eta ́ \nu ~ \theta ' ~ o ́ ~ \mu o ̂ ̂ ; ~ ;$
















סías＇A $\theta a ́ \nu a s, ~ " ̈ \rho \mu ' ~ i ठ \omega ̀ \nu ~ E u ̉ \rho v \sigma \theta \epsilon ́ \omega s, ~$
 ข̀́ó $\gamma \in \nu$ е́ $\sigma \theta a \iota ~ к а ̀ т о т і \sigma а \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \delta і ̈ к \eta \nu ~$








 $\kappa a ́ \lambda \lambda \iota \sigma \tau о \nu ~ \ddot{\eta} \kappa є \iota ~ \tau \grave{\nu}$ бтратท入áт $\eta \nu$ ä $\gamma \omega \nu$ ， тò̀ ő $\lambda \beta \iota o \nu \pi a ́ \rho o \iota \theta \epsilon \cdot ~ \tau \hat{̣}$ ठè $\nu र ̂ \nu ~ \tau u ́ \chi ? ~ ? ~$ ßротоîs äта⿱㇒兀 $\lambda a \mu \pi \rho a ̀ ~ к \eta \rho v ́ \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota ~ \mu a \theta \epsilon i v, ~$


XO． $\mathfrak{\omega}$ Z $\epsilon \hat{v} ~ \tau \rho о \pi a i ̂ \epsilon, ~ \nu र ̂ \nu ~ \epsilon ่ \mu o i ̀ ~ \delta \epsilon \iota \nu o v ̂ ~ \phi o ́ ß o v ~$



 $\theta \epsilon o i ̂ \varsigma ~ o ́ \mu \iota \lambda \epsilon i ̂ \nu ~ \nu u ̂ \nu ~ \epsilon ่ \pi i \sigma \tau a \mu a \iota ~ \sigma a \phi \omega ̂ s . ~$








 є́ $\chi$ Өроѝs $\lambda а \beta о ́ \nu \tau а ~ \mu a ̉ \pi о т і ́ \sigma а \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \delta i ́ к \eta \nu . ~$
 $\kappa \rho a т о \hat{\sigma} \sigma a \kappa a i ̀ ~ \sigma \hat{\eta}$ б $\delta \sigma \pi$ тотои́ $\mu \in \nu о \nu \quad \chi \epsilon \rho i ́$.
oủ $\mu \grave{\nu} \nu$ éкóvтa $\gamma$ ' aỉтòv, ả $\lambda \lambda$ à $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \beta i ́ a \nu ~ 8 s s ~$

 $\dot{a} \lambda \lambda$ ', ఉं $\gamma \epsilon \rho a \iota a ̀, \chi \alpha i ̂ \rho \epsilon, \kappa а i ̀ ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \mu \nu \eta \sigma_{o}^{\prime} \mu о \iota$

 à $\psi \in v \delta$ ès єival $\tau 0 i ̂ \sigma \iota ~ \gamma \epsilon \nu \nu a i o \iota s ~ \sigma \tau o ́ \mu a . ~$
XO. є̇ $\mu o i ̀ ~ \chi о \rho o ̀ s ~ \mu e ̀ v ~ \eta र o v ̀ s, ~ \epsilon i ~ \lambda i ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota a ~ \sigma \tau \rho . ~$
$\lambda \omega \tau o \hat{v} \chi a ́ \rho \iota s ~ є i v i ̀ ~ \delta a \iota \tau i, ~$

$\tau \epsilon \rho \pi \nu o ̀ \nu$ ठ́ $\tau \iota$ каi $\phi \grave{\lambda} \lambda \omega \nu$ ả $\rho$ ’
єủтvхíav ióérӨaı
$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi a ́ \rho o s ~ o v ̉ ~ \delta о к о ข ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu . ~$
$\pi о \lambda \lambda a ̀ ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ \tau і к т є \iota ~$
Moîpa $\tau \in \lambda \epsilon \sigma \sigma \iota \delta \omega ́ \tau \epsilon \iota \rho$ ’
Aí̀v $\tau \in$ Kpóvov $\pi a i ̂ s$.

ои̉ хрท́ тотє то́ס’ ảфє入є́ $\sigma \theta a \iota$,

є่ $\gamma \gamma v ̀ s ~ \mu a \nu \iota \omega ิ \nu$ є่ $\lambda a v ่ \nu \epsilon \iota$,
$\delta \epsilon \iota \kappa \nu \nu \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$ є่ $\lambda \in ́ \gamma \chi \omega \nu$

Өєòs тарауү́́ $\lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota$,
$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ áठíк $\omega \nu$ тараıр $\omega \bar{\nu}$
фрогท́натоs àєí.

тєós үóvos，ఱै үєpaià，

סо́ $\mu$ ку катє́ßa，тиро̀s

＂Hßas т＇є́ратòv хроїцєь
$\lambda$ є́ ооs хрvбє́av кат＇av่入áv．
$\omega^{\text {＇}} \Upsilon \mu \in ́ v a \iota \epsilon, \delta \iota \sigma \sigma o v ̀ s$
таîठas $\Delta i o ̀ s ~ \eta \xi ' i \omega \sigma a s . ~$

$\kappa a i$ үàp татрi тفิvठ＇＇АӨávav
$\lambda$ є́ $\gamma о \cup \sigma$＇є่тіккоироע єі̂ขaı，
каі тоข́бסє $\theta \epsilon a ̂ s ~ \pi о ́ \lambda \iota s ~$
каi 入aòs eै $\sigma \omega \sigma \epsilon$ кєívas，

но̀s ท̋ข тоо̀ ठíкая ßíaıos．
$\mu \eta ं \pi о т ’$ є́ $\mu о \grave{\imath}$ фро́ $\eta \mu a$
廿ขðá т’ а́ко́рєбтоs єї $\eta$ ．

## АГГЕムOミ．

ס́є́ $\sigma \pi o \iota \nu$＇，ópậs $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ ，ả $\lambda \lambda$＇${ }^{\circ} \mu \omega \varsigma$ єipウ́ $\sigma \epsilon \tau a l$ ，

 oủ خáp тот’ $\eta u ̈ \chi \in \iota ~ \chi \in i ̂ p a s ~ " \xi \xi \in \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \sigma \epsilon ́ \theta \epsilon \nu$ ，

 $\pi \epsilon ́ \rho \sigma \omega \nu$＇A $\theta \eta \dot{\nu} \nu a s$ ．ả $\lambda \lambda a ̀$ т $\eta े \nu$ ẻva $\nu \tau i ́ a \nu$




B．
 グว $\delta \iota \sigma \tau 0 \nu$ モ̇ $\chi \theta \rho o ̀ v ~ u ̈ \nu \delta ि p a ~ \delta v \sigma \tau v \chi o v ̂ \nu \theta ' ~ o ́ p a ̂ \nu . ~$

 $\kappa a i ̀ ~ \tau \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta \iota$ тò̀s $\sigma o u ̀ s ~ \pi \rho o \sigma \beta \lambda \epsilon ́ \pi \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ Èvavтiò





 v̈ठpas $\lambda \epsilon \in о \nu \tau a ́ s ~ \tau ’ ~ \epsilon ' \xi a \pi o \lambda \lambda u ́ v a l ~ \lambda e ́ \gamma \omega \nu$ є̈т $\epsilon \mu \pi \epsilon \varsigma ;$ ä $\lambda \lambda a \delta^{\prime}$ о $\hat{i}$＇є́ $\mu \eta \chi a \nu \hat{\omega}$ кака̀






 $\kappa a i ̀ ~ к \epsilon \rho \delta a \nu \epsilon i ̂ s ~ a ̈ \pi a \nu \tau a \cdot ~ \chi \rho \eta ̀ ~ \gamma u ̀ \rho ~ o ن ̉ \chi ~ a ̈ \pi a \xi$ $\theta \nu \dot{\eta} \sigma \kappa \epsilon \iota \nu \quad \sigma \epsilon$ ，$\pi о \lambda \lambda a ̀$ т $\eta^{\prime} \mu a \tau^{\prime} \epsilon \epsilon \xi \epsilon \iota \rho \gamma a \sigma \mu \epsilon ́ \nu 0 \nu . ~ 960$










## HPAK $\Lambda E I \triangle A I$ ．

 970







$\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau a v ̂ \tau a ~ \tau \grave{̀ \nu}$ Өрaбєîav ö $\sigma \tau \iota \varsigma$ àv $\theta$ é $\lambda \eta$


ХО．$\delta \epsilon \iota \nu o ́ \nu ~ \tau \iota ~ \kappa a i ̀ ~ \sigma u \gamma \gamma \nu \omega \sigma \tau o ̀ \nu, ~ \omega ̂ ~ \gamma u ́ v a \iota, ~ \sigma ’ ~ Є ้ \chi є \iota \nu$ $\nu \in i ̂ \kappa o s ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a ̈ \nu \delta \rho a ~ \tau o ́ \nu \delta є ~ \gamma \iota \gamma \nu \omega ́ \sigma \kappa \omega ~ к а \lambda \omega ิ s . ~$

## ETPrミOETミ．

रúval，$\sigma a ́ \phi ’$＇$\sigma \theta \iota \mu \dot{\prime} \mu \epsilon \theta \omega \pi \epsilon v ́ \sigma o \nu \tau a ́ ~ \sigma \epsilon$ ，







 $\kappa a ̈ \gamma \nu \omega \nu$ à $\gamma \omega \hat{\nu} a$ тóv $\delta^{\prime}$ ảjшขıoú $\mu \in \nu 0 \varsigma$ ， $\pi о \lambda \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu$ боф८бтウ̀s $\pi \eta \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ є́ $\gamma \iota \gamma \nu o ́ \mu \eta \nu$ ，






$$
3-2
$$








 グ入avvєs äv какоîбเv，à $\lambda \lambda a ̀ ~ \sigma \omega \phi \rho o ́ v \omega s$ єïaras oikeî̀＂＂Apros＂ov้тıv＂àv mítols．





 тòv тробтро́таıov тóv $\tau \epsilon$ үєขvaîov ка入єîv． 1015


XO．тараıvé $\sigma a \iota ~ \sigma o \iota ~ \sigma \mu \iota \kappa \rho o ̀ \nu, ~ ' А \lambda \kappa \mu \eta ं \nu \eta, ~ \theta e ́ \lambda \omega$ ，



 тóvס’ єīa $\nu \epsilon \kappa \rho o ̀ \nu ~ \tau o i ̂ s ~ \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \lambda \theta o v ̂ \sigma \iota \nu ~ \phi i ̀ \lambda \omega \nu$ $\delta \omega \dot{\omega} \omega \omega^{*}$ тò $\gamma a ̀ \rho \sigma \hat{\omega} \mu$ ’ oủk ảmı


1025

 $\chi \rho \eta \sigma \mu \hat{\omega} \pi a \lambda a \iota \hat{\omega}$ Lo $\xi_{i o v ~ \delta \omega \rho \eta} \sigma \circ \mu a \iota$ ，

 סías $\pi a ́ p o \iota \theta \epsilon \pi a \rho \theta \in ́ v o v ~ \Pi a \lambda \lambda \eta \nu i ́ \delta o s . ~$
 $\mu$ є́тоькоs àєì кєі́бонаь катà $\chi$ Өоขòs,


$\chi \alpha ́ \rho \iota \nu \pi \rho \circ \delta o ́ \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma ~ \tau \eta ं \nu \delta \epsilon^{*} \tau o \iota o v ́ \tau \omega \nu \xi^{\prime} \nu \omega \nu$


 $\kappa о v ̉ \kappa ~ a ̀ \nu ~ \pi \rho o \delta o \hat{v} v a i ́ \mu$ '. à $\lambda \lambda a ̀ \mu \eta ं \tau \epsilon \mu о \iota \chi o a ̀ s$ $10+0$




A $\Lambda$. $\tau i ́ \delta \hat{\eta} \tau a \mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \tau^{\prime}, \epsilon i \quad \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota \quad \sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho i ́ a \nu$ $\kappa а т є \rho \gamma а ́ \sigma а \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \tau о i ̂ \sigma i ́ ~ \tau ’ ~ \epsilon ' \xi ~ v i \mu \omega ̂ \nu ~ \chi \rho \epsilon \omega ̀ \nu$, $\kappa \tau \epsilon і ้ \nu \epsilon \iota \nu$ тòv ă $\nu \delta \rho a$ тóv $\delta$ ’, ảкоv́ovтєs тáסє;

 $\kappa о \mu і \zeta \epsilon \tau ’$ аùтòv, $\delta \mu \omega \bar{\epsilon}$, єiта $\chi \rho \grave{\eta} \kappa v \sigma i$


 $\tau a ̀ ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ \epsilon ' \xi ~ \dot{\eta} \mu \omega \hat{\nu}$ $\kappa a \theta a \rho \omega \hat{s}$ є̈ $\sigma \tau a \iota \beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \cup ิ \sigma \iota \nu$.

## ANALYSIS.

ACT I. Political.
Athens engages to defend the exiled Argive Heracleidx against Eurystheus and against Argos.

## ACT II.

[The episode or interlude of] Macaria, who offers herself to die for her family.

## ACT III.

[The episode of] the infirm Iolaus going to join the fray.

## ACT IV, Political.

The victory of Athens over Argos.

## ACT V. Political.

The prophecy of the Argive Eurystheus in favour of Athens against the ungrateful sons of the Heracleidæ and against Argos.


## EPITOME OF THE DRAMA.

1-352. Act I. Political.

Iolaus, who had been the companion of Heracles in his labours, is discovered clinging, with the young boys of Heracles, to the altar in front of the temple of Zeus at Marathon.

1-54. Iolaus soliloquises. He who lives for himself is useless. But I, who of my own will shared the toils of Heracles, now defend his fatherless children, who are driven by their father's foe, Eurystheus of Argos, from city to city: for with threats of the vengeance of Argos he forces men to drive them forth. At last we have come to Marathon, over which city Demophon, son of Theseus, and Athens are lords. And with us, but within the temple, is Alcmena the mother of Heracles, with his daughters. Hyllus, his son, has gone to seek if there be yet another city of refuge.-But once more I see the Herald of Eurystheus, who has come to drive us hence also.

55-72. Enters Copreus the Herald of Eurystheus. Copreus: Go back to Argos, to your doom. Iolaus: Nay: for this altar of Zeus, and this free people, will protect me. Help !

73-98. Enter the Chorus, citizens of Marathon: to whom Iolaus sets forth his story and his claim.

99-119. The Chorus remonstrate in vain with Copreus. Enter Demophon, who has heard the cry of Iolaus.

120-129. To him the Chorus state the case.
130-133. D. to C'opreus. Justify yourself.
134-178. Copreus. Eurystheus of Argos claims his own. Hitherto that claim has been granted. These suppliants must think you foolish. For, consider, if you become the champion of babes you will gain nothing, but will lose : for defence of these will mean War with Argos. Surrender these, and a powerful state is your friend.

181-231. Iolaus, to Demophon. Sire, in this country I may plead my case. First: since ( $184-\mathrm{I} 90$ ) they have disowned and exiled us from Argos, how can they claim us back? Next: (191-204) as for fiar of Argos, free Athens would never-but I will not praise her to her face. Thirdly, $(205-213)$ the claims of blood: your father and Heracles were kinsmen. Fourthly, ( $214-222$ ) the call of gratitude : since by Heracles was your father brought back from Hades. Lastly, (223-231) besides all these, for pity's sake.

236-252. Demophon to Iolaus. Four things-Religion, Kinship, Gratitude, and Shame-constrain me. Betray, and to Argives? -(to the herald) Go, tell your master, the Courts are open : but force we will not endure.

253-273. Rapid interchange of arguments, (chiefly on the grounds above stated,) culminating in threats, between Demophon and Copreus.

274-283. Copreus. I go, then; but will return with Eurystheus and his host. Tremble at Argos. Exit Copreus.

284-287. Demophon, to Chorzs. Argos, quotha! But Athens is dauntless and free.

288-296. Chorus. True; but yet this is a crisis. What a coloured picture he will draw to his master !

297-328. Iolaus, to the Heracleida. You see how great a thing it is to be nobly born. For from all Hellas, the sons of Theseus alone are found to defend the defenceless. Never, in days to come, lift a hand against your saviour Athens, neither you nor your children.-Demophon, you are worthy of your father.

329-332. Chorus. Truly Athens was ever the friend of the friendless.

333-343. Dimophon. Who can doubt that Heracles' children's children will be grateful for this ! Retire within now, while I array my army. Exit D.

344-352. Iolaus. Nay, we will abide at the altar. And may Pallas the Invincible defend the right! Iolaus remains.

## 353-380. Choral Ode I, closing Act $T$.

The boasts of Argos shall not make Athens quail, nor surrender suppliants to violent hands. Her Empire is peace : but ill will he fare who shall touch the city of the Graces.

## 381-607. Act II.

$3^{81}-388$. Tolaus (to Demophon re-entering). My son, what news? Eurystheus must come, I know: but Zeus will abate his pride.

389-424. Demophon. He has come. His camp is on our borders. We too are ready. Every oracle have I sought, and from all have one answer: "we succeed if we sacrifice a maid of noble blood." Mine own, I will not : nor will I force my people. If I do not fight, they will blame me; but if I shed the blood of their daughters, my people are not free.

427-460. Tolaus. Then are we again blown back from harbour. The gods have willed our death. For myself I care not: surrender $m e$, instead of these.

464-473. Demophon. Not thee does Eurystheus seek, but the children of his foe. Nor is there any help for it.

Iolaus groans aloud.
474-483. Whereon enters, from the temple, the daughter of Heracles, Macaria: Forgive this boldness in a maid who is the eldest of her brethren. What new woe is this?

484-499. Iolaus relates to Macaria the answer of the oracles, and what is practically the refusal of Demophon to help the Heracleidæ further.

500-534. Macaria. Then fear no more. Lo, unbidden, I offer myself. Shall Athens risk her lives for us, and we, children of such a father, not offer our own for ourselves? And, (511) if I refuse, it will but be to die at the hands of Eurystheus, or to wander ever outcast as a coward. ( 526 ) My father's daughter has no choice. I die then, for my race.

539-546. Yolaus. You are indeed your father's child. But draw the lot with your sisters.

547-551. Macaria. Draw me no lots. Of my own will only will I die.

552-557. Iolaus. Do as you will.
558-563. Macaria. Be present at my death.
564. Iolaus. I cannot.

56\%, 6. Macaria. At least ask Demophon that my own sex may attend me.

567-573. Demophon. It shall be so. Say now your last words. Exit Demophon finally.

574-596. Macaria in a beautiful and simple speech takes farewell of Iolaus and of the Heracleidæ; and exit finally.

597-607. Solaus falls to the ground, and there remains.
608-627. Choral Ode II, closing Act II.
The gods put down the mighty from their seat, and exalt the humble and meek.-How great and lovely is a noble death !

$$
630-747 . \text { Act III. }
$$

630-645. Enters servant of Hyllus, with news that he, with an army, is at hand. Iolaus calls from the temple Alcmena, who,
$6_{4} 6-66_{5}$, at first threatens the messenger, thinking him another emissary of Eurystheus; but is reassured by Iolaus, who

666-679: questions the messenger about the united armies of Hyllus and Demophon, and,

680--7or, finally asserting that he will himself join the battle, against much remonstrance wins his point, and sends the messenger to the temple for armour.

702-708. The Chorus protest, and
709-719, Alcmena protests; but,
$720-747$, on the re-appearance of the messenger with the armour, Solaus, after painfully equipping himself, hobbles off supported by the dubious messenger, flinging as he goes an imprecation at Eurystheus. Alcmena remains.

> 748-783. Choral Ode iII, closing Act III.

The Chorus in this great but inevitable crisis invokes the aid of heaven and especially of Athena.

## $7^{8}+$-89r. Act IV. Political.

$7 \mathrm{~S}_{4}-79^{8}$. Enters an Attendant (who answers the purpose of the usual ă $\gamma \gamma \in \lambda$ dos) with news, that the combined forces are victorious, and that Iolaus has wrought wonders.

799-866. In a long $\dot{\rho} \eta$ $\sigma$ s the Attindant tells how Eurystheus ( 799 $-\delta_{\mathrm{I}} 8$ ) having declined the challenge of Hyllus to single combat, each army ( $\mathrm{S}_{1} 9-8_{23}$ ) after sacrifice made ready for battle. [This sacrifice is very briefly touched on.] And how ( $8_{2}-8_{4}$ ) the battle raged with various fortune, till at the turn of the fight Iolaus, $(843-850)$ who had begged a chariot, started to pursue Eurystheus, and ( $\mathrm{S}_{51}$ - 866 ) after prayer and with miracle grew young again and took and bound him and is here bringing him alive.

S69-SS2. Alcmena thanking the Gods for this deliverance yet marvels that Iolaus did not slay his captive.

883-89r. The Attendant explains: the prisoner was spared to glut the eyes of Alcmena.
[But note that the poet for the following reason departed from the tradition that Eurystheus was slain in battle: that from an Argive mouth might issue, in the final act, the denunciation of Argos and the prophecy of Athenian success, which would bring the drama to a political and a popular close.]

## 892-927. Choral Ode IV, closing Act II.

892-900, Pleasant is weal after woe. $901-909$, Athens, thou art no loser by thy worship of the gods. 910-918, Heracles, we know now, is deified : 919-927, and his children have been preserved by the people of his patron Athena; and pride hath had its fall.
928-1055. Act V. Political.

928-940. Enters to Alcmena a Herald with Eurystheus: Lo, I bring Eurystheus in chains.

941-960. Alcmena. Have I found thee, oh mine enemy? Now hast thou met a people thy match. Hence, and to thy doom.

961-974. The Herald remonstrates in vain with Alcmena, that Athens slays foes in fair fight, not captives in cold blood.

975-980. Alcmena. But he is mine, nor shall any deliver him from mine hand.

983--1017. Eurystheus. (983-990) I shall not cringe. But know that this quarrel with my kindred was none of my seeking, but was the will of Hera. When once therefore I knew my fate, and (991-999) knew the greatness of my foe, I wrought my best to foil him, and therefore also my best against the inheritors of their father's hate. ( $1000-1008$ ) You would have done the same. ( $1009-1017$ ) You dare not, if Athens dare not, slay me. But to me it is all one.

1018, 9. Chorus. Spare him, since Athens would spare him.
1020-1025. Alcmena. But I will please both myself and Athens: for I will slay his life, and then surrender his person.

1026-1044. Eurysthcus. Slay on! But first in thanks to Athens I publish this oracle of Apollo:- that you bury me in your own land, in the place appointed by him. So shall I be friend to Athens and ( r 035, \%) foe to the [Peloponnesian] descendants of these children, when they invade this land. You ask, why did I meet my fate, if I foreknew it? Thinking that Hera would uphold me against the oracle. But be sure that my death will be the Bane of Argos.

1045-1052. Alcmena (to her altendants). Take him out and slay him. Exeunt omines.
$10 ミ 3-10 \Sigma 5$. Chorus departing : We agree ; for so our hands are clean,

## CRITICAL NOTES.

## [For abbroviations see head of Explanatory Notes.]

7. $\dot{\eta} \sigma \dot{\chi} \chi \omega$ s is the original reading. $\ddot{\eta} \sigma u \chi o \nu$ Elm., on the ground that the adjective is often used for adverb; as in Hec. 35 , $\ddot{\eta} \sigma u \chi 0$ o $\theta \dot{\alpha} \sigma \sigma o v \sigma \iota$. Cf. $\sigma \alpha \phi \omega \hat{s}$ in I8o, and note.
8. $\quad \circ \pi \eta$ is orig., which form of the word Elm. would never adopt. $\ddot{0} \pi \eta$ Pfl., on the ground that $\pi 0 \hat{u}$ denotes rest, $\pi 0 \hat{\imath}$ motion to, and $\pi \eta$ is doubtful, and therefore well used here. Cf. 46 , and Porson, Hecuba 1062.
9. $\phi \hat{\lambda} \lambda \omega \nu$ Elnı., which, with $\pi \rho o \tau \iota \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$, is orig. Reading $\pi \rho o \tau \iota \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$, translate cxaggerans jactansque. For $\phi i \lambda \omega \nu, \phi i \lambda o \iota s$ and $\phi i \lambda \epsilon i \hat{\nu}$ were suggested by Elm. and Pfl.
10. 'A $\lambda \kappa \mu \eta \eta_{\eta} \eta$ orig. and Elm.
11. Cf. 19. ö $\pi \eta$ orig., ö $\pi$ о Elm., ö $\pi \eta$ Pf., ö $\pi$ ou P. Cf. Hec. 419 , $\pi 0 \hat{\imath} \tau \epsilon \lambda є u \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega$ ßıóv, and Herc. Fur. 74, where some read $\pi 0 \hat{\imath} \pi \alpha \tau \eta ̀ \rho$ ä $\pi \epsilon \sigma \tau \iota \gamma \hat{\eta} \mathrm{s}$. So also Aves 45 , önoı.
12. $\pi \epsilon \in \mu \psi$ as àv̀̀p orig., Elm., Pff.
13. 晾 for ôs, in sense of $\epsilon \pi \epsilon l$, is orig., and Pfl.
14. $\nu о \mu l \zeta \omega \nu$ is the accepted reading; but Elm. altered it to ко $\mu i \zeta \omega \nu$.
15. $\dot{a} \mu \alpha \lambda \grave{\partial} \nu$ is a correction from $\mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o \nu$.
16. After this line, D. and Pfl. mark a line as omitted: but for this there seems no sufficient reason.

8o. For $\sigma \dot{v} \hat{o}^{\prime}$, (so P. and D. after Tyrwhitt), őôє is read by orig., E., B., M., and Pfl.; in the sense of ôevpo, heus tu. See Pfl., on the question whether $\delta 0 \delta \epsilon$ can be so used.
83. катє́ $\chi \in \tau^{\prime}$ is the accepted reading for $\kappa a \tau \notin \sigma \chi \in \tau^{\prime}$, metri gratiâ. But see Elm., who holds that $\kappa a \tau \epsilon \in \chi \epsilon \tau^{\prime}$ cannot be used in this sense, and prefers the form $\kappa a \tau i \sigma \chi \in \tau^{\prime}$, for which he refers to Thuc. vir. 33,
 reads $E \dot{u} \beta \hat{\varphi} \hat{o}$. - $\dot{\alpha} \kappa \rho \dot{\alpha} \nu$ for $\dot{\alpha} \kappa \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu, ~ P ., ~ m e t r i ~ g r a t i a ̂ . ~$
103. $\dot{\alpha} \pi 0 \lambda เ \pi \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \nu$ is accepted for $\dot{\alpha} \pi 0 \lambda \epsilon i \pi \epsilon \iota \nu$ [Reiske suggested $\dot{\alpha} \pi 0 \lambda \epsilon$ -
$\pi \epsilon \omega \nu$, decorticare, which Hermann approved]. But $\sigma^{\prime}$ for $\sigma \phi^{\prime}$ is read by orig., Elm., Pfl., M., S.c. : in which case, the remark is addressed to Iolaus.
108. For $\pi \dot{\Delta} \lambda \epsilon \iota$, Elm. suggested $\pi a^{\lambda} \lambda \iota \nu, \tau \iota v$, and finally $\pi \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \iota$. See Pf.
ti6. The reading in the text is that of orig., Elm., M., P., S.c.-
 $\tau \tilde{a}, \rho a$ : not liking $a_{\rho} \rho a$ for $\dot{\alpha} \rho \dot{a}$, nor $\tau \iota s$ used in an intensitive sense. See Pff. or P.
130. Elm. puts comma at $\gamma^{\prime}$, and reads $\tau \epsilon$ for кai [but, according to Pfl., afterwards retracted this latter.]. On "End $\eta \nu a$ as applied to both nouns, see his note: in which he quotes Mid. I 366 , d $\lambda \lambda$ ’ v̈ßpıs $^{2}$
 $\gamma \hat{\eta} \nu \tau \epsilon$ (sc. Káô $\mu \mathrm{ov}$ ) кai Káô $\mu$ ov móגıv. Sometimes a preposition must be thus supplied, as $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ in $75 \Sigma$; and sometimes even a word of
 є́кєì $\kappa \in i ̂ \nu 0 \nu$ èv $\nu$ á $\delta^{\prime} \eta{ }^{\eta} \gamma a \gamma \epsilon \nu$.

13I. ${ }^{\epsilon} \rho \gamma \alpha$ is accepted for $\alpha / \lambda \lambda \alpha$.
143. For $\kappa a \theta^{\prime}$ aút $\hat{\omega} \nu$, Elm. reads $\kappa a \tau^{\prime} a \dot{u} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$, in the sense of $\kappa a \tau^{\prime}$ $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ a $\dot{v} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$, being persuaded that aúvîv is never used by Eur. of any but the third person. But this is disputed; see Pfl.


 were retained, òǰns, or some such word, must be understood. See Pf.
${ }^{1} 6_{3}$. $\tau^{\prime}$ has been added.- $\theta$ eis is the accepted reading for $\theta \hat{\eta} s$ (addictus). Elm. edited $\gamma \hat{\eta} s$.
175. סoùs, accepted for òs. Cf. PG. and P.
179. These two lines were originally given to Demophon; but, from their sententious character, are best assigned to the Chorus.
180. $\sigma a \phi \hat{\omega}$ is accepted for $\sigma a \phi \hat{\eta}$. But Elm., following a wellknown canon of criticism, retains $\sigma a \phi \hat{\eta}$. He observes: "exquisitius est adjectivum adverbii loco; ergo retineo." Cf. $\boldsymbol{\eta} \sigma 0 \chi$ ov in 7 .
197. крivoval is orig., and P. retains it, in the sense of $\pi \rho о к р i v o u \sigma \iota$. See his references. But others read крavoîбt: which is a conjecture of Elm., who however afterwards rëinstated крivovoı.
200. $\pi$ ápos accepted for $\beta$ ápos.

203, 4. 入iav and ärav were orig. both followed by $\gamma \epsilon$, which was often thus inserted by copyists from a doubt about the quantity of the
final syllable of those words; and is here in both places retained by Pfl., as appropriately limiting the sense of the adverbs.

21 I. The orig. reading was aúrave $\psi i \varphi$, which Elm. altered to airave $i(\omega$, and Pfl. to aúrave $\psi i \omega \nu$, following the authority of Plutarch, Thescus 7. If aúrave $\psi i(\omega$ were read, it would have to be taken as meaning "first cousins once removed," and $\gamma \in \gamma \omega \bar{\omega}=\gamma \in \gamma \hat{\omega} \tau \epsilon$. For $\theta u$ ratpòs, Elm. in his Bacchac suggested $\pi a \iota o \partial o s$, on the ground that, with Ovyarpòs, the line would be the only one in this play containing two tribrachs. Pf.

221-225. Paley brackets these five lines, thus making the two speeches exactly the same length. Lines 97,98 are almost the same as 22 I , 222. Line 225 occurs in Alc. 390. Line 223 , from its faulty


 to say an evil in the state." So B., but translates "is an evil to thee alone in the state;" quoting also the rendering of Erfurdt, "tibi privatim turpis fuerit haec publica injuria." Consult Pfl. or P.
226. Elm. has no comma between катабтє́申ן and $\chi \in \rho \circ i \mathrm{v}$, which he would render "I wreathe thee" (that is, I supplicate thee) "with my hands." He compares Androm. S94, $\sigma \tau \epsilon \mu \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ ô’ oủx $\eta$ च̈бovas $\sigma o i s$

228. $\lambda a \beta \dot{\omega} \nu$ was altered by Elm. to $\lambda a \beta \epsilon i v$, on the analogy of FIerc.
 that $\lambda \alpha \beta \grave{\nu} \nu$ gives a stronger meaning than $\lambda a \beta \in i \hat{\nu}$.
232. The orig. reading was $\tau \alpha ́ \sigma \delta \epsilon \sigma v \mu \phi \quad \rho \dot{\alpha} s$, which Elm. altered to $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \nu \mu \phi \quad$ ás. But the reading in the text has the authority of three MSS. See Pff.
237. Tov́ $0 \hat{\theta}$ is the emendation of Elm. for rou's $\sigma o u$ s, which is retained by Pfl. and P., in the sense of "those whom you have brought."
245. ठैкעч is accepted for óкv $\hat{\omega}$, which Elm. retains, putting a full stop after raiav. He thinks that there is a double aioxpòv, (I) that a stranger should violate the altar, (2) that an asylum should be denied to refugees.
247. The orig. reading is $\epsilon \dot{\prime} \tau u \notin \epsilon \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu$, taken adverbially.
253. $\hat{\eta} \tau \epsilon$ is accepted for $\hat{\eta} \tau \iota$.
255. The orig. reading was oưкouv...à àd́ $\sigma v$ ß̉áásos. Elm. reads
 is mine, and ( $\dot{\alpha}, \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha}=\kappa \alpha \dot{\alpha}$ où) no injury accrues to you?"-P. and B. read
with Musgrave, oikoûv...d. $\lambda \lambda$ ' ov̉ $\sigma o i ̉ \beta \lambda a ́ \beta o s, ~ " m i h i ~ i g i t u r ~ h o c ~ t u r p e ~ e s t, ~$ non tibi damnum."-Musgrave would punctuate ai $\sigma \chi$ oòv, à $\lambda \lambda$ ' oủ $\sigma o i$, $\beta \backslash \alpha \dot{\beta}$ os. - Pfl. reads oủkoîv..., à̉入à бoì $\beta$ גáßos, "esto; mihi id turpe, ut tibi noxa" (sc. to defend them). The orig. $\Sigma \Upsilon$, which is inadmissible on the ground of metre, points, as P. observes, to Or.-See Hermann in P.
263. $\gamma \in$ was inserted by Elm., (whom Pff. follows, but not P.) on the ground that the sense requires such limitation.
268. ouik âp' was altered by Elm, to oú $\tau a \mathfrak{a} \rho^{\prime}$, i. e., oủ $\tau$ ot ăaa, on the ground that the latter phrase is exceedingly common at the beginning of a line.
286. Elm. wrote $\pi \dot{\prime} \backslash \iota \nu$, thus making 'A $\rho \gamma \epsilon i \omega \nu$ depend on $\dot{\cup} \pi \dot{\eta} \kappa о \circ \nu$ : on the ground that the genitive with $\dot{v} \pi \dot{\eta} \kappa \circ o \nu$ is, in writers of the best time, more common than the dative. Cf. Esch. Persae 234, $\beta a \sigma \iota$ 白 ${ }^{\prime} \omega$ s $\dot{v} \pi r_{i} k o o s$. - It will be noticed that the reason for emendation, given in this and the preceding note, would, if valid, open a door to endless alterations.
298. This and the six following lines are copied in Stobaeus, who

301. For $\lambda_{l \pi \epsilon i v}$ Matth. conjectured and reads $\lambda_{l \pi}(\dot{\omega} \nu$.
304. єขipouєע is the orig. reading, retained by Pfl.-For $\pi \in \sigma \dot{\nu} \nu \tau \epsilon s$, Stobaeus in his quotation gives $\pi \lambda \epsilon \epsilon^{\prime} \nu \tau \epsilon$.

317 . The orig. reading was $\dot{\alpha} \pi \eta \lambda \lambda \dot{x}_{\xi}^{\prime} \alpha \nu \tau 0$, which is retained by Elm., Pfl. and P., who translates " have rid us of, and taken on themselves." The reading in the text was suggested by Pfl.- '̇v $\eta \lambda \lambda$ á̧áavo Matth. and B. after Musgrave.
320. The orig. Gávns is retained by Matth. and B. in the sense of "mortuus mortuum laudabo." The present tense $\sigma \dot{\omega} \zeta \in \epsilon(s$ must refer to an action during life. $\theta \dot{\text { ingrs }}$ is therefore inapplicable.
321. For $\tau \hat{a} \nu$ Pfl. prints 'ráv, and Herm. and B. $\tau \hat{a} \nu$.
322. The orig. aif $\rho$ would be present tense for future. But in all I Attic future forms of $\alpha i \rho \omega$ there is incessant confusion. Cf. Cobet,

344. Pfl. Matth. and B. retain the orig. $\dot{\epsilon} \delta \dot{\rho} \mu \in \sigma \theta a$.
355. The orig. reading was $\xi \in i \nu$ ' ' $A \rho \gamma \gamma^{\prime} \theta e \nu \quad \dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \lambda \theta \dot{\omega} \nu$. If this is retained, "̋ктopas in $3^{6} \sigma_{4}$ must be read for the orig. iкт $\bar{\eta} \rho a s .-\epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \lambda \theta \dot{\omega} \nu$ in a hostile sense, as $393 \dot{\epsilon} \phi \hat{\eta} \kappa \epsilon$.
 were altered metri gratia.
${ }^{364}$. $\theta \epsilon \omega \hat{v}$ is here monosyllabic.
372. $\sigma o l$ altered from $\sigma \dot{v}$ which is retained by Elm. and PA.
373. $\kappa \in l(=\epsilon l \mathrm{Kal}, \mathrm{P}$.) is accepted for $\epsilon i$, metri gratia.
376. [ĖテTıv] Pfl.
377. The reading in the text is the orig. But Elm. wrote $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$
 that case the omission of the necessary $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ is strange. And the epode was probably meant to convey a threat, and not a statement. Cf. Pf.
379. Matth. and B. read eíxapitcs for the orig. evixapiaт
$3^{3}{ }_{4}$. Elm. altered $\gamma \epsilon$ to $\sigma \epsilon$, on the amalogy of $A j$. 1382, кal $\mu$.
 other passages.
 Elm. retained $\tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \rho o \partial s \theta \epsilon \omega \hat{v}$, comparing it with Iphig. T. $560, d \lambda \lambda$
 accepted as making far better sense with but slight change. eiou was proposed by Elm. for $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau i v$, since $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau i$, being a weak word, is rare at the beginning of a line. [Indeed Elm. would emend èळrıv in the four passages in which he found it so occurring: in $A_{S}$. 1232 for ërat, Choephl 94 for $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \theta \lambda^{\prime}$ (which is now read), Herc. Fur. 1293 for $\dot{\eta} \nu$, and Eur. Thesens frag. 3, ro, for $\dot{\eta} \sigma a \nu$.].
396. The old $\tau \grave{\alpha}$ vûv סopòs is retained by Matth. and Pfl. See Pff.
402. The orig. reading was $\sigma \omega$ चppia.
415. There is an amusing note of Elm. on the attempts of former editors to emend the original $\pi$ кккòv ${ }^{\circ} v$.
417. $\dot{\epsilon} \mu \eta \nu \quad$ was altered by Elm. to $\dot{\epsilon} \mu o \hat{v}$, to be in accordance with the usual construction, "stultitie me accusantium:" as for instance in Hippol. ro58, катrүореї бои $\pi เ \sigma \tau \alpha ́ . ~$
418. The old reading was $\epsilon i \hat{o}$ ô $\hat{\text { ont }}$, for two possible translations of which see Elm.
425. For $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda$ ' $\hat{\eta}$, Matth. conj. ä̀ $\lambda \omega$, " frustra alacrem."
437. Hermann would prefer $\epsilon i$ ôe $\theta$ eoĩo $\delta$ ố. For a similar proposed insertion of a connecting particle, cf. 557 .

45 . The orig. reading was $\dot{a} \pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma \iota,=$ omnibus modis. So in CE.d.

454. For $\mu 06$ Orelli conj. $\sigma$ ot.
 on the ground that it is not usual to elide at the beginning of a word, except $\epsilon$, and that not always. Others read $\mu \grave{\eta}{ }^{\dagger} \mu a \theta \in \hat{\epsilon}$.
 סiкทs.
470. 入úpas is the orig. reading, and is retained by P. Matth. Pff., \&c. If $\lambda \dot{v} \mu a s$ is read, it is accusative, not Doric genitive. For examples of this construction, P. refers to 74 I , and to Persae $7 S_{3}$, кои $\mu \nu \eta \mu 0 \nu \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon \iota$ $\tau \alpha \dot{s} \dot{\epsilon} \mu \dot{\text { às }} \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \tau 0 \lambda \alpha ́ s$, "he does not bear in mind."
490. The orig. reading was $\mu \eta \tau \rho o ̀ s ~ \eta ̈ \tau \tau s ~ \epsilon \dot{\gamma} \gamma \epsilon \nu \dot{\eta} s$. $\pi a \tau \rho o ̀ s ~ a n d ~ \mu \eta \tau \rho o ̀ s$ are easily interchanged when written in MS. IIPOS and MPOS.
493. $\sigma \phi a^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \epsilon \iota \nu$ in the present was originally read; and Pfl., q.v., retains and defends it. But see P.
495. $\dot{\epsilon} \dot{\xi} \alpha \mu \eta \chi \alpha \nu \dot{\eta} \sigma \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu$ is not elsewhere found. But it does not therefore follow that it should be rejected. It may even be that Euripides, who had been harping on $\dot{\alpha} \mu \eta^{\prime} \chi a v o s$, coined the verb for the occasion. $\dot{\alpha} \mu \eta \chi \alpha \nu 0 \hat{v} \mu \epsilon \nu$ occurs just above. If emendation in such a case were necessary, that of Matth. would be the most appropriate
 $\dot{0} \xi \dot{v} \mu \hat{\eta} \chi a \rho$ riซ $\sigma \mu \in \nu$. The latter is rashly ingenious. Besides, $\mu \hat{\eta} \chi a \rho$ is an Eschylean word. Cf. Esch. P. V. 606, where MSS. reading, $\tau i \mu \dot{\eta}$ хpì $\tau i ́ \phi \dot{\rho} \rho \mu a \kappa о \nu \nu$ vórov, has been amended to,- $\tau \iota$ MHXAP [MHXPH] 'H тí фа́pщакоу עо́боv.
498. кє' $\chi \dot{\prime} \mu \in \sigma \theta a$ was the orig. reading. $\kappa \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \dot{\mu} \mu \in \theta \theta a$ Matth.
504. Nearly all agree in reading aipeotal for the original aipeîซ $\theta a \iota$.
 two words are often confused in writing : otherwise there would be no sufficient objection to the old reading.
529. This line has a cretic ending: cf. G40. Since in Phoen. 573
 Elm. would therefore suggest $\kappa a \tau \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \epsilon \tau \epsilon$ in the present passage. But
 катáp $\rho \in \sigma \theta a \iota$ סокєє̂, me placet sacrificari].
 as an adjective of two terminations, quoting in justification Orist. $\mathrm{I}^{12}$,

$557^{\text {. }}$ a $\delta e \lambda \phi$ oùs $\delta^{\prime}$ is given, after Barnes, by most others except $P$. So $\delta \grave{\text { ch has }}$ heen proposed in 437 .
567. This speech is by a large majority of editors assigned to Demophon; orig., to Iolaus; and by Hermann, Matth. and B. to the Chorus. These last believe that Demophon left the stage finally at 473. But, had that been so, would Iolaus in 488 and 493 have spoken
of Demophon as öōe? Demophon probably remained on the stage till his final speech ended at line 573 .
573. The orig. reading was $\pi \rho \dot{\sigma} \sigma \phi \theta \in \gamma \mu \alpha{ }^{\prime} \mu 0$, which P. retains, regarding $\mu 0 九$ as hortative. The objection to $\mu 0$ is that the following line ends with $\mu 0$, which probably crept thence into the line above. However, the two lines are spoken by different people. For $\mu_{0}$ Tyrwhitt would read $\sigma o ́ v .-\pi \rho o ́ \sigma \phi \theta \epsilon \gamma \mu a$ in the singular does not elsewhere occur in Euripides, except perhaps in a doubtful passage, Troad. 777 (al. $\pi \rho \dot{\sigma} \sigma \pi \tau \cup \gamma \mu a)$ : hence the emendations $\ddot{v} \sigma \tau a \tau о \nu \pi \rho \circ \sigma \phi \theta \epsilon \gamma \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu$, and $\dot{v} \sigma \tau \alpha \dot{r} \sigma, s ~ \pi \rho 0 \sigma \phi \theta \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \mu a \sigma t \nu$ have been proposed.
601. For кóp $\eta \nu$ Elm. wrote кópg. It might be either. See the numerous examples qu. by Elm.
602. The orig. reading was ôv́єral, which Barnes emended to $\lambda$ v́єтal, "Joanne Miltono suffragante." The alteration from $\Delta$ to $\Lambda$ is trifling.

61 r . For ${ }^{\alpha} \lambda \lambda a \nu$, á $\lambda \lambda \frac{1}{}{ }^{\prime} \gamma$ ' was the orig. reading. The reading in the text gives the more suitable meaning.

6r3. ' $\epsilon \phi^{\prime} \dot{v} \psi \eta \lambda \omega \bar{\nu}$, B.
614. The $\eta$ of à qita $^{2}$ breaks the metre. Elm. therefore writes à $\lambda$ átav, while some transpose the words of the line. Elm. would like
 $\pi \lambda a \nu \eta^{\prime} \tau^{\prime}$ : while Pfl. after Hermann writes $\tau \dot{o} \nu \bar{\nu} \delta^{\prime} \dot{a} \lambda i \tau a \nu$, saying that the notion of wrong-doing is transferable into that of misery. He would suggest $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu \dot{a} \lambda \hat{v} o \nu \tau^{\prime}$.

618 . The reading in the text is that generally accepted for the orig. $\mu \dot{\eta} \pi \rho \circ \sigma \pi \iota \tau \nu \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \grave{\alpha} \quad \theta \epsilon \omega \hat{\omega} \nu \ddot{\nu} \pi \epsilon$ : but different editors give slight variations from it; for which see Pf.

62\%. eúgévias is an emendation for $\dot{\alpha} \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi \hat{\omega} \nu$, which is probably the error of a transcriber, who was thinking partly of the $\pi a \tau \rho o s$ which he had just written, and partly of the diô $\lambda \phi o i$ for whom Macaria had offered her life. See an interesting note of Elm.
634. The orig. reading was $\sigma u \nu \in \sigma \chi o ́ \mu \eta \nu$, and is retained by Pff., who asserts that it can be, while Elm. maintains that it is not, used
 passive?
640. Porson, whom Pfl. follows, wrote $\nu \hat{\nu} \nu \sigma \omega \tau \dot{\eta} \rho$, to avoid the cretic ending. (Preface to the Hecuba p. 34.) Cf. 529.
649. $\sigma^{\prime} \epsilon \in \chi \rho \eta ̂ \nu$ was the orig. reading. Cf. 706.
$6_{52}$. $\pi \rho 0 \sigma \theta i \xi \in t s$ is the orig. and is retained by Pff.
 original $\tau \sigma \mu \varepsilon v$.

66r. To avoid the double question (which however is a common construction) Musgrave punctuated árap $\tau l^{\prime}$; and Elm. in Append. conj. $\pi$ aîs עûv ä $\pi \in \sigma \tau l$. Cf. 7 II.
669. aủrò̀ for ä̀入op was conj. by Elm.
673. For tenas the orig. reading is ëkas, which Pff. retains and defends as implying "that the sacrifices might not be in the way." But we do not know whether it was the custom to place the victims

680. Taûra, orig.

693. Elm. and others punctuate with a comma after $\mu \in \operatorname{cov} v \mathrm{v}$ a.
694. Elm. of his own idea wrote $\dot{\sigma} \lambda \lambda i \tau \eta s$.
706. Elm. altered $\chi \rho \bar{\eta}$ to $\chi \rho \hat{\rho} \nu$, on the ground that $\chi \rho \hat{\eta} \nu$ is "solenne in reprehensionibus." Cf. 649 .
710. Elm. quotes many instances of $\lambda \lambda \pi \epsilon \hat{\omega}$ being written by mistake for $\lambda$ eimelv, and thinks that $\lambda e i \pi \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ may be the right reading here. But the aorist marks the promptness and finality of the action.
712. Elm. punctuates $\tau i \hat{\delta}$; and P. $\tau i \hat{\delta}, \hat{\eta} \nu$ Aávns $\sigma \dot{v} ;$ But $\tau i$ is here adverbial; and the question is not strictly double as in 66 r .

72f. It was proposed without reason to change the second âv to $\dot{\epsilon} \nu .-\sigma \nu \gamma \kappa \rho \cup \dot{\pi} \tau \tau \nu$ for $\sigma \dot{\partial} \nu \kappa \rho \dot{\prime} \pi \tau \omega \nu$ is orig., and retained by most editors, apparently with reason.
736. नù тaûтa, orig.
743. otos, for oios, wrote "tacite Barnesius," Flm. The sentence would so be simplified; and the only, but a grave, objection to this reading, is that oios occurs nowhere else in Eur. and only once in

750. фaєбiußporol, orig., Pfl., P. and others. Against the old reading is the fact that the sun is not often called $\theta$ eoे without epithet. Pflugk however gives instances where it is the case. In favour of the -ot, we have the fact that adjectives are often thus piled on to one only of two nouns, as in the epithets of Salamis, Ajax I34.
751. Eve' $\gamma \kappa a \tau^{\prime}$, orig., and Pf.
752. For $\overline{l a} \chi \dot{\eta} \sigma a \tau \epsilon$.-Pfl. would suggest $l^{\prime} \gamma \chi \eta \delta^{\circ}$ ' ${ }^{T} \tau \omega$, saying (without reason) that it is absurd to tell the sun to take tidings to Athena.

755. Hermann reads $\mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda \epsilon$, which makes Iolaus the subject.
 to be stoned. Cf. As. inif, $\theta \hat{\imath} \mu a$ גєivtuov=sacrificium exsecrandum. Pff. reads кє $\kappa \epsilon \dot{\sigma} \sigma \mu \circ \nu$ "Apros, understanding $\hat{\circ} v$, accusative absolute, "jubente." But Elm. writes ályas for "Apyos, observing that the words have also been interchanged in Eur. Sushl. 73.7.
769. The original reading is ILOTANEITEMOT ФANONSTAI. This, as Elm. observes, cannot be what Euripides wrote, (1) because тотє occurs twice in the clause (2) because $\alpha^{2} \nu$ with the future indicative is a solecism in Tragic Greek. To emend this with certainty is impossible, since we do not know the sentiment that Eur. wished to express; nor whether, for instance, $\Theta$ eoi or Zeùs was intended to be the subject of the remark.-For the numerous attempts to emend, see Pflugk. Equal in plausibility to that given in the text, is $\pi \rho u \tau$ ávecs $\dot{\epsilon} \mu \circ \grave{\prime}$, Musgrave.
774. The orig. is סopúrovia, "hasta pusnaturum," which would be fut. part. of ōopíбनw. Cf. Pfl., who quotes Eustathius, but reads

 and Pfl. substitute $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda ’ \dot{\epsilon} \pi i$ $\sigma o i$. For $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i \quad \sigma o i ̀ c f$. Soph. El. $360, \dot{\epsilon} \phi{ }^{\prime}$

 together.
778. For $\lambda \dot{r} \theta \in \epsilon$, the old reading was кєí $\theta \epsilon$. Both are supported by MSS., and the latter would mean "neque latet=neque celebratione carent."

7SO. For $\nu \nu^{\prime} \omega \nu$ the orig. reading was $\nu \bar{a} \hat{\omega} \nu$, which might stand at the beginning of a line, quantity notwithstanding. See Elm.
${ }_{7}{ }^{S 1}$ r. The orig. was $\delta \dot{\delta} \dot{\gamma} \dot{\epsilon} \pi^{\prime} \delta \chi \theta \varphi$, for which many editors read $\gamma$ âs $\delta \chi \theta \omega$ with Pf.
785. Elm. considered $\tau \hat{\varphi} \hat{0} \epsilon$ "frigidum," and wrote $\tau 0 \dot{\sigma} \sigma \hat{\delta} \epsilon$. - " $\lambda \epsilon$ ' $\gamma \epsilon \epsilon \nu \epsilon \tau \hat{\varphi} \hat{0} \epsilon$ Jacobsius conjecturâ pereleganti." Pfl.
 or ô $\iota \dot{\eta} \gamma a \gamma \in \nu$.
 Iolaus, having left the stage, cannot be spoken of as öoc.-B. gives $\varepsilon i \sigma \iota \nu \quad \ddot{\delta} \epsilon$, "huc redibit," on the ground that $\epsilon i \sigma \iota \nu$ and $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau i \nu$ are so often interchanged; cf. 386 . But öô can scarcely bear that meaning.
799. The orig. onuaivel is retained by Pfl. and P., but Elm. suggested the future as more appropriate, and on the ground that copying
clerks frequently wrote present for future ; especially in "verbis liquidatis" Cobet, e.g. ктєiv $\omega, \phi \theta \in i \rho \omega, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$.

Sor. Elm. wrote $\epsilon$ єтєivovtas, "productos," intransitively, in the


So5. After Heath, Elm. and Matth. print $\epsilon i \alpha{ }^{\sigma} \sigma a \mu \in \nu$ without stop, and indicate lacuna, which Elm. would fill by кal тàs Muкךעàs aûقıs єip $\rho \dot{\nu} \eta \nu$ $\alpha^{\alpha} \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$; of which line, he says, the кal $\tau \dot{\alpha} s$ M. in the next line is a reminiscence. But P. rightly thinks the lacuna unnecessary: and that with кai $\tau \dot{\alpha}$ s M. should be supplied the $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ which is requisite for the thought, though the metre has no room for it.
822. For $\beta$ ротєí $\omega \nu$ Paley thinks $\beta$ отєí $\omega \nu$ is possible: since ( $\mathbf{x}$ ) $\beta$ от and $\beta \rho \circ \tau$. are interchanged in Æsch. Suppl. 665, (2) $\lambda a \iota \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ in the plural would scarcely be used of Macaria only. The suggestion is plausible, especially as ( 1 ) the sacrifices in question seem to have been made by the $\mu a ́ \nu \tau \epsilon \iota s$ in both armies; and (2) a human sacrifice would have demanded some explanation or apology from the narrator, though for obvious reasons the name of Macaria would still have been suppressed.
824. Elm. and Pfl. have note on the spelling of $\pi \lambda \in u p a i ̂ s$, which Elm. would write $\pi \lambda \epsilon v \rho o i ̂ s$.
828. $\theta \epsilon \in \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu$ is accepted for the orig. $\theta \epsilon \lambda \dot{\omega} \nu$. If $\theta \epsilon \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu$, the $\mu \dot{\eta}$ may still stand.
833. Accepted for the orig. $\pi \dot{\sigma} \sigma o \nu \tau \iota \nu \grave{\alpha} \tau \iota \nu a \gamma \mu \grave{\nu} \nu, "$ shaking." Besides the faultiness of the metre, $\tau \iota \nu a \gamma \mu \grave{\nu} \nu$ is not found, except once in Greg. Naz. It was objected to the reading of the text, that the two nouns mean much the same thing. But Elm. compares Æsch.

834. $\mu \epsilon \in \nu \nu v \nu$ is accepted for the orig. $\mu \hat{c} \nu \nu v \hat{v} \nu$, which P. retains, (probably accidentally).
837. $\mu a ́ \chi \eta$ was altered by Elm. to $\mu a ́ \chi \eta$, on the ground that Eur. intended to write the familiar phrase, which occurs in Thuc. Iv. 43 and elsewhere, кal $\hat{\eta} \nu \dot{\eta} \mu \dot{\chi} \chi \eta$ карт $\dot{f} \rho$. But, surely, as Pff. suggests, slight variation is more probable and poetical than a direct reproduction.
838. The reading in the text is generally accepted for the orig. $\tau 0 \hat{u}$ $\kappa \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \dot{\sigma} \sigma \mu a \tau 0$.

## 845. P. would suggest $\hat{\epsilon} \sigma \beta \hat{\eta} \sigma a \iota$.

848. $\lambda \in ́ \gamma o \iota \mu e ̀ v ~ a ̈ \lambda \lambda o s ~ w a s ~ t h e ~ o r i g . ~$
849. $\dot{v} \pi \dot{\jmath}$ was orig. for $\dot{\epsilon} \pi l$, which was suggested by Elm.
850. Elm. wrote $\pi \alpha i ̂ \delta^{\circ} \alpha \theta^{\prime}$ for $\gamma^{\prime}$, after Reiske.

SS4. The orig. was крatoûvta, which might possibly, with Mermann, be explained by the idea of seeing Eurystheus in the mind's eye, in both conditions. But it is impossible that active can be put for passive. Among other suggestions are, $\pi \iota \tau \nu 0 u ̂ \nu \tau a, ~ к \lambda a l o \nu \tau a, ~ \pi \rho \grave{~} \tau$ тоû $\mu \dot{\jmath} \nu$ ov, áкрaroûvra. The last is the text of Musgrave and Bothe.P. would suggest крaтoûja $\tau \hat{\eta} \sigma \hat{\eta}$. Elm. rightly thinks that some such word as $\beta$ \ $\epsilon \boldsymbol{\pi} \pi о \nu \tau \alpha$, in the sense of $\zeta \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \alpha$, would be most appropriate: and of many suggestions writes that they are "Sardi venales, alius alio nequior."
888. For $\mu 06$ Porson conj. $\dot{\mathrm{o}} \mu \mathrm{o}$. . (Adecrs. p. 274.) The orig. was $\mu 0 v_{\text {. }}$
890. è $\lambda \epsilon v \theta \epsilon \rho \omega \dot{\sigma} \epsilon l \nu$, Porson and Elm.
893. ठaı for $\delta a \iota \tau i$ was orig. Pfl. after Hermann writes êvl $\tau \epsilon \delta \alpha i ̂ \tau \epsilon s$ (for $\begin{gathered}\text { ゼ } \nu \in \sigma \tau \iota) . ~\end{gathered}$
894. $\tau^{\prime}$ is Elm. emend. for $\delta \delta$, since the $\delta \hat{\delta}$ in 895 corresponds to $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ in 892. But the other editors, including P., retain $\delta \xi_{\text {. }}$. And the double $\delta \stackrel{\varepsilon}{c}$ is common enough.
895. $\hat{a} \rho a$, as printed, "sacrifices sense to metre," P. But it must be taken as a strong ápa.
899. Elm. would prefer the o as in Or. $\mathbf{1}_{75}$, ímvoס6тєєpa. But all agree in $\omega$, metri gratiâ.
903. "In three MSS. $\sigma \epsilon$ is not found." B. $-\mu \eta \dot{\eta} \gamma$, Hermann.
912. $\phi \in \cup ́ \gamma \omega$, "rejicio," is the emend. of Elm. for the orig. $\phi \in \cup$ ú $\epsilon \iota$, "aspernatur." Reading $\phi \in \dot{\prime} \gamma \epsilon \iota$, Matth. and P. take the clause w's $\tau \delta \nu \ldots \kappa a \tau \xi \beta a$ as the subject of it. But others take Heracles as the subject.
919. P. for $\tau d$ would read $\delta \hat{\epsilon}$, with great plausibility.
924. The text is accepted for orig. ${ }^{\prime} \sigma \chi \in \delta^{\prime} \dot{v} \beta \rho \epsilon \iota s$, which is against
 lutely in the sense of cessavit? Cf. Thuc. I. 112, $\pi 0 \lambda \notin \mu 0 v$ ध́ $\sigma \chi 0 \nu$ oi 'A $\theta \eta \nu a i o t$. See Elm.
925. Pfl. retains the orig. $\beta$ cailws. Matth. reads $\pi$ pòs $\delta i \kappa a s ~ \beta u a i \omega s . ~$
932. Accepted for the orig. $\pi 0 \lambda \nu \pi \dot{\sigma} \nu \omega \nu \sigma \dot{v} \nu \dot{\alpha} \sigma \pi i \sigma \iota \nu$.
933. For $\tau \hat{\xi} \tau \tau u ́ \chi \eta s$ B., from 3 MSS., reads $\tau \hat{\rho} s \delta i \kappa \eta s$. For $\pi o ́ \lambda v$, Jacobs sugg. $\pi \delta \lambda \lambda \iota \nu$. Pfl.
935. Since $\tau$ úxas was the orig. reading for the now accepted тúx $\eta \nu$, Elm. would suggest $\tau u ́ \chi \eta$ s.
937. The orig. Ě $\sigma \tau a \sigma a \nu$ is retained by Matth. and B., who refer, for the active usc, to Hom. Il. M. 56 ; Od. Г. 182, Ө. 435.
950. iispas $\tau \epsilon$ Oipads $\tau$ ' is suggested by P., to supply the connecting $\tau \epsilon$, and since the Nemean lion is elsewhere called $\theta \eta^{\prime} \rho$.
959. Elm. after Reiske with Matth. alters $\chi \rho \eta \eta_{\text {to }} \chi \rho \hat{\eta} \nu$. $\chi \rho \hat{\eta} \nu$ gives the general statement of a permanent law; while $\chi$ pì applies only to the particular case. Cf. 968,969 , and $M c d .573$ - $\chi \rho \hat{\eta} \nu$ and $\chi \rho \dot{\eta}$ were often interchanged by transcribers, and may have been in the present passage.
961. Altered by Elm. to oúk ${ }^{\prime} \sigma \tau \iota \nu$ ö $\sigma \iota \circ \nu$, to be like Iph. T. 1037. The change is not great, but not necessary.

971 . This line is more usually punctuated $\delta i \kappa \eta \nu$; The sense of oủkoûv is at any rate affirmative.

9§r. Musgrave, with whom Hermann agrees, conj. к $\dot{\alpha} \sigma u{ }^{\gamma} \gamma \nu \omega \sigma$ тov. Pff.
 H and OT are often interchanged, wrote ov̀ ô $\hat{\eta} \tau a$, "no indeed," quoting many similar passages.
995. Díwas is accepted for the orig. ōntoas, which Elm. retains, quoting from Strabo IV. p. I83 a line of the Prometheus Avó $\mu \in \boldsymbol{v}$ os,
 to ravage, as in O. C. 1319, ठ. ä $\sigma \tau v \pi v \rho$ i.
1006. Emended from the orig. $\delta v \sigma \gamma \epsilon \nu \hat{\eta}$.
roII. For kat $\theta a \nu \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$, the orig. kat $\theta a \nu \dot{\omega} \nu$ is by Pfl, P. and most others retained. But Elm. would here, as in 228, write the infinitive.

IO14. The text is accepted for the orig. $\pi \rho \partial{ }^{2} \dot{a} \gamma$ ' $\epsilon i \pi a s$, though Elm. edited $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \epsilon i \pi a s$. Probably the $\pi \rho o ̀ s$ was added in the margin by some reader who wished to explain that ä $\gamma$ ' was to be taken in the sense "quod attinet ad." Euripides never begins a line with a tribrach, unless it is one word, as iкєтal, "Aкацаs, 'Ió入aos: excepting only with prepositions, as $O r .898, \dot{\epsilon} \pi i \tau \hat{\varphi} \hat{\delta} \epsilon$, Herc. F. 940 , Alc. 375 . Elm.
1029. $\mu \epsilon i \zeta \Delta \nu^{\prime}$ is the orig. reading, and so P. But Elm. and most editors read $\mu \epsilon \hat{i} \delta 0 \nu$, neuter : a change which is not necessary.
1038. $\dot{\jmath 0} 0 \dot{\nu} \mu \eta \nu$ is accepted for the orig. $\eta \rho \sigma \mu \eta \nu$. But Heath would read $\dot{\eta} \rho \circ$ ú $\mu \eta$ v.
1039. kpei $\sigma \sigma \omega$ is accepted by all after Matth. for the orig. $\mu \in i j \omega$ : which nevertheless might stand.
1050. Elm. suggested $\pi v \rho \imath$ and Heath $\lambda \dot{\sigma} \sigma \nu \nu$.
1053. The orig. $\tau$ aûta is usually retained. But P. edits raútà.

## EXPLANATORY NOTES.

Albreviations. $\mathrm{Tr} .=$ translate. $\quad \mathrm{Qu} .=$ quotes. $\mathrm{I} .=$ see Critical Notes. Elm. = Elmsley. Pf. $=$ Pflugk. P. = Paley, B. $=$ Bothe. Matth. = Matthire. L. and Sc. = Liddell and Scott's Lexicon, Sixth Edition, 1869.

Observe that occasionally the more advanced part of a note is enclosed in brackets, thus [ ].

A Critical Note is only referred to when the variation in question is of exceptional importance.
2. Translate either rois $\pi \epsilon$ 'גas $\pi \epsilon \in \phi u \kappa \epsilon \dot{\alpha} \nu \grave{\rho} \rho$, "is a man born for the advantage of his neighbours." Cf. Iphig. A. $1386 \pi a ̂ \sigma \iota ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ \mu ' " E \lambda \lambda \eta \sigma \iota ~$
 genitum se credere mundo. Or, with Pfl., $\pi$ '́фuкє $\dot{\alpha} y \grave{\eta} \rho$ סikalos roîs $\pi \in \lambda a s$, "just to his neighbours."
 deditum, Elm. lucro effusum, B. The idea is, "so much freed from restraint that you give yourself up to one thing only:" it is almost a metaphor from driving with loosened rein. Notice àvecuévov eis.
4. $\pi \dot{\delta} \lambda \epsilon \iota, a$ state. -кai $\sigma$. $\beta$ apùs $=$ "et in vitae commercio gravis;" referring to prizate business as distinct from $\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota$. For $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda a ́ \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu$, cf. v. 12. $\Sigma u v a \lambda \lambda \alpha \sigma^{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu=$ "to bring into dealings;" hence, to have dealings with. For construction, Pfl. qu. Eur. Frag. Incert. cxlv., тробо $\mu \lambda \lambda \epsilon \hat{\nu}$ ท̈ठ̈ $\sigma \sigma \tau о$.
5. apıбтоs = "fructuosissimus," B.-For oủ 入ó $\gamma \varphi$, cf. Prom. 336,
 oiôa $\mu a \theta$ ứv.
6. aiôô̂ implies partly the noble shame that avoids shame and is equivalent to self-respect : and partly the feeling of pity for others which is produced by that self-respect. See note on ai $\sigma \chi \nu \nu \grave{\eta}, 200$. Cf. also


7. With $\epsilon \dot{\xi} \dot{\circ} \nu$ (nominative or accusative absolute) supply $\mu 0$. Cf.
 фỉdous $\gamma \in \nu$ é $\sigma$ Oal.
8. For $\mu \epsilon ' \tau \epsilon \sigma \chi{ }^{\prime} \nu \pi o \nu \omega \hat{\nu}$ ' $\mathrm{H} \rho a \kappa \lambda \epsilon \in \epsilon$, dative of person, with partitive genitive of the thing, cf. $627,665,688$.-For $\epsilon$ is $\dot{\alpha} \nu \eta{ }_{\eta} \rho$, " was the one
 743. Pfl--Hpaк $\lambda_{\epsilon \epsilon \iota \text {, the uncontracted form, is found only here and in }}$ 988. Notice the genitive in 54 I .
10. $\dot{v} \pi \grave{o} \pi \tau \epsilon \rho 0 i \stackrel{i}{s}$. For vimò with the dative, which is used by the poets, and implies dependence, cf. L. and Sc., ímò B. II. 2. For ímò ттєроîs, Pfl. well quotes Plat. Legg. 8ri, b.
II. $\tau \alpha \dot{d} \hat{\epsilon}=$ " even these," pointing at them.-Cf. Ar. Eccl. 412, ópâтє $\mu$ èv $\mu \varepsilon \delta \epsilon o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \nu \sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho i a s$.
12. $\gamma \dot{a} \rho$. Notice that $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ is most frequently used to introduce a fuller explanation of a preceding brief statement: cf. $\mathrm{I}_{7}$. Observe the instances of $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ in Thuc. I. I.
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \eta \lambda \lambda \alpha \chi^{\theta} \eta \eta$, "had been set free from." $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha} \sigma \sigma \omega=$ "to make other than it is, and so, to exchange."
 -ктaveiv, aorist, $=$ " to kill at once, and have done with it."
14. '̇'є́oppautv. Neither this word, nor any other compound of ô $о р \dot{\rho} \sigma \kappa \omega$, occurs elsewhere in Tragedians, except in Ajax, 167, $\dot{a} \pi \epsilon \in \partial \rho a \nu$. $\delta \iota \delta \rho \alpha \sigma \kappa \omega$ is the regular word for runaway's, esp. slaves.
 not a dignified word.

14, 15 . Tr. "Country is lost, but life was saved."
 "to be banished, to live as exiles," cf. 186, 190, 222, \&cc. So $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \beta a ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu$ ="banish." (Cf. Madvig, Gk. Synt. § п Io. a. R. 2.) For the exile of

16. $\epsilon_{\xi} \xi \rho i \bar{\zeta} \epsilon \nu$ in $257=$ "to put beyond a boundary, to banish;" but here is used only with accusative of motion towards. opl$\zeta \omega$ strictly means to make a öpos, and so to pass through something that constitutes a öpos. Cf. Med. 433, ôlôv́uovs ópíara aóvtov $\pi$ ध́тpas, Aesch. Suppl.
 Ion, 1459 .
18. $\ddot{\beta} \rho \rho \iota \sigma \mu a=$ " a piece or instance of $\ddot{i \beta} \beta \iota$; " i.e. a violent outrage on the person. Cf. 280.- $\dot{\eta} \xi$. = "has thought fit."
 indefinite notion of " from time to time."
20. $\chi \theta$ ovòs $=$ " from that land."
21. oú $\sigma \mu \varkappa \kappa \rho a ̀ \nu$ is to be taken as one word.- $\pi \rho \circ \tau \epsilon i \nu \omega \nu=$ " holding out, minaciter ostentans." [Elm. qu. Hdt. IX. 4, $\pi \rho \circ \epsilon \in \chi \omega \nu$ $\mu \grave{\nu} \nu \tau \hat{\nu} \nu$ 'A $\theta \eta \nu a i \omega \nu$ oú фiतias $\gamma \nu \omega \dot{\mu} \mu a s$, where $\pi \rho o \epsilon ́ \chi \omega \nu$, he says $=\pi \rho о \tau \epsilon i \nu \omega \nu$ : but it can also be taken as = "having first in his mind the fact that"...]. See N.
22. $\theta \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \theta$ al. See L. and Sc., B. III.
 бoû. Cf. Eur. El. 280. Pf.
27. Note the meaning of $\pi \rho a ́ \sigma \sigma \omega$ as distinct from $\pi 0$ oté $\omega$.
28. For $\dot{\delta} \kappa \nu \hat{\omega} \nu$, cf. 245 : in both cases, it is used of reluctance as arising from shame; but often as arising from alarm.
29. ÉvTLv=" is no longer in existence;" $\begin{gathered} \\ \sigma \\ \sigma\end{gathered}$ this meaning or $={ }_{\epsilon}^{\xi} \xi \sigma \tau \tau$.
30. ${ }^{2} \mu \nu \nu \varepsilon$. Aor. I.
31. $\delta \hat{\epsilon}=$ "and." So also in 39, \&c.
32. Marathon was noted for its special worship of Hercules. A temple of Hercules at Marathon is mentioned by Herodotus VI. 108. See also Pindar, Ol. 9, I34; 13,157 . In the latter passage, Marathon is complimented as being $\lambda \iota \pi a \rho a ́$.
 of which they were $\tau \eta \tau \omega^{\prime} \mu \in \nu 0$, , " in act of being bereft;" but he goes on to say why they hoped for hospitality there.
33. iкє́ $\tau a l \pi \rho o \sigma \omega \phi \in \lambda \hat{\eta} \sigma a \iota=$ " as suppliants to the effect, or, with the petition, that they may aid us." The infinitive is explanatory, and quotes the supplication. See notes on 178 and $3+5$. For $\beta \dot{x}^{\prime} \mu \mathrm{m}$, of, 196, 238.

35. The ôı $\sigma \sigma 0 i$ maîōs were Demophon and Acamas. But A. takes no part in the action. See the note on 119.

катоккєiv=" to dwell in ;" but кatowij̄єt ="to colonize." Cf. 46 .


this passage the subject of the first clause is the object of the second. Notice the attraction of $\epsilon i s)$.
36. $\lambda a \gamma \chi$ áv $\omega$ is either used, as here, absolutely; or, with infinitive; or, with partitive genitive, as in Eur. Sufpl. 1086, ôı $\pi \lambda \circ \hat{u} \beta \iota o \hat{u} \lambda \alpha$ Хо́ขтєs.
37. $\tau 0 \hat{\sigma} \sigma \hat{\prime}=$ "to inese children here." For the relationship, see 207.
38. $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \delta \hat{\epsilon}$ ojóóv $=$ "hanc migrandi vicem" $=$ "in this manner;" not, "along this road." In either case, the accusative denotes extension. Cf. Andr. II $25, \epsilon \dot{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon \beta \in i ̂ s$ öôous $\eta$ グкоעтa. On the adverbial accusative, see Madvig, Gk. Syntax, §3I d.
39. Svoî̀ rєpóvтoıv. Iolaus, and Alcmena the mother of Hercules. Iolaus is said to have been the son of Iphicles, who was the half-brother of Hercules. Euripides does not mention this; probably because the reference to such relationship would make the difference between the ages of Iolaus and Alcmena seem too great. Perhaps Eur. was not even aware of the relationship. [Pfl. suggests, Prooemium p. 8, that Eur. thought that his audience, in time of war, and in a play written for a temporary purpose, would not trouble themselves about such minute points as the omission of mention of relationship, or the improbabiiity of Iolaus being old enough to be fitly called $\gamma \dot{\epsilon} \rho \omega \nu$.]
40. кa, $\chi \alpha i \nu \omega \nu$. Notice the construction: nominativus pendens. Translate, "pondering." See Bothe's note, and L. and Sc. Ci.

41. $\tau$ ò $\theta \hat{\eta} \lambda \nu \gamma^{\prime}$ vos. But legend says that Heracles had seventy-two sons and only one daughter, Macaria. Pfl. Prooemium, p. 7.
 here $=$ "regarded from within," and so, "within."- $\mathbf{v} \pi \eta \eta \gamma_{\kappa} \lambda \iota \sigma \mu$ év $\eta$, passive, $=$ "having them clasped in her arms." The same construction as that used with verbs of clothing; which put into the accusative the thing worn. ن $\boldsymbol{\pi} a \gamma \kappa \dot{\alpha} \lambda \iota \sigma \mu a$ is a common word in Trag. In Cyclops, 498, is $\dot{\nu \pi} \pi \gamma_{\kappa} \alpha \lambda i \zeta \omega \nu$, active.

43, 44. "Since we are ashamed that young girls, who are maids, should approach a crowd and stand at an altar." The latter verb is intransitive, and therefore probably the former also. But $\pi \epsilon \lambda \alpha^{\prime} \xi \epsilon \iota \nu$ is more
 But cf. 288 of this play.- $0 \chi$ रos here and in 122 is used of the chorus: but note that the meaning is often "a crowd of troubles;" as in
 will bear either of these meanings，though the latter is preferable．

45．oíc $\pi \rho \in \sigma \beta \in$ éce $\gamma$ fivos＝＂who are eldest in birth；＂but in 479， $\pi \rho \in \sigma \beta \in \dot{\varepsilon} \epsilon \iota \nu$ रévous＝＂to act as ambassador for the family ；＂and in 庣ch． Eum．1．$\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \epsilon \dot{v} \omega$ raîa $=$＂give the first rank to．＂There are hardly any instances of $\gamma \in \operatorname{los}$ in the sense of birth：but Pfl．qu．Iliad O．182， $\gamma \in \nu \in ́ \eta \pi$ rןoréfous．［Some would propose yóvos：but this is used only in the sense of proles．Elm．］

4 $S$ ．$\dot{\epsilon} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ ，emphatic，from its position at the end of the line,$=$＂of mize（since there is none other）．＂

49．The name of the кท́pv气̆ is not mentioned in this play；but in Iliad 0． 636 he is called Copreus．

50．$\epsilon^{\prime} \phi$＇$\dot{\mu} \mu \hat{\alpha} s=$＂quite up to us．＂－oṽ，i．e．Ev̉pur $\theta$＇́ws．
51．à $\hat{\eta}$ tal，cf． $224,318,3^{6} 4,5^{15},="$ cxiles＂in Trag．；in Odysscy＂ is only used of beggars；in Iliad，not at all．－$\dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \eta \mu \epsilon \dot{v} \nu$ ，defrauded， unlawfully deprived of：a sense which this word always carries．It sometimes takes the accusative，as $\dot{\alpha} \phi a \iota \rho \epsilon \hat{\sigma} \theta a l$ in 162.

52．$\omega \mu \hat{i} \sigma o s, c f .76, \hat{\omega}$ rálas：nominatives，because these are meant as statements of facts，not as exclamations．Abstract for concrete； so in Mid．1323．Cf．use of scelus＝＂scoundrel，＂in Plautus and Terence．

53．$\delta \dot{\eta}$ intensifies $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha}$ ，as though it were a superlative．－$\hat{s} s$ ，here and in 57 ，is used with indicative where qui in Latin would take the subjunctive $;=$＂$\quad$ ö $\sigma \pi \epsilon$ ．＂

54．$\eta \geqslant \gamma \epsilon \epsilon \lambda a s$ ，frequentative．－кака́ is so far removed from $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \alpha$ that it makes a fresh statement－＂many messages，and all bad．＂Cf． the use of $\tau$ á $\delta \epsilon$ in if．

55．Tr．I suppose you think that the post you here occupy is good．
 less references for $\hat{\eta} \pi 00=$＂I suppose，＂＂no doubt．＂Pf．qu．Xen．


58．$\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \sigma \dot{\eta} \nu$ ，contemptuously，as in $28_{4}$ ，and often elsewhere $=$ ＂that of yours，that of which you make so much．＂Cf．Hifpol．if $3, \tau \eta{ }^{\prime}$
 ［But not so in 99．］－For the phrase $\pi$ ápot $\theta \in \nu$ ávti Eujpva日écs，Pfl．
 is superfluous．－Observe that $\pi \dot{\alpha} \rho o t \theta \epsilon$ ，＂before，＂here $=i n$ preference to． It is also possible to connect $\pi$ ápot $\theta^{\prime}$ aip．closely together as $=\pi \rho o a \iota \rho \eta^{\prime}$－ бєтац．
59. $\mu 0 \chi \theta \epsilon \hat{\imath}$, neuter, here used almost actively. So in Eur. El. 64, Hec. 815 .

 reading in N .) and Orestes $6 \mathrm{r}_{4}$.
 paios, cf. 70, 79, $121,238,3+\mathrm{r}$.-[But legend said that they fled $\pi \rho \dot{s}$

62. $\epsilon^{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon \cup \theta \epsilon \dot{\rho} \rho a$, possibly predicate.

 $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \rho o s ;$ the second accusative being one more closely defining the meaning of the first. Madvig, Gk. Synt. § 31. a. R. 2.
64. oüтo九 $\gamma \epsilon=$ "surely you will not."
 Choefth. 305, Eur. Suppl. 580.-Mávits ס', \&c., implies that the herald is going to use force.-For the accusative of respect, táóe, cf. Plato Apol. 18 в, $\tau \grave{\alpha} \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \in \omega \rho a$ фроעтเбт $\eta \dot{\nu}$. Pf.
66. $\dot{\epsilon} \mu 0 \hat{u}$ 乡 $\grave{\omega} \nu \tau u s$, "at any time in my life," is the genitive absolute in the sense, to which it can generally be referred, of the time at which a thing happens.

6-. äтaıpє, used intransitively, = "depart." But in Cyclops 131, $\dot{a}-a i \rho \omega \mu \in \nu \quad \chi$ Oovos, it governs the genitive. With this word, Copreus throws Iolaus to the ground. Cf. 75 .
68. vo $\mu i \xi \omega \nu$, see $\mathrm{N} .-0 \hat{\pi} \pi \epsilon \rho \epsilon i \sigma i=$ " whose they are."
 here, as B. rightly thinks, the natives of Attica are addressed as aủrox ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{o} v \in \mathrm{~s}$, and the sense is complimentary.
70. auuvete is here used absolutely, as in Ar. Vespac 197. But cf. $16_{4}, 302$ of this play. The usual construction is as in Herc. F. 219 , тotaû̀' a $\mu u ́ \nu \epsilon \theta^{\prime}$ 'Hрак $\lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath}$.
àyopaiou $\Delta$ iós. Probably there was a temple of Zeus in the 'A ${ }^{\prime}$ ópa at Marathon, as well as at Athens. In every 'Ayópa were altars of Zeus and of Hermes 'Ayopaîor. Cf. Hdt. v. $46, \epsilon \in \pi i$ $\Delta i o ̀ s ~ ' A \gamma o p a i o u ~$ $\beta \omega \mu$ óv. Cf. Eum. 973, Ag. 9 о.
ir. Buasóueबta. Notice the passive use; and cf. Antig. 66, and 1073. P. $-\sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \phi \eta$. Cf. 124 .
72. Nominatives in apposition.- $\tau \epsilon$, к $\alpha=$ "quum, tum; " the кal clause being, as usual, the more important.- аं $\tau \mu i a$, ( $=$ "infamy" in its technical sense, for which see L. and Sc.) is a strong word. Tr. "disgrace to city and dishonour to gods."

 "will it not soon reveal a disaster, and of what kind will that disaster be?"

75,76 . dochmiacs express great excitement.- $\dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha \lambda o ́ \nu=$ " $\dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \lambda \grave{\alpha} \nu$, $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu \hat{\eta}$, ," Hesychius. See P.; who qu. Iliad 22, 3 ro, ä $\rho \nu \alpha \dot{\alpha} \mu a \lambda \dot{\eta} \nu$, and Od. 20. 14.-For $\chi \underline{v} \mu \in \nu V \nu$, which is aorist of $\chi^{\epsilon} \epsilon \omega$, B. qu. Acneid, Ix. $1_{6}$, fusique per herbam.
77. $\epsilon^{\epsilon} \nu \gamma \hat{\eta}=\epsilon i s \gamma \hat{\eta} \nu$. Pfl.
78. Notice how the three pronouns are in emphatic juxtaposition.

80. See N.- $\tau \epsilon \tau \rho a ́ \pi \tau 0 \lambda \iota \nu$, cf. 32 : a name applied to four adjoining ô $\eta \mu i$, of which Marathon was one. Their names are given by Strabo,
 Lysist. 285. [See Pf. Proomium p. 6.] The meaning of the entire question of the chorus is, Did you come by land or by sea?

83. катє́ $\chi \epsilon \tau \epsilon$, used here intransitively $=$ come down to the coast from the high seas; or, possibly, one may supply $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \nu a \hat{v} \nu$, or $\tau$ có $\nu \hat{\epsilon} \epsilon$ tò $\tau_{o}^{\prime} \pi o \nu$. It is present tense for past, as Pf. remarks, quoting Ach. vir. 196, advertitis aequore cursum.-Cf. Thuc. vir. 33, кatioxovoıv, IF. $4^{2}$, катабХ $\dot{\eta} \sigma 0 v \sigma \iota \nu$.-катaбхєiv is more usual in this sense than кaтé $\chi \epsilon \nu$; and in fact кaré $\sigma \chi \epsilon \tau \epsilon$ is the old reading, altered metri gratiâ.

 $\tau \rho i \eta s$. Elm.
84. $\nu \eta \sigma \omega \omega \tau \eta \nu$ is used disparagingly. Cf. Rhesus, 7or, and Androm. 14, qu. by P.-So also $\tau \rho i \beta \omega$, in the sense of terere, to wear out or to waste.
85. $̇ \kappa$ M., as if he said "from proud Mycenae."
87. ї $\boldsymbol{\nu}^{\prime} \mu \alpha \zeta^{\prime}$. Imperfect for aorist, metri gratia. See examples in P.
88. $\pi a p a \sigma \tau a ́ t \eta \nu . ~ C f . ~ 216, ~ a n d ~ X e n . ~ C y r o p . ~ V I I I . ~ I, ~ 10, ~ \pi a p a-~$

89. For áкйpuктоs in another sense, see Xen. Anal. ini. 3, इ,

enemy was to be allowed; and other exs. in L. and Sc. Cf. Verg. AEn. 7, 196, auditique advertitis.
90. $\tau \circ \hat{u}=\tau$ lvos $=$ "whose?" [possibly $=$ "why?"].
 its not uncommon meaning of carrying off as booty, as in Ozd. Col. I 4 II: but not so here.
94. $\sigma^{\prime} \theta \in v$. Addressed to the Choragus, as the spokesman of the chorus.
 [But Pfl., after Matth., would render "what do you want?"] Strictly it is an accusative of respect. A fuller phrase occurs in Orestes, 151,
 $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma$ la.
96. $\mu \in \lambda c ́ \mu \in \nu 0 t$, "having a care:" cf. $354, \mu \in \dot{\lambda} \lambda o \nu \tau a i l \sigma o u$. Cf. note on $\mu \in \lambda_{\epsilon \iota \nu}, 7 \mathrm{II}$.
97. $\mu \dot{\eta} \tau \quad$ ' є่ $\kappa \delta 0 \theta \hat{\eta} \nu \alpha \iota$, sc. $\mu \in \lambda o ́ \mu \in \nu 0 \iota$.
99. roìs $\sigma o i s$ is used tauntingly, to mimic the $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \sigma \hat{\omega} \nu$ of the preceding line.
ror-103. $\xi_{\xi}^{\xi} \dot{v} \epsilon$ and $\sigma \phi \in$ both refer to Copreus; and the meaning is, You must not go with hands stained by violence. But if $\sigma \epsilon$ is read instead of $\sigma \phi \epsilon$ [see N.], the whole remark is then addressed to Iolaus, and $\beta$ 人ai $\varphi \in \epsilon \iota i$ would then be the dative of the instrument, "owing to the hand of violence."
104. $\pi \epsilon i \sigma \epsilon \tau a l$ is not from $\pi \dot{\alpha} \sigma \chi \omega$, but from $\pi \epsilon i \theta \omega$, according to P., who qu. Æsch. Theb. 1065, $\tau i s ~ \hat{a} \nu \tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau a \pi i \theta o \iota \tau 0$;
107. Tr. either " it is wicked for a state to let go a suppliant band of strangers;" or (with P., taking $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \tau \rho o \pi \dot{a} \nu ~ \pi \dot{d} \lambda \epsilon \iota$ together), " who have appealed to the state." The order of the words is in favour of the latter: but most commentators take $\ddot{a} \theta \epsilon o \nu \pi \delta \dot{\lambda} \lambda \epsilon \iota$ together notwithstanding. Elm. would tr. "tradere civitati Argivae" $=$ "to send back to their own land." See Pf.

 1293.
110. $\tau v \chi$ óv $\alpha$, accusative where we might have had dative, makes the remark general instead of personal.- ev̉pounias, or any noun, is almost superfluous. Or we may make a separate statement of $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ $\dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon เ \nu o ́ v o s=$ " which is the better part."
III. oixoiv, which expects an answer in the affirmative, should be printed with a note of interrogation.-oúkoîv; $=$ " is it not therefore?" oŭкouv $=$ "it is not therefore." See 19r.
112. $\chi \rho \hat{\eta} \nu$ (for $\dot{\epsilon} \chi \rho \hat{\eta} \nu$, the augment being mostly omitted), as distinct from $\chi$ pil, implies either, as here, something which ought to have been done, but has not houn done; or, the permanent and general nature of an obligation. So $\bar{\eta} \nu$ is often used for $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau<$.
113. $\theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu$ is here used in the sense of $\beta \omega \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$. Cf. 440, Tis
 Cf. 22 I .
 in Aiciliom. 1114, it appears to be used in the sense of igitur, like ăpa.
117. єiontal $\mu a ́ \tau \eta v$. Because the Chorus, to whom hitherto the remarks had been addressed, had no executive power.
118. каi $\mu \grave{\eta} \nu="$ and lo!", here, and elsewhere, introduces a new character to the stage. But кai $\mu \dot{r}_{1} \nu$, followed by $\gamma \epsilon$, as in $\mathrm{I}_{3} 0,=$ " and yet indeed." See Eim.
119. See 35.-Pfl. [Prooemium, p. 9 ad finem] observes that the part of lord of the country, in a dialogue with another, could hardly be taken by two characters; but that Eur. did not venture to depart from the legend that the two brothers jointly succeeded Theseus: therefore he introduced Acamas as a $\kappa \hat{\omega} \phi o \nu ~ \pi \rho \dot{\omega} \sigma \omega \pi o \nu$, a " walking gentleman," amongst the other attendants of Demophon. So also, although we have here no "stage directions" as in modern plays, we may assume that the children, mentioned in 40 and in 122 , were present on the stage; and that a crowd accompanied the herald who brings on Eurystheus in 939 .
$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \dot{\eta} \kappa 00 九=$ "qui audient." Notice the genitive: and observe that another use is common in Xenophon:-єis $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \dot{\eta} \boldsymbol{r}$ within hearing distance."
120. Addressed to the Choragus.
 sition to the subject of the sentence, is often used with a verb in the aorist or historical present, not to denote time previous to but coincident with the action of the verb. (2) When the aorist participle is so used with the verbs $\phi \theta \dot{\alpha} \nu \omega, \tau v \gamma \chi \alpha, \nu \omega, \lambda a \gamma \chi \alpha{ }^{\prime} \nu \omega$, it virtually contains the leading idea of the expression.

So here $\begin{gathered} \\ \phi \\ \phi\end{gathered}$. $\beta$ onô. = (1) "You were the first in running (not "in having run ") to the rescue;" and=(2) "You were the first to come to the rescue;" where the idea of "rescue" is uppermost, and the priority" of the action could have been expressed without using a verb at all. It should be noticed that this aorist participle always denotes a single transient action; the present participle, an abiding condition. Cf. Madvig, Synn. § 183, R. 2; Goodwin, Gk. Moods and Tenses, § 24, N. I.

12I. $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma x a ́ p a$ is strictly an altar for burnt-offerings, while $\beta \omega \mu \partial{ }^{\prime}$ s is the general term.
123. Observe that this line contains two statements.
124. $\beta \omega \mu \grave{\nu} \nu \kappa a \tau a \sigma \tau \epsilon \dot{\psi}$ av $\tau \epsilon$, i.c., with branches covered with wool, and attached by it both to their persons and to the altar: cf. 226. For illustrations of this custom see Androm. 894, Iph. Aul. $147^{78}$; Aesch.
 $\mu \epsilon ́ v o l="$ bearing wreathed boughs," and 143. [In Phoen. 1632, ката$\sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \phi \epsilon \iota \nu \quad \nu \epsilon \kappa \rho \dot{\nu} \nu=$ "to offer libations to:" a metaphorical use.] The proper expression in prose authors is iкєт qu. Andocides. - ăva૬̆. The vocative äva is only addressed to grods.
r26. iv $\gamma \mu$ 'ós in Iliad XriII. $572=$ "a cry of joy." $-\sigma u \mu \phi \circ \rho \dot{\alpha}=$ " that which befals," "quod accidit:" and, like "accident" in English, is generally in a bad sense. So $\tau v \chi \eta$. Cf. 236.
127. $\nu L \nu$ is sometimes plural, for aúrous; but, much more commonly, and probably here, singular, for aủróv.

12S. The Ionic form $\beta$ oì $\nu$ is used in Iambic, but $\beta$ oà $\nu$ in Lyric verse.
130. For кai $\mu \dot{\eta} \nu \quad \gamma \epsilon$, see note on IIS.- $\sigma \tau 0 \lambda \grave{\eta} \nu$, the garb; $\dot{\rho} \cup \theta \mu \dot{\partial} \nu$, the sit of it: "he is dressed, and looks, like a Greek."-For 'EANìv
 $\phi a ́ \tau \iota v$, and other examples in Pf.
132. $\mu \grave{\eta} \mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu \tau \epsilon$ is either put parenthetically; or, as one idea with $\tau$ ò $\phi \rho \chi^{\prime} \zeta \epsilon \epsilon \nu$, and so with one article to serve for both verbs, $=$ " to tell without delay."


${ }^{135}$ - '̇ф' oi $\sigma \iota=$ " on what grounds."

139. ă $\gamma^{\prime} \omega=$ " am in act of, am trying to." Cf. obiô $\omega \mu \mathrm{l}=$ "I offer;" i.e I am trying to give. - The herald presupposes an international un-
derstanding, like an extradition treaty in modern times: except that we do not now surrender those charged with political offences.
140. $\dot{\epsilon} \mu a u \tau o \hat{v}$ is stronger than $\dot{\epsilon} \mu \hat{\eta} s$.
rir. $\dot{\epsilon \kappa \epsilon i} \hat{\theta} \epsilon \nu=$ whose influence starts or extends from $\epsilon \kappa \in \hat{i}$. Cf.

 $=$ " who have been voted on, to the effect that they die." Cf. notes on 33,178 , and 345 .
142. öiк. $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma, \mu$. cf. $7,6,=$ "we deserve to ; it is right that we...." See note on 775. Cf. Madvig, Gr. Sy $n$. § 177. b.

Observe the idea of $\pi \dot{\prime} \lambda \iota s$, "an autonomous state."一кupiovs (of two terminations) $=$ " valid, needing no further sanction."
 -Tr. "And though they have reached the altars (homes) of many another people."
145. Ë $\sigma \tau \alpha \mu \epsilon \nu$, syncopated perfect $=$ "we have ever kept our stand."
146. $̇$ tó $\lambda \mu \eta \sigma \epsilon$, notice change to aorist. "And no man ventured (at any one time) to incur besides coils of his own soeking." So P. But Elm. thinks iôıa is here used for oiceia, in the sense of "troubles in his own house:" and compares Thuc. I. 九s, nai $\mu \dot{\eta}$ oikeîon móvov
 meaning is, "to add to us ill-treatment on his own account."
 [So Pfl. But Elm. and B. understand $\epsilon$ 's $\sigma \epsilon \hat{\eta} \lambda \theta \circ \nu$. Elm. notices that the present is $\sigma \kappa о \pi \hat{\omega}$ in Attic, and never $\sigma \kappa \dot{\epsilon} \pi \tau о \mu \alpha l]$. $-\mu \omega \rho i \alpha=\epsilon \dot{u} \dot{\eta} \theta \epsilon \iota a$ : the good-natured simplicity which is further explained in 177 and 329.

 and Pfl. qu. Plutarch, Cusar, 32, $\dot{\alpha} v \in \dot{\rho}, \dot{i} \phi \phi \eta$ кúßos, "iacta est alea." Cf. "to run a risk." $-\dot{\epsilon} \dot{\xi} \dot{\alpha} \mu .=$ "starting from, or in, their helplessness or dead-lock" $=$ " in rebus desperatis."
r49. "Whether it (their hope) comes off or not."
150. фрєvinp refers in thought to $\mu \omega \rho_{i} i^{2} 1_{4}$, for which uncivil word this line apologises.
152. Cf. Med. $5^{5} 5^{2}$, $\sigma \nu \mu \phi$ орàs $\dot{\alpha} \mu \eta \chi \alpha ́ \nu o u s$.
$153,4 . \tau \epsilon, \tau \epsilon$ gives the two alternatives. - $\pi a p \epsilon i s=$ "admittinc these into your land." [So Pfl., intrare passus; B. admittens.] Plf.

156. $\tau 0 \sigma \eta \dot{\eta} \nu \epsilon=$ " might so great as it is;" cf. 305,316 : and is more demonstrative than $\tau 0 \sigma \eta \eta^{\nu}$. See note on 178 .
 probably both גóyous and oiктiซ $\mu a \tau a$ refer to $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \hat{\varepsilon} \epsilon$.

I $£ 9 . \pi \epsilon \pi$ aiv $\omega$ and $\pi \epsilon \pi \omega_{\nu} \nu$ are strictly used of ripening fruit. Cf.
 $\dot{\epsilon} \chi^{\dagger} \rho 0$ is $\pi \epsilon \pi \pi \omega \nu$. $-\pi \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta$, a metaphor from wrestling.
160. For $\mu \eta \mathrm{o}_{5}^{5} \eta \mathrm{~s}$ cis, with future indicative, of. note on 248 ; also 1051.

16r. See N.-With Naגußôckô, supply any noun, probably a neuter noun. So in Eur. El. 8rg, a knife is called $\Delta$ wpis. Cf.
 .Æsch. Prom. 714, oi бiônpotéктoves X., Xen. Anab. V. इ, I, kal ò ßios

162. $\pi$ oia denotes indignation. So in Ar. $\lambda u b^{\top} .367$, to the question ó Z $\epsilon$ ùs oú $\Theta \epsilon$ ós $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota \nu$; the answer $\pi$ ôos Zeùs; "Zeus, quotha!" expresses contemptuous surprise. Examples of this use are common. See L. and Sc. $\pi$ oîos, 4.
163. See N.
164. Tivos $\hat{\prime}$ ' $v \pi \epsilon \rho=$ " in whose behalf." [So P.-Dut B. "pro quo, sive cujus causâ." Pf. would supply ảuív $\omega \nu$ aủroîs].
165. Tecóvias. Notice and imitate this use of the past. The future contingency is for the moment supposed to have happened.
 used of an old man. So $\tau 火 \mu\langle 弓 \gamma \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu=$ "an old man on the edge of the grave."-With the indeclinable phrase $\tau \dot{\partial} \mu \eta \dot{\partial} \dot{\epsilon} \nu$, the verb $\epsilon i \mu \grave{i}$ is

 duces a familiar phrase, or proverb.
168. For $\dot{\epsilon} \mu \beta$ अaivelv $\pi \leq$ óôa see note on 802 . - [Elm. in a long note on aurios, says the original meaning is The Hold, кoù $\dot{\eta}$ vaûs, cf. Oilj'ss. M. 4 II : next, in Attic, as here, bilgewater, cf. Troad. 686, ä à $\lambda \lambda_{0 \nu} \in i ̈ p \gamma \omega \nu$ vaós, Cic. de Senect. vi., alii sentinam exhauriant, Aesch. Theb. 796,

 derived from $\sqrt{\prime}$ TAL, Gk. $\sqrt{ } \tau \alpha \lambda$ and $\sqrt{ } \tau \lambda a$ : as $\tau \dot{\alpha} \lambda-a \nu \tau 0-\nu=\sqrt{ } \tau a \lambda+\alpha \nu a$, so $\alpha ँ \nu-\tau \lambda 0-s=\alpha \nu \alpha+\sqrt{ } \tau \lambda \alpha$ : i.e., what is "up-raised," pumped out. Cf. the passage of Cicero above cited.
169. Tr., with Pf., "You will only be able to tell (your citizens) at best that they (thus) store up hope (i.e., allies, these Heracleidae) for the future." [But the commentators differ greatly. B. translates "hope will find the best." Hermann, quoted by B., understands $\epsilon \lambda \pi \iota s$ to mean the hope that the Heracl. may return to their country. P. takes $\dot{\epsilon} \lambda \pi, \epsilon \dot{\cup} \rho .=$ " hope that you will be a gainer" $]$.
ro. Tr. either, with Pf., "Yet that prospect wholly fails to match the present crisis;" or, with B., "is inferior to the advantages now before you."
171. Tr. "Even if fully armed and arrived at man's estate."
172. For $\sigma \epsilon \psi u \chi \eta \eta$ see note on $\sigma_{3}$.
 in both instances.-The nominative is roûro.
175. There is an antithesis, I think, between oou's and $\kappa \tau \hat{\eta} \sigma a l:$ " sive-nothing; but gain-Mycenae." [But Elm. comments: "Nihil des de tuo, sed redde"].
${ }_{17} 6$. $\kappa \tau \hat{\eta} \sigma \alpha \iota=$ "gain for a friend."-The favourite contrast between ôpâv and $\pi a \theta \epsilon i v y ~ i s ~ n o t ~ i n t e n d e d ~ h e r e . ~ F o r ~ i n s t a n c e s ~ o f ~ t h i s, ~ c f . ~$ examples qu. in L. and Sc. $\delta \rho \hat{\alpha} \nu$. and see note on 424 .
177. "Do not you experience this?"一 $\pi a \rho o ̀ \nu$, accus. abs.

17S. $\lambda \alpha \alpha_{\eta}{ }^{2}$ is cpexegetical to $\pi \dot{\alpha} \theta \eta s$; in other words, it carries on the same construction (by "asyndeton," that is, without "copula"), cxplaining it at greater length. So also $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \theta \in \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ after $\lambda \alpha \beta \epsilon i \nu, I_{5} 6$, and cf. 182,821 , and 950 . [For examples of this construction, consult a long and good note in Pf. on this line.]

For this alleged chivalrous habit of Athens, the preferring a weak to a strong ally, see Ar. Nubes, 587-9, Demosth. Leptines, 458, кai
 passage quoted in Pfl., Xen. de Republ. Ath., III, Io. Thus, in the life-time of Euripides, they had preferred Corcyra to Corinth, at the beginning of the Peloponnesian war. But this had been through jealousy of Corinth, and not because Corcyra was the weaker. Compare Thuc. VII. 57 , where the Cretans are said to have taken the opposite side to the people of Gela, (which was a colony of Crete) ä́кovias, $\mu \in \tau \grave{\alpha} \mu \tau \sigma \theta o u$. Pay was a stronger motive than patriotism.
180. Remember always that $\pi \rho i \nu \quad a_{i} \nu$ is only used when a negative,
 סeis ầ кpivelev.
181. ن̇ $\pi \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \in \iota=$ "exists by nature and to start with."
182. The whole of line 182 is epexegetical of $\tau 6 \delta \delta$. See note on 178 . - [Elm. placed a comma after eimeiv, thus making only eimeiv explain $\tau$ ó $\delta \epsilon$; and the rest of the line parenthetic, $=$ " and I have to take my turn in listening too."].-

The allusion is to the right of free and equal speech, $\pi a \dot{\rho} \dot{\rho} \eta \sigma i a$ and ionvopia, of which Athens was justly proud.
183. $\pi \rho \rho \dot{\sigma} \theta \epsilon \boldsymbol{\nu}$ к.т. ®. $^{=}$"before I have exercised both of these privileges, as from elsewhere they might thrust me."
184. ="But really ( $(\hat{\delta} \dot{\epsilon})$ our cases do not touch-there is no com-



IS6. $\delta о \kappa \hat{\eta} \sigma a \nu$ is an acc. absolute of impersonal verb бокє $\hat{\imath}$. Cf. use of $\delta \dot{\delta} \sigma \nu(\delta \epsilon i)$, $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \hat{\eta} \kappa о \nu$ ( $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \dot{\eta} \kappa \epsilon \iota$ ), к.т.入. A similar acc. is also found of some passive verbs that are used impersonally: e.g., eipquévov. Cf. Madvig. Gk. Sjm. § 182 .- $\delta 0 \xi$ ğ̀ $\nu$ is a commoner form than $\delta 0 \kappa \eta \hat{\gamma} \sigma \nu$, which is later Greek. Cf. $\partial о \kappa \eta \dot{\sigma} \omega, 245^{\circ}$
187. $\hat{a} \nu$ is to be taken with $\alpha$ àrol, not with $\pi \hat{\omega}$ s. It stands early in the sentence to shew at once that the clause is to be conditional.

18S. övtas should be taken with Mur., not with oûs.-The subject to $\dot{a} \pi \dot{\eta} \lambda \alpha \sigma a \nu$ is oi Muкךvaîo understood.
189. รॄєvol $=$ "we are foreigners, aliens, as far as they are concerned."

191. ф'今ß ${ }^{\prime}$, "for fear of;" dative of indirect object.-'Apysi $\omega v$, objective genitive. Cf. 469 , IOI 3.-Elm., in a long and interesting note on line 188, observes: "Whenever Eur. in this play speaks of Argos, he means Mycenae, of which town, and not of Argos, Eurystheus was king. Aeschylus too, in his trilogy, always writes Argos, though he means Mycenae; which latter he never mentions; and for this reason:Mycenae, the neighbour of Argos, was destroyed by Argos, Olymp. ;8. 1, nine years before the production of the Agamemmon. Mycenae would seem to have been latterly but a small place; since Herodotus, IX. 28, states that from Mycenae and Tiryns together only 400 fought at Plataeae, to which field Sicyon sent a contingent of 3000 men." Elm.-In this passage, though Eur., in writing 'Ap\%. фó $\beta \varphi$, no doubt meant Muk. фó $\beta \omega$, it is probable that the political crisis existing at the time made the mention of fear of Argos a "hit" with the audience. See Introduction.

193．Trachis，a city in Thessaly，to which the Heracleidae had first fled．－For $\tau t$ used like an adverb of manner，cf．Androm． 87 I ， Phoin，IIr．－By＇A גaüкò $\pi b \lambda \iota \sigma \mu a$ is meant＂a town in Thessaly，or Phthiotis．＂P．refers to Rhes．238，Ion， 64.

194，5．oír $\eta$ ，dat．instr．or of manner．－With oîá $\pi \epsilon \rho$ ，supply $\lambda \epsilon$＇$\gamma \omega \nu$ ．
197．Cf．I43，and Aesch．Suppl．GoS，tóvòe краицóvt由y 入óyov． See N．

19S．єं $\lambda \epsilon v \theta \epsilon \in \rho a s=$＂as being free．＂Naturally a favourite word with an Athenian audience．Cf． $62,113,244$ ，\＆c．

199．＂But I do know．＂Oîou has more emphasis than $\dot{\epsilon} \gamma \dot{\omega}$ ，being contrasted with oî $\alpha$ in the preceding line．－$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \hat{\delta} \epsilon$ here refers to the Athenians，as represented by the chorus．

200．$\theta \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon t \nu$ is here used in its proper sense of willingness，as distinct from a positive wish．Cf．13，134，and Index．－aioxúv $\eta$ here $=$ ＂the avoidance of shame，＂though L．and Sc．give the meaning in this passage $=$ aiốs． ．But Demophon in his reply，242，clearly refers to this line．So Pfl．，＂ne quid dedecoris subeant．＂Elm．qu．Thuc．i．
 on aiôws，lines 6 and 460 ．－For $\pi$ ápos in the sense of preference，of． note on 58 and Oed．Col． 418 ．

202．$\pi \dot{\prime} \lambda_{\Delta} \nu=$＂quod ad civitatem attinet；＂acc．of respect．－For $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i \phi \theta 0 v o \nu$ with infinitive cf．Equites， $12 \pi$ 亿， ，


203．Euripides was much given to this remark．Cf．Orest．i162，



204．Bapuv $\theta$ is，＂annoyed．＂Cf．Soph．El．820．
206．For $\pi \rho о \sigma \tau a \tau \epsilon i ̂ s, ~ c f . ~ 349, ~ 964 . ~$
207．$\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ corresponds with $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ in 209 ．
20S．Take $\pi a \tau \grave{\eta} \rho \sigma_{\epsilon} \epsilon \in \nu$ together as in Meit．r 309，qu．by Elm．， $\pi a i ̂ o ̂ \epsilon s ~ \tau \epsilon \theta \nu \hat{a} \sigma \iota ~ \chi \epsilon \iota \rho \hat{\imath} \mu \eta \tau \rho \omega ́ a ̣$ $\sigma \epsilon \theta \epsilon \nu$ ．－$\gamma \epsilon \nu \nu a ̂ \tau \alpha \iota$ ．All these verbs are in the present．The meaning is，＂still stands as the son of．＂

209．ăvetul $\gamma \in \operatorname{c} v o s$, ＂genus repetam．＂［Elm．has a note on the rarity
 in Æsch．Prom．854，and elsewhere in poetry；but he can hardly find an instance of it in prose．$\check{\epsilon} \rho \chi \in \sigma \theta a \iota$ ，Aesch．$A g .9^{17}$ ．］．

211．aủrave＇i＇iov［see N．］＝＂sprung from first cousins．＂Aethra and Alcmena，the respective mothers，were cousins；being both the
grandchildren of Pelops and Hippodamia．P．gives the full genealogy． Pfl．，to whom refer，qu．Plutarch，Theseus 7 ，for the genealogies．

212．$\hat{a} \nu \epsilon \pi ँ \eta="$ would thus be＂$=$＂are．＂$-\gamma \in \gamma \dot{\omega} s$ ，the singular，by attraction，instead of the plural $\gamma \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \omega \tau \epsilon$ ．

213．$\gamma$＇́vous：＂touching，in point of relationship．＂Cf．the use of $\epsilon \chi \chi \omega: \dot{\omega} s \pi o \partial \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \epsilon\rceil \chi o \nu=$＂as I stood in point of speed：＂i．e．，＂with
 not an uncommon usage：cf．Eurip．Alc．291，ка入̂̀s $\mu$ èv aủroîs кat－ Oaveiv $\mathfrak{j} \kappa o \nu$ Biov：Soph．Oed．Col．738．Here the exchange is of real service，$\pi \rho о \sigma \dot{\eta} \kappa о \nu \tau о s$ occurring，in a different sense，in the next verse．

214．$\tau 0 \hat{\sim} \pi \rho \circ \sigma \dot{\eta} \kappa о \nu \tau 0 s=$＂relationship．＂So Pff．，$\tau \hat{\eta} s \sigma v \gamma \gamma \in \in i a s$. Cf．L．and Sc．3．［But L．and Sc．quote this passage under the head of $\tau$ ò $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \hat{\eta} \kappa \circ \nu=$ fitness．］

216．$\sigma \dot{\mu} \mu \pi \lambda$ ous governs the dative，$\Theta \eta \sigma \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ ．
217．广 $\omega \sigma r \hat{\eta} \rho a$ ，of Hippolyta，queen of the Amazons．See the description of this Labour in the chorus of Herc．Fur．408－417．－ mo入vктóvos，＂murderous，＂$=$＂involving murder to get it．＂P．$-\mu \in \tau \dot{\alpha}$ ， ＂after the girdle＂＝＂to fetch．＂So often in Homer．

218．＇$\rho \in \mu \nu \hat{\nu} \nu$ ，＂black，shadowy；＂only in Euripides in this pas－ sage．Twice in Sophocles，Ajax， 376 ，of blood；and in Antig．700， $\epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \rho \mu \nu \dot{\eta} \phi \dot{\tau} \tau \iota s .-\epsilon \epsilon \xi \alpha \nu \eta_{0}=$＂＇up and out of．＂

219．For $\mu$ aprupє̂ with accusative，cf．Antig． 515 ：but the dative in Ion，532，$\mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho \epsilon i ̂ s ~ \sigma a u ́ \tau \omega . ~$

220．For $\dot{\alpha} \pi a \iota \tau \epsilon i v ~ \tau \iota \nu a ́$ with the infinitive，cf．Eur．Supfl． $3^{85}$ ，


221．$\theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu$ can，by virtue of its position，be construed either with $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \beta i a \nu ~ o r ~ w i t h ~ t h e ~ p a r t i c i p l e . ~ C f . ~ I I 3 .-F o r ~ a ́ ~ \pi о \sigma \pi a ̂ \nu, ~ c f . ~ S o p h . ~$ Ajax，1024．

223．Tr．＂not to say an evil in the state also．＂But see N．－ If the reading in the text is correct，and the comma be put after instead of before $\chi \omega p i s, \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ is probably not the preposition，but an adverb，$=$ ＂also．＂Cf．L．and Sc．，B．3，Soph．Ajax，675，Ocd．Tyr．27， 18 r ．

224．For $\dot{a} \lambda \dot{\eta} \tau a s$, cf． 5 I．－Hermann takes $\sigma v \gamma \gamma \in \nu \in i s$ as a noun，and the two preceding words as adjectives qualifying it．Pf．－But it is probably better to take each separately；thus giving the Heracleidae three distinct claims for sympathy．

225．The words $\beta \lambda \epsilon ́ \psi \neq \nu$ roòs aủroùs $\beta \lambda \epsilon \in \psi 0 \nu$ occur in Alcest． 390.
 would take каi катабт $\ell \phi \omega$ parenthetically; and compares Xen. Anab.
 $\gamma \epsilon \nu \in i o v$, cf. 755 , where $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ governs both nouns, as $\pi \rho{ }^{2} \mathrm{~s}$ in this passage.
 $\tau \omega ิ \nu \delta \epsilon$ रouvát $\omega \nu$. Pfl.
227. $\gamma$ 'veto $=$ " the chin;" $\gamma \epsilon \nu \in i a ̀ s=$ "the beard."
229. $\gamma \epsilon \nu 0 \hat{v}=$ " prove yourself."
231. $\pi \lambda i \eta \nu$, a change from the usual $\eta$. Cf. 444.-Pfl. assigns vimò to the verb, imoтєбєiv'Apyєiots: an instance of tmesis.
232. फّ̛ $ૅ \tau \epsilon \rho a=$ " I at once felt pity (and now express it)." An aorist is often colloquially used by dramatists to express momentary action or emotion as if it were already past. Cf. $\ddot{\eta} \sigma \theta \eta \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \epsilon \lambda a i s=$ "I am delighted." Cf. Madrig. Gk. Synz. § in r. R. b., Goodwin, Gk. Moods
 ג்кои́баs.
233. $\tau \hat{\eta} s \tau u ́ \chi \eta s ~ \nu \iota \kappa \omega \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta \nu$, may be explained as genitive of com-


234. '̇ $\sigma \epsilon \hat{\imath} \delta o \nu,=$ "I have only now seen, though I have often heard of it."- $\mathbf{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} \rho$ amplifies the statement of the preceding line. Cf. I2 and 302.
236. $\tau \rho \iota \sigma \sigma a i=" t e r n a e . "-\sigma v \mu-\phi o \rho \alpha ̀=" c i r c u m-s t a n c e . " ~ C f . ~ S o p h . ~$

 of regarding this occurrence:" but Matth. as a mere periphrasis for "three misfortunes." Cf. 126 .

23S. ' $\epsilon \phi$ ' ov́ $=$ " on whose altar, ${ }^{N}$ or, "at whose statue."-Cf. Eur. Suppl. 93, $\beta \omega \mu \mu^{\prime} a \nu$ モ̇ф $\eta \mu \in ́ \nu \eta \geqslant$.
239. Tavク่ vvpıs, here simply an "assembly:" but, strictly, "a general solemn holiday assembly."

240, I. Elm. rightly notices that these two facts should be taken as one idea: they form the second $\sigma u \mu \phi o p a ̂ s ~ o ̇ o ́ s . ~ B u t ~ i n ~ 2 r i 4, ~ I o l a u s ~$ had expressly separated the two. Strictly speaking, Demophon should have spoken of four, not three, $\sigma v \mu \phi \circ \rho a ̂ s ~ o ́ o ̄ o l . — \pi a r \rho \varphi \dot{a} a \nu ~ \chi a ́ \rho \iota \nu=\pi a \tau \rho o ̀ s ~$ $\chi \alpha \cdot \rho \iota \nu=$ "which is a piece of gratitude due to their father" (sc. for favours received from him): accusative in apposition to the sentence ; cf. Herc. F. 1238 , Orestes, 828.
242. This is the third $\sigma v \mu \phi \circ p a ̂ s ~ o ́ o b s . ~ C f . ~ 200 . ~$
243. $\sigma$ viâodat usually takes an accusative of the thing of which one is despoiled. Cf. Soph. Philoct. 4¹3, $\tau \alpha \hat{u} \tau^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \sigma u \lambda \eta \dot{\theta} \eta \eta \nu \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \omega \dot{\prime}$, Iphig. Aul. 1275.
 see N.
246. Tr. "Why, that action were as bad as hanging." Cf. Ar. Acharn. 125, тaûta ô $\hat{\tau} \tau^{\prime}$ ov̉k aं $\gamma \chi \dot{\nu} \nu \eta$; also Alc. 229, 230, and Soph.

247. For $\ddot{\omega} \phi \epsilon \lambda \epsilon s$, implying a wish that it is too late to realize, see L. and Sc. $\dot{0} \phi \in i \lambda \omega$; and Medca I. The notion is one of a debt owed, but not paid; of what you ousht to have done, but did not do.
248. Tr. "lest any one shall tear you away by force." Verbs of fearing, etc., imply thought, and $\begin{array}{ll}\pi \\ \pi & \text { (generally } \omega \text { s) is used to intro- }\end{array}$ duce the object of the fear: it really $=\mu \grave{\eta}$ of the ordinary construction. Thus in Hdt. I. 9, $\dot{\omega} s \lambda \hat{\gamma} \gamma \omega$ corresponds to $\mu \dot{\eta} \gamma \dot{\epsilon} \nu \eta \tau a \iota$ in the same sentence after $\mu \dot{\eta} \phi \circ \beta 0 \hat{v}$.-Cf. 160, and 105I of this play. [Soph. $E l .963,1309,1426$. Elm.]. See L. and Sc. ö $\pi \omega \mathrm{s}$, B. I. c, also B. II. b. Goodwin, Greek Moods and Tenses, p. 85 ( $\$ 46$, note 6 a).
250. "Apros $\epsilon \lambda \lambda \omega \dot{\omega} \nu$, but $\epsilon i s^{*} A \rho \gamma o s$ in 60 , and in 98.

25 I. Observe how carefully Demophon is made to choose his words so as to state his own point of view: for ̧̧́voos implies "who are strangers to Eurystheus, and not his subjects;" and 'ं $\gamma \kappa \alpha \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath}$, which is the proper word for a complaint before a court of justice, implies that Eurystheus must use argument and not force.- $\dot{\epsilon} \gamma \kappa \alpha \lambda \epsilon i v$ takes the dative
 takes the genitive.
253. $\nu t \kappa \hat{\omega}$, subjunctive. The meaning is: "If it not only be just, but I prove it to be so."
255. Tr. "Nay, my conduct is no disgrace to me; but yours is an injury to yourself." See N., for other ways of writing and of translating this line. The young student will carefully distinguish between oúnoîv affirmative, and oűкovv negative. Cf. III.
256. With ${ }^{\epsilon} \mu \circ<\gamma \epsilon$ supply aio $\alpha \rho^{\prime} \nu$. The meaning is, "If I hand these over to you, to drag them to Argos with you" (force of middle voice). Cf. 808.

 See 16.
258. For okalds, cf. note on $45 \mathrm{~S}=$ "gaucha:" Cf. Eur. El. 972 ,
 temple protects them." For $\pi \lambda \epsilon^{\prime} \omega \phi$ фpop $\omega \nu$, see note on 933 .
260. Cf. Soph. Ajax, Iइ9, pîpa $\pi \dot{u} \rho \gamma o v$, "the protection of :" but

263. "Yes, provided that you do not injure Mycenae." The Praeco is insolent.
264. $\beta \lambda \dot{\alpha} \pi r \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$, imperative.
266. The first syllable of roooutos is here short, as in Aesch. As. 1352, qu. by P.-oủ $\mu \in \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma o \mu a t=$ "will not free myself from "= "will not leave hold of." Cf. Hic. 400, $\pi a \iota \delta o ̀ s ~ o u ́ ~ \mu \in \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma o \mu a \iota . ~$
268. $\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \lambda \iota \nu=$ "rursus."
269. aútiкa, "presently:" but in Ar. Plut. 130; Aves, 1000 ; and Plato, passimı, aủtik $\alpha=$ " for example."-For єïo $\mu \alpha \iota$, cf. $6 \Xi, ~ \gamma \nu 心 ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota ~ \sigma u ́ . ~$
${ }^{270}$. Tr. "and that without delay."- $\dot{\alpha} \mu \beta 0 \lambda a ̀ s=\dot{a} v a \beta o \lambda a ̀ s="$ postponement, delay." But in Ar. Aves, $\mathrm{I}_{3}{ }^{8} 5,=$ "the start, the prelude." The sense of throwing off underlies both meanings.
271. For $\theta \in i \nu \omega$, cf. 685 .-The person of a кท́pu૬ was in all times sacred.
272. $\epsilon i \mu \eta \gamma \quad \gamma$, so in Alc. 493.-Demophon retorts with $\sigma \omega \phi p o v \epsilon i v$, the same word that Copreus had used in his taunt, line 272 .
276. ai $\chi \mu \eta$, strictly a spear-point, here $=$ " a body of spearmen." So twice in Pindar. But observe that in Aesch. Prom. 405 and 92 , , aix $\mu \dot{\eta}=$ "sceptre;" i.e. badge of power.- $\mu v p i o t$, perhaps a definite number.
277. $\mu^{\prime} \nu \sigma v \sigma \iota \nu$, transitive $=$ "await. $"-\dot{\alpha} \sigma \pi \iota \sigma \tau \hat{\eta} \rho \epsilon s=\dot{\delta} \pi \lambda i \tau \alpha \iota . \quad \dot{\alpha} \sigma \pi \iota s$, the round shield, is probably here, as often, put for ö $\pi \lambda \circ \nu$, the oblong shield. So in Phoen. 78.
${ }_{27} 8$. Alcathoos son of Pelops had reigned at Misgara, shortly before these events: hence, the district of Megara, between Athens and Corinth, is here intended.
279. караӧок $\hat{\omega} \nu=$ " watching with outstretched head;" a poetical word used, always in a military sense, by Herodotus and Xenophon; who both affect poetical expressions.- $\tau \dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \in \dot{\nu} \nu \delta \epsilon=$ "the Athenian army."

280. "גaumpòs, vehemens, rapidus, potens." Cf. Ar. Equit. 430,

 armour."] $\ddot{\nu} \beta \rho \nu=$ "the assault on the herald;"cf. IS.

2 Sr . "To the crops and the trees (esp. olive trees):" cf. $\delta \in \nu \tau \rho o t o-$ $\mu \epsilon i \nu$ and $\tau \hat{\epsilon} \mu \nu \epsilon \iota \nu \gamma \hat{\eta} \nu$. Attica was often enough ravaged in the Pelop. war by the Laced. from Deceleia, which was the $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \tau \in \dot{\chi} \downarrow \sigma \mu \alpha$, or permanent hostile fort on Attic soii. See Thuc. vir. 19. But Deceleia was not permanently garrisoned by Peloponnesians till the spring of B.C. 413 .

283. $\mu \grave{\eta}=$ "if we do not." Cf. 328,533 .-Look carefully at $\tau \iota \mu \omega \rho \in i \nu$ in L. and Sc.-Here exit Copreus.

2 $\$_{4}$. $\phi \theta \epsilon i \rho o v$, an imprecation, $=$ " go with a curse:" cf. Androm.
 кai $\mu \grave{\eta} \gamma \rho u^{\prime} \bar{\eta}$. It was probably not a dignified expression. For $\tau \grave{o}$ $\sigma o ̀ \nu, ~ c f$. note on 58 .
$2 S_{5}^{5}$. ou's ${ }_{\epsilon}^{\mu} \mu \epsilon \backslash \lambda \epsilon s=$ " you were not about to," "it was not likely, it was not destined that you would."
289. For 'A $\rho \gamma \epsilon i \omega \nu$, cf. note on I9r.
291. $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i \tau 0 i \sigma \iota=$ "on these grounds" $=\dot{\epsilon} \pi i \tau$ oútols. A demonstrative use of the article common in Homer and in Lyric poets. - With $\mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda \frac{\nu}{\nu}$ supply ósús é $\sigma \tau \iota$.
292. Some say that Euripides had a hatred for heralds, as it is said he had for women. But the opinions which a dramatist puts into the mouths of his characters are not necessarily his own. The herald in Aesch. Suitfl. is just as unfarourably drawn as the herald in the Heraclecilate. The necessities of the plot do not allow Copreus to speak soft words. See Elm.
293. $\pi \cdot \rho \gamma 0 i ̂ \nu=$ " exaggerare." Cf. Med. 526, $\pi$ up Ranae, 1004, $\pi$ vp $\gamma \dot{\omega} \sigma a s$ pं $\eta$ иata $\sigma \epsilon \mu \nu \grave{\alpha}=$ "building up like towers."一 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\gamma \not \gamma \nu \nu_{0}=$ "quam quae vere fiunt." Pfl. Cf. 1003.
294. $\beta$., plural for singular.

295, 6. тарà $\mu \iota \kappa \rho o ̀ \nu ~ \dot{j} \backslash 0 \varepsilon \nu$ ôtakvaīal. The sense is, "He (the herald) came to but a small interval between himself and death; i.e.,
 Compare examples in L. and Sc. $\pi a \rho \grave{a}$ c. 5. [Pf. agrees: but P. appears to think the subject of $\hat{j} \lambda \theta \epsilon \nu$ may be Demophon.]-Cf. Ar.

 Quint. c. 2, 8: Quid hoc iniquius, quam dicere. $-\hat{\eta}$ is epexegetic of $\tau 0 \hat{v} \hat{\epsilon}$.- $\gamma \epsilon \in \rho a s$, privilege, prerogative.- $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \theta \lambda o \hat{v}$ кả $\gamma a \theta 0 \hat{v}$ stands for the everyday phrase $\kappa \alpha \lambda \hat{0} \kappa \dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \theta 0 \hat{v}$, the Greek equivalent for "gentleman;"
noble ( 1 ) by birth, (2) by character. For $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma 0 \lambda \dot{d} s$, noble by birth, cf.
 play, $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma$ Adoेs in 299 is opposed to kakòs, base-born, in 300 . -For the sentiment, which is common in Greek, Elm. qu. Androm. 974 and 1279.-Oed. Col. 7. P.
299. $\pi \dot{\prime} 00$ os here $=$ "cupido," not "disiderium," which is the more usual meaning.
300. With кaкоîs $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa о \iota v$. supply $\gamma \dot{a} \mu \omega \nu$, which, the genitive, is the
 end of the sentence.
301. With $\lambda เ \pi \epsilon i \nu$, which is epexegetic of $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \circ \iota \nu \omega \dot{\omega} \eta \eta \sigma \tau$, supply $\ddot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon$. As Pf. observes, the line $\pi \lambda_{\text {toodájel is redundant. [If } \lambda_{\iota \pi \epsilon i \nu} \text {, in the }}$ sense of $\tau \grave{o} \lambda_{l \pi \epsilon i \nu}$, is taken as dependent on $\dot{\epsilon} \pi a \iota \nu \epsilon \in \sigma \omega$, compare, with Pfl., $\lambda \alpha \beta \epsilon i v$ (for $\lambda \alpha \beta \dot{\omega \nu}$ ) depending on $\dot{\alpha} \tau \iota \mu \dot{\partial} \sigma \eta \rho, 227$.]
302. $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho=$ " to explain." In $\widehat{\rho}{ }^{\circ}, \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho=$ "for instance."-Notice the cretic ending in 303 . But $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ is here to be regarded as tacked on to $\eta \mu \epsilon i \hat{s}$. -Iolaus means to say, "In our case, both sides are $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \theta \lambda o i$. ."
305. For $\tau 0 \sigma \hat{\eta} \sigma \delta$ ' cf. note on 156 . Either it is genitive absolute, or $=$ "from."
306. $\tau \omega \nu \delta \delta=$ "these children." $\pi \rho \circ \dot{\sigma} \sigma \tau \eta \sigma a \nu, 2$ Aor. Intrans., $=$ "stood before as guards." Cf. $3+9,1037$. But in Thuc. II. $65, \pi$. $\tau$ îs $\pi \dot{\prime} \lambda \epsilon \omega s=$ "as leaders of." Notice especially Soph. Eil. ySo, 白 $\chi \theta \rho$. $\mathrm{i} \bar{\iota}$

307. For the sentiment, cf. Soph. Oct. Col. 1632, Aencild, I. 4 r $2 .-$ What follows, $307-319$, alludes to the political crisis at the time. See Introduction.
309. $\mu \grave{\nu} \nu$ answers to $0 \grave{\epsilon}$ in 310 . "They do their part-you must

311. oiкクं $\begin{aligned} & \\ & \tau \\ & \\ & \text { with } \tau \iota \mu a ̀ s ~ i s ~ a n ~ i n s t a n c e ~ o f ~ z e u g m a . ~ \lambda a ́ ß \eta \tau e ~ w o u l d ~\end{aligned}$ be the proper word. Elm. compares $7 S_{3}, \Phi_{33}, \Phi_{39}, 10_{4} \mathrm{r}$.
312. Notice $\dot{\alpha} \in l$.
313. Notice $\epsilon$ is $\gamma \hat{\eta} \nu=$ "asainst Attica."-aip $\rho \sigma \theta \theta a$ is infinitive as a strong imperative : or, $\mu \epsilon \in \mu \nu \eta \sigma \theta \epsilon$ may be supplied from the line following. Pfl. compares Ion, IO1, Tro. 422.
316. i.e. Miycenae and Argos. [Phoen. 106, Aesch, Supppl. 251. P.].
317. See N.-Tr. "have taken to hold for foes, instead of us;" i. e. prefers the hostility of all Arges, to that of a handful like ourselves. Elm. tr. "nobis mutabant." For mutare so used, cf. Horace, Odes,
III. I. 4 8: Cur valle permutem Sabina, Divitias operosiores. See also Horace, Odes, 1. 17. 1; II. 16, 19; Sat. I1. 7. 110. Cf. 346, roo0.—But P. tr. "have rid us of, and taken on themselves."
318. $\pi \tau \omega \chi \dot{0} \mathrm{~s}=$ "pauper" (English), a poor wretch who $\pi \tau \omega \dot{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon \epsilon$, cowers. $\pi \epsilon \nu \dot{\eta} s=$ "pauper" (Latin), one who $\pi \dot{\epsilon} \nu \epsilon \tau a \ell$, works for his bread. [ $\sqrt{ } \pi \in \nu$. cf. $\pi$ óvo-s; penuria.] Cf. Horace, Efistles, II. 2. 12, meo sum pauper in aere. Aristophanes in the Plutus, $55^{2}, 3$, defines the difference between these two words.
320. $\theta a v \omega\rangle$, aorist $=$ " after my death," not, "when dead," which would be $\tau \epsilon \theta \nu \eta \kappa$ wis.

32I. $\hat{\omega}$ tâv, (which is not found in Aesch., once in Soph., Ocd. Tyy. Ir 45 ; often in Aristoph. and Plato, ) is a colloquial word = " My good friend."- $\pi$ eitas $\theta .=$ " as I stand by the side of Theseus. [Others render it aequalem.]
322. $\dot{\alpha} \rho \hat{\omega}=\dot{\alpha} \epsilon \rho \hat{\omega}$, fut. of $\dot{\alpha} \epsilon \rho \hat{\omega}$ : as $\tau \mu \mu \dot{\dot{c} \epsilon \tau \epsilon}$ makes $\tau \mu \mu \hat{a} \tau \epsilon$. But ¢ू $\rho \hat{\omega}$ is from aip $\omega$.
 $S_{2 \%}$. But in $576,953=$ " to suffice:" and in Soph. Ajax, $S_{2}+$, Hcc. $116_{4}=$ "succour."

325 . $\pi$ arp $\rho \dot{\omega} \alpha=$ " the opinion which people had of your father." He might have written $\pi$ arpoेs, the objective genitive.
328. For c̈бтıs with indicative see L. and Sc. ös, B. III. I. öбтוs $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau i=$ "who (namely, that definite person) is." $\quad$ " $\sigma \pi \epsilon \rho=$ " the very man who." $-\mu \grave{\eta}$ is used with $\chi$ eip $\omega \nu$ because the quality thus conceived and expressed is contrasted with that of the $\pi$ ondoi of the principal sentence in the previous line. Cf. $28_{3}$, 533 . Cf. Madvig, Gk. Synt. $\$ 203$ (e).

For the sentiment, cf. Horace, $A . P \cdot r_{73}$, who calls the old man "laudator temporis acti," also Hor. Carm. iII. 6. 46 , aetas parentum pejor avis, \&cc.: and so Homer, who makes a young man say ' $H \mu \epsilon i$ is $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$

330. $\dot{\text { co }} \boldsymbol{\phi} \mathrm{e}$ eiv, here used with the dative, in 5 19 takes an accusative of the direct object, and again a dative in 68 r . In the construction with the dative the relation of the donor to the recipient predominates: in the construction with the acc. the positive result of the action upon the object. Cf. Madv. Gk. Synt. § 36 , R. г.
 the force of $\mu$ upiours; but, as a rule, the force of the frucoding word.
332. $\eta^{\prime} \nu \bar{\varepsilon} \kappa \epsilon$, frequentative.
333. aưx $\hat{\omega}$, cf. $353,=$ " $I$ am confident." But in $\delta_{32}$ and $93 \mathrm{r}=$ "think, expect."
334. roauùra, к.т.... The meaning is, "The conduct of these fugitives will be as you have said above: our kindness will be borne in mind (passive use) by them." Here $\chi$ á $\rho s=$ " beneficium :" but more commonly $=$ " gratitude ;" a meaning which is possible here also. A favour is regarded in opposite lights by the two between whom it passes: hence the two meanings of $\chi$ áps. See 438,548 .
335. Take $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ here with the $\delta \bar{\epsilon}$ in $34^{\circ}$; and $\mu \grave{\varepsilon} \nu 337$, with $\tau \epsilon 34^{\circ} 0$. For $\mu$ è with $\tau \epsilon$, Pfl. qu. Hippol. $99^{6}$ : P. qu. Med. 125.-[Pfl. takes
 to the levy of an army.]
336. With tásc w supply aùroús. [But, if Pf. is right in note above, $\tau \alpha \dot{s} \omega \omega$ must here $=$ " I will make arrangements."]

Remember that where ö̃ $\pi \omega$ s or ${ }^{\omega} s$ with $\begin{gathered}\text { ä } \\ \nu\end{gathered}$ is found with a subjunctive in final sentences the $\ddot{\nu} \nu$ must be closely joined with the particle. It is impossible to express its exact force, when thus used, in English.
337. Хєtpt, like manus, here=" a band of men." Cf. ro35.
338. For $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \pi \epsilon \sigma \omega{ }^{\circ} \nu$ used absolutely, cf. Soph. Phil. $46,1 \equiv 6$; in which places this whole phrase occurs. But the dative is generally added.
339. "A $\rho \gamma \epsilon \epsilon=$ " at Argos:" so in 360 .
340. Oúroual= " will get sacrifices offered;" middle.
342. Qupaios=" out of doors, away from home." [In Yon, 702, Ovpaios ề $\theta$ 由iv prob. = "coming from abroad."]
$3+3$. This use of $\dot{d} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha}$ with imperatives, like an interjection, is
 $\dot{\eta} \mu$ óvous.
 ท̈ $\mu \in \nu o c$. Elm.

3+亏े. $\epsilon \hat{v} \pi \rho a \hat{\xi}$ au $\pi \pi^{\prime} \lambda \iota \nu$ is a quotation of their prayer. [But Elm. notes another possible translation: "expectantes donec:" cf. Androm. ${ }^{255}$, ov่ $\left.\mu \epsilon \nu \omega \hat{\omega} \pi \dot{o} \sigma \iota \nu \mu, \lambda \epsilon i \hat{\nu}.\right]$
347. $\theta$ oio $\sigma$, by crasis, is two syllables here.
348. 'Apreiwv, i.e., "than the Argives use." [But B. supplies $\theta \in \omega \hat{\nu}$.]
350. ф $\eta \mu \mathrm{l}=$ " I assert." So in 39r: and cf. Soph. Ocd. Col. 3 17, каl ф $\eta \mu l$ к ктоф $\boldsymbol{q}_{\mu l}$.
 or stand." But see 3 So.
D.
353. The herald is gone; but the chorus fling their words after him. The metres are "Choriambici sensim ad Glyconeos deflexi:" Pil., who, here and elsewhere, gives a map of the metres of each chorus. -Tr. "Thoulgh you boast greatly (cf. 333), others care not (96), for you any the more (sc. for that reason)."
$35^{\circ}$. Take oüт with єin. $-\mu \hat{\eta} \pi \omega=$ " may it never [Porson, Hecuba, ${ }^{127} 8$ ] be so to Athens: (i.e., that she should desert suppliants)."
 Homeric form. Cf. Odyss. xiv. 2, $\chi$ 由िpov à ${ }^{\prime} \dot{\text { ì } \lambda \dot{\eta} \in \nu \tau a, ~ P i n d . ~ P y t h . ~ I 2 . ~} 45$


362. ôs refers back to $\sigma \grave{v}$ in 353 .
 $\tau \hat{\eta} \sigma \delta \sigma$. The genitive is of the part to which the clinging refers.
$3^{6} 7,8$. i.e., neither doing what you ought, nor (from another point of view) saying what you ought.
369. For $\pi$ ov̂, expressing indignation, cf. 510, Soph. Ajax, 1100 ,
 adverb for adjective, cf. 1054, каAap $\omega$ s.

370 . For $\pi a \rho \dot{\alpha}=$ " with," in the sense of "in the mind of," cf. 201, 88 r.
374. oix oüt $\omega$ =" non impune," Elm., "non nullo negotio," Pfl. So Alc. 680, ov̉ $\beta a \lambda \grave{\omega} \nu$ oütws ä $\pi \epsilon$, Elm. Pfl. qu. Cicero, de Finibus, v. 3.7 , Fortasse non poterit sic abire. It is not an uncommon expres-sion.- $\kappa v \boldsymbol{f}^{\epsilon} \epsilon \omega$, like $\tau v \gamma \chi a \operatorname{v} \omega$, usually takes the genitive; but cf. Chooph $h$.

376. A willow (shield) overlaid with $\chi$ á $\lambda$ кos.
377. See N.
378. $\mu \circ$ is ethic dative $=$ "trouble me not the city.'
 here $=$ oírav: see L. and Sc. $\epsilon^{\chi} \chi \omega$ B. II. 2. $-\chi$ apit $\omega \nu$, from the point of view of; or, in connection with, tonching. Cf. Hdt. vi. I16, wis $\pi \operatorname{moôw}^{\omega}$ єix 0 , Madv. Gk. Syn, § 49, b. R. 2.
380. ג̀vá $\sigma 00=$ "hold yourself back." Cf. Iliad, xxiII. 587 , äv $\chi \chi \in \circ$ $\nu \hat{v}$. But in Iliad I. $586=$ "hold yourself $u p$." See 352 of this play. -In those tenses of $\frac{\epsilon}{\epsilon} \chi \omega$ and its compounds in which $\sigma \chi$ occurs, the idea is usually that of withhollingr, keeping back from.
$3^{81}$. "My son, why, I prithee."- $\sigma$ vivooa $=$ " anxious thought."


3S2. $\nu \epsilon^{\prime} o \nu=$ " new and strange :" he is reluctant to use the illomened word камóv. Cf. Eur. Siuftl. 99. So кawòv frequently:

3S3. With $\mu$ éndovat, supply mapeival: so in Aesch. Pirs. Si ${ }_{4}$ (Tà

$3^{S_{4}}$. ov $\mu \dot{\eta}$ is used with the Subjunctive, and the Future Indicative, to express strong negation : such a use being almost equivalent to the force of the Future with ov. The construction has been generally explained by an ellipsis of some word expressing or implying fear: oú
 a. R. 3. But Goodwin (Gk. Mivods and Tinsis, § So, i) explains the $\mu \dot{\eta}$ as interrogative, and as strengthening an assertion by a paren-
 happen." [Mr Fennell in his edition of Pindar suggests that $\mu \dot{\eta}$, the representative of the old and probably the original negative MA, once used generally in direct negation with the indicative, is in this use of ou $\mu \dot{\eta}$ retained in its-old force, with the newer and weaker sign of negation to avoid the misconception liable to arise when $\mu \dot{\eta}$ was no longer used as a direct negative. Cf. Fennell, Pindar, Ol. I. 7.]
$3^{8} 5,6$. See N. for important variation.
386. кai can be taken here as either = "and" or "even." Elm.

 L. and Sc. qu. Andocides, xx. 16, єû $\phi \rho \circ \nu \in i ̂ \nu$ єi's $\tau \iota \nu a$.

38S. Zeus is mentioned as being above the other gods. He might have said Nemesis, as the instrument of Zeus. The sentiment is an imitation, and almost a quotation, of Aeschylus, Porsae $\mathrm{S}_{27}^{7}$, Z Zu 's $\tau 06$

390. $\chi \rho \in \omega \dot{\nu}$ has almost the same meaning as $\chi \rho \dot{\eta}$, see L. and Sc.
 otherwise it would be $\mu \dot{\eta}$.
393. B. qu. Hdt. vi. IO2; where Marathon is described as $\epsilon \pi \iota \tau \eta$ ठิєஸ́tarov $\chi \dot{\omega} \rho \iota o \nu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \dot{\prime} \pi \pi \pi \epsilon \cup \sigma a l$, as being a flat country. But in all probability, $\pi \epsilon \delta \dot{\delta} \alpha^{\gamma} \gamma \hat{\eta} s$ is merely a phrase for the whale country.一 $\dot{\epsilon} \phi \hat{\eta} \kappa \epsilon$, in hostile sense, $=$ immisit: so $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \lambda \theta \omega \nu$, various reading in 355 .
394. ठंфрúq $=$ "eyebrow :" accusative of place. Cf. Byron, "A king stood on the rocky brow That looks o'er sea-girt Salamis," and N. Test. "They brought Him to the brow of the hill." In Ion
 тpinoía, he sits the tripod.-For $\lambda \epsilon \pi a i ̂ o s$, cf. Hiphpol. 1248 .
395. $\sigma$ котеiv $=$ "to look about to see" ( $\sqrt{ }$ бкат $=\sqrt{ }$ spac. $\sigma$ котó-s,
 $\lambda$ érour, used parenthetically, B. qu. Bacch. $\sigma_{2} 8$, óósav $\lambda \in ́ \gamma \omega$.
396. See N.-With $\pi o i a$, , supply óoŵ.
397. Either $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \dot{\alpha} \sigma \phi a \lambda \epsilon i ̄ \chi \theta o v o ̀ s=" \mathrm{in}$ a safe part of the country;" or (with P. after Matth.) take $\chi$ Øovòs apart from ìv dं $\sigma \phi a \lambda \in \hat{c}$, as a genitive depending on $\pi$ ov̂, which may possibly be supplied from тоį̣.
400. $\hat{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \eta \kappa \epsilon$ almost $=\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau$. Cf. 74.-The $\sigma \phi \dot{\gamma} \gamma c a$ would not be slain till the moment before the contest; but, meanwhile, other victims were being slain. Elm.; who qu. Aesch. Persae 201-3.-ois $\theta \in \omega \hat{\nu}=$ "for those of the gods to whom." $-\tau \epsilon$ '́uve $\theta$ aut is here used literally: but metaphorically in Hel. 1235 , $\sigma \pi$ ovöàs $\tau \epsilon \mu \mu \mu \epsilon \nu$, and Eur. Supppl. 375,

401. Aunाo. $=$. "is filled with sacrifices =lustratur:" it is generally active, in the sense of "to be busy with sacrifices." B. compares Iph. T.
 xpì yaiav $\beta$ oà⿱ $\sigma a u$.-ă $\sigma \tau v$ is always used of Athens proper; as we say, "the city:" and cannot mean Marathon.
 Cf. also Eur. El. 469, "Eктороs ӧциабь тротаïь, and observe the dative. -P . rightly olserves that the epithets in this line refer to both the preceding lines.
403. $\bar{\alpha} \lambda i$ ioas. So also it is $\bar{\alpha}$ in $\dot{\alpha} \lambda i \sigma a s$ Herc. Fur. 412.- $\dot{\alpha} \lambda i \bar{j} \omega=$ $\dot{\alpha} \theta p o i j \omega$. ovvali $i j \omega$ is more common. Both are often used by Xenophon. Elm.


 91, 92.
 on that passage asserts, that they were respectively in prose and verse.
407. $\gamma \nu \omega \hat{\omega} \mu \alpha=\gamma \nu \dot{c}^{\prime} \mu \eta="$ opinion :" cf. Aesch. $A g$. 1352.- $\tau \alpha v \grave{\partial} \partial=$
 the same." $\dot{\epsilon} \mu$. is used with dative of that which one is conspicuous in, amons, or for.
408. $\sigma \phi \dot{a}$ द̆al $=$ " jugulare." Notice absence of caesura.
409. ïrts is not a mere relative, but implies that the particular quality or circumstance which it introduces is the ground of the action
stated in the preceding line $:=$ "a person who," "one that is." Madvig, Ck. Synt. § 105 d. Cf. 328,414 .

412. ávaүкáo $\omega$, i. e., to kill his child.
 There is emphasis in the position of $\tau \in \kappa \kappa \nu a$ : "his dearest, even his children."
415. For the double $\hat{a} \nu$ cf. notes on 721 , and 1005 . The first $\hat{a} \nu$, called the apodeictic $\alpha \stackrel{a}{ }$, is introduced to shew that the clause is going to be conditional, and in each case emphasises the word which it follows. Compare Androm. 934, oủk ä̀ év $\gamma$ ' é $\mu$ ois סóuots $\beta$ रोémoug'
 but generally $=$ "conflicts." [So Elm., "coetus, conventus;" who qu.


416. $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \lambda \epsilon \gamma$., the genitive $=$ "consisting of those who;" or, possibly, genitive absolute.-For $\tilde{\eta}^{\nu}, \mathrm{cf} .6 \mathrm{~S}_{2}$. In these two cases, the imperfect either is the simple past tense; or denotes, as it sometimes does, that it was alacirys (and therefore is essintially') just: in which latter case it may be translated by the present.

 reason, Elm. wrote $\epsilon \mu \circ \hat{\imath}$ here.
419. cixeios $\pi$. is used of a war in one's own country, cf. $\mathrm{I}_{4} 6, \sigma_{34}$. Cf. Thuc. I. irs, of the Helot war in Laconia, and note on $\mathrm{r}_{4}$ 6. $\dot{\epsilon}_{\xi} \dot{\sigma} \alpha \rho \tau .=$ " is preparing."
420. $\partial \pi \pi \omega s$, as relative to oür $\omega$ s understood, $=u t$ : and seems to be rare with the future.
422. ôta.3.. $=$ " be traduced to, or slandered by"." So in Hic. 863 .
423. $\ddot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon$ here simply $=\dot{\omega} s$, but conveys more emphasis. Cf.


424. àN’ $\eta \nu$, i.e. and not otherwise. For examples of the very favourite contrast between $\hat{o} \rho \hat{\nu} \nu$ and $\pi \dot{\alpha} \sigma \chi \epsilon \nu \nu$, see L. and Sc. ôpâv.— The opposite sentiment is expressed by Atossa in Aesch. Persae, 21 II 214 , to which the student should refer, and which Euripides probably had in mind.
425. $\dot{a} \lambda \lambda \prime \widehat{\eta}=$ "an ergo " $=$ "can it be then." Elm. qu. many examples. [Matth. objected to $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda$ ' $\hat{\eta}$, on the ground that the chorus
in the orchestra ought not to interrogate an actor on the stage : but, as Pfl. observes, this is almost a soliloquy, and is at any rate a question that needs no answer.]- $\chi$ рй'jov
427. $\epsilon_{0} \circ \gamma \mu \epsilon \nu=\epsilon \in \circ \kappa \kappa a \mu \nu$, and occurs 681, and in Soph. Ajax 1239, Cycl. 99, \&c.
429. $\sigma v \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi \tau \epsilon \ell$ with the dative is common enough : cf. 459, $\sigma 0 \phi \hat{\varphi}$
 єis $\chi \epsilon i \rho a=$ "close at hand" (" within grasp," P.). The whole phrase therefore is not a difficult one.-єita, "and then, and thereupon," here is more connected with $\epsilon \kappa \phi u \gamma \dot{\nu} \tau \epsilon \epsilon$ than with $\sigma v \nu \hat{\eta} \psi a \nu$. See L. and Sc.

430. Aor. r. Pass. of $\bar{\epsilon} \lambda a v ́ \nu \omega$. So $\bar{\epsilon} \lambda a \theta \epsilon i$ in Ar. Eccl. 4.
433. 4. đá入alva, sorry, wretched: epithet of $\epsilon \rho \iota s$ in Eur. El. 248; and of $\phi v \gamma \grave{\eta}$ in Phocn. 17 Io. -Tr. "not intending to complete the boon."
 not willing."
 not stronger than that of the present tense. For aive $\omega$ in the sense of $\dot{a} \gamma a \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \omega$, to acquiesce in, see quotations in L. and Sc.
437. $\tau \dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \dot{\alpha} \dot{\delta} \delta^{\prime}=$ " the disposition of this city towards us."

43 . For $\pi \rho \dot{\alpha} \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu$, "to fare," with this, as it were, cognate accu-
 here again can be either the gratitude or the boon: cf. note on 334 .
439. ои้к ёє $\chi \omega \tau i \quad \chi \rho \dot{\prime} \sigma o \mu a l$. The (deliberative) subjunctive, $\chi \rho \hat{\omega}-$ $\mu a \iota$, would have been more usual: but the notion of requirement, the "is to be," is less prominent, and the question is put in the indicative, asking what will happen. Madvig, Gl. Synt. § 12 1. R. I.-Tr. "how I shall treat, dispose of, you."-For $\tau \iota$ used as an adverb of manner, cf. 193 and L. and Sc. II. 3.
440. For ä $\sigma \tau \epsilon \pi \tau 0 s, \mathrm{cf}$. 124.
441. тоiov raias épкоs prob. $=$ "What altar (or sacred enclosure) in Hellas:" cf. Trach. 607 , where épкos ipòv has the same meaning. But L. and Sc. give $\gamma$. $\epsilon .=$ "fenced city."
444. $\pi \lambda \grave{\eta} \nu$ here, instead of governing an expressed genitive, introduces a clause, like $\pi \lambda \grave{\eta} \nu$ ö ö $\iota$ : cf. Ar. Nub. I 429 , $\pi \lambda$ ク̀ $\nu$ (sc. тoírou) ö $\tau \iota$ $\psi \eta \phi i \sigma \mu a \tau^{\prime}$ ov $\gamma \rho \alpha \dot{\phi} \circ \boldsymbol{\sigma} \sigma \iota \nu$. It is gen. in this sense preceded, as here, by ovōeis, ählos, or some such inclusive or exclusive word, (cf. L. and Sc. $\pi \lambda \lambda \dot{\eta} \nu$ II. ) and is a sign of the transition from the old usage to its later meaning "however," which is so common in Lucian.
445. For $\kappa \lambda a i \omega$ in the sense of to weep for, with accusative, cf.
 however, $\tau \iota$ is possibly adverbial.]
447. סuбrádas nearly always has the feminine form in Euripides.
 and Med. 1028, and Pfl. Pers. 445.-The genitive can, as usual, be explained by the idea of the unhappiness proceeding from, or being connected with, that which is so governed.
 you know the thing which"-("should be done, $\hat{\delta} \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ or ôpartéov," he intended to say; but breaks off, and says, ôpâ$\sigma o \nu$ )-"do it!" See Goodwin, Greek Moods and Tenses, p. 179 (§ 7 note 3), and Madvig, Gk. Synt. § r 4 r. R. I ; cf. Plautus "fac sed scin quomodo," Soph. Oed. Tyr. 543, oî $\theta$ ' $\dot{\omega}$ s $\pi$ oin $\eta \sigma \nu$.
454. Notice $\mu \eta_{\tau} \tau \epsilon$ followed by $\tau \epsilon$.

458. For $\sigma \kappa a i o ̀ s$, cf. note on 258 ; it = gauche, lubberly, "no gentleman." Compare the abuse of Eurystheus in 743-747. From com-
 ís ấ $\phi \iota \lambda \dot{\prime} \sigma \omega \nu$ av̂ $\theta \iota s, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$. , it will be seen that $\sigma \kappa \alpha \iota o ̀ s$ may here mean simply "stupid;" one who forgets that pride may have a fall.-Pf. compares an exactly similar remark in Herc. Futr. 299-30I, фeúyєt

459. For $\sigma v \nu \dot{a} \pi \tau \epsilon \iota \nu$, cf. note on 429 . - $\mu \dot{\eta} \dot{\alpha} \mu a \theta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \phi \rho$. $=$ "not with presumptuous ignorance:" $\phi \rho o ́ \nu \eta \mu a$, "a thought," having the sense of "a proud thought." Cf. 387, 926.
460. For aiồs cf. notes on 6 and 200.- "Aiò $\begin{gathered}\text { s hic clementia, ut }\end{gathered}$ divaiôeia crudelitas Herc. Fur. 165." Elm., who qu. at length in his Appendix Antipho pp. 618, 619.
$4^{6 r}$ r. $\mu \dot{\eta} \dot{\epsilon} \pi a \iota \tau \iota \hat{\omega}$, do not blame us. The meaning of the two following lines is, We shall not be altogether gainers: therefore (it is implied) we ought not to be blamed for selfishness.-But observe that Pfl. takes $\mu \grave{\eta} \epsilon \pi a \iota \tau \iota \omega$ to mean $\mu \dot{\eta}$ airíq $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \beta a ̀ \lambda \eta s$, "Do not ask what we cannot grant without disgrace."
464. á $\mu \dot{\eta} \chi \alpha \nu a$, helpless, involving a dead-lock. The word is harped on again in $47^{2}, 487,49^{2}, 495-\dot{d} \lambda \lambda \lambda \dot{a}$, for $\delta \epsilon^{2}$.
465. It is odd that Eurystheus of Mycenae should be called äva $\xi$, without qualifying epithet, by Demophon of Athens. But Elm. qu.

466. $\tau \ell \pi \lambda \notin \sigma^{\nu} \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau i \nu \dot{\epsilon} \mu o i ;=$ "What advantage is it to me?" $\pi \lambda$ द́ov 'ौ $\chi \epsilon \nu$, "to have an advantage:" $\pi \lambda \in о \nu \in \kappa \tau \epsilon i \nu$, "to be in the habit of having an advantage," and so="to be avaricious." Cf. Antis.
 our enquiries."
468. ōtıòv, here = "dangerous;" but the meaning "strange" is generally contained in ôeıvóv: cf. Aesch. Prom. 39, tò $\sigma u \gamma \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon ́ s ~ \tau o l ~ o ̂ \epsilon \iota \nu \grave{\nu} \nu$ $=$ "is a strangely powerful tie;" and such phrases as $\delta \epsilon \iota \nu \grave{\nu} \nu \tau \grave{\partial} \tau i \kappa \tau \epsilon \iota \nu$ and oi $\delta \epsilon \iota \nu 0 i \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$.

4бو. $\tau \epsilon$, каî="quum, tum." Cf. Soph. Antig. I81, кáкıбтоs єîvaı $\nu \hat{v} \nu \tau \epsilon \kappa$ каì $\pi a ́ \lambda a \iota ~ \delta о к є \hat{\imath},="$ not only now...but formerly."-тaтрòs, objective genitive.

47 r . kaipios in its common sense of seasonable, cf. tempestivus: but notice Aesch. Ag. 1343, кalpia $\pi \lambda \eta \gamma \dot{\eta}$, a critical, that is, a mortal, wound.
473. Some think that Demophon here finally left the stage. But as most authorities give to Demophon the speech $5_{5} \sigma_{7}-573$, it is probable tbat D. remained till 573 . See N. on 567 .
474. The names Macaria and Copreus do not occur in the play: but were by the Grammarians imported into the Index personarum. Here in Elm. and in B. is to be found an account of Macaria qu. from Pausanias I. c. 32.- - dáoos is here the reverse of aiồs as used in 43, and of $\tau \dot{o} \sigma \omega \phi p o v \in \hat{\iota} \nu .-\epsilon \xi \partial \delta o u s$, " on the ground of my coming out." See $660,775,789$. [So Pfl. and B. after Elm. See Pfl. for examples of this causal dative.]- $\mu \circ \iota$ is governed by $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \theta \hat{\eta} \tau \epsilon$, to attribute.

476, 7. $\tau \grave{o} \sigma \omega \phi \rho .=$ "discretion." Observe that the two nouns, forming one idea, take кá入入cotov in the singular.-Cf. Soph. Aj. 293, $\gamma v \nu \alpha \iota \xi ̌ \iota \kappa o ́ \sigma \mu 0 \nu \dot{\eta} \sigma \iota \gamma \grave{\eta} \phi \epsilon \in \epsilon \iota$.— $\eta^{\prime} \sigma u \chi \circ \nu$, feminine. It is always of two terminations only.
478. Notice 'Ió $\lambda \epsilon \omega$ s, vocative.
479. If $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \epsilon \dot{v} \epsilon \iota \nu=$ "to represent," "negociate for," then $\gamma$ '́vous will express relation $:=$ "with reference to the family." If it $=$ "to take the lead of ;" then the genit. will be the same as after rerbs implying rule, supremacy over, etc. Madvig, Gk. Synt. § 58 b.
 $\gamma \in \mathcal{L} 0 s$.
480. With $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha}$ supply ö $\mu \omega s \epsilon_{\xi} \xi \hat{\eta} \lambda \theta o \nu$.- $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ єi $\mu i \hat{i} \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ is for $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ $\epsilon i \mu i$, to suit the metre. Elm.- $\pi \rho \dot{\rho} \sigma \phi o \rho o s$, fitting, i.e., for the post,
 $\pi \rho \dot{\sigma} \sigma \phi o \rho o s ~ a ̀ v a \gamma \epsilon i \sigma \theta a \iota$. [But Pfl. with $\pi \rho o ́ \sigma \phi o p o s ~ w o u l d ~ s u p p l y ~ \tau o i ́ s ~$ $\pi \rho a ́ \gamma \mu a \sigma \iota \nu$. He observes " videlicet mascula virgo."]
 Elm. - $\epsilon \pi i=$ " in addition to, over and above."

4S2, 3. $\mu \dot{\eta} \delta \dot{\alpha} \alpha v \in \epsilon$. The indicative denotes a belief that the fear is well grounded. Mr $\eta$ with indic. in indirect question is in fact a use transferred from the direct question. M $\eta$ in such cases $=$ num. Cf. Soph .
 ктeivelv dósa кeital. See examples in L. and Sc. $\mu \dot{\eta}, \mathrm{C}$. II. 2.$\pi \rho о \sigma \kappa \in i \mu \epsilon \nu \circ \nu$, "added." See L. and Sc. III. 2.
484. ov̉ $\nu \in \omega \sigma \tau i \delta \dot{\eta}=$ not lately chiefly, or only.

4S6, 7. $\pi \rho \rho \chi \omega \rho \in \hat{\imath}$ is found in bad sense in Phoch. I266, Elm.$\pi \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \nu a \hat{\theta} \theta \iota s=$ " rursus iterum."
 animal.
490. oquaivєเข...кєไєv่єเv. There are different ways of explaining the construction. (1) $\kappa \in \lambda \in \chi^{\prime} \epsilon \nu$ as epexegetical of $\sigma \eta \mu a i \nu \epsilon \iota \nu$, where Eur. might have written $\kappa \in \lambda \in \dot{v} \frac{\nu}{2} \tau a s$. (2) $\kappa \in \lambda \epsilon \cup \in \epsilon \nu$ may be simply superfluous, Pfl.; who aptly qu. Ar. Nubles, 331, 334, where $\beta$ ór коvб occurs twice; also Thesmr. 49S, 50I, repetition of єiр hкє. (3) Elm. takes $\sigma \eta u a i \nu \epsilon \iota \nu^{\text {(3) }}$ with $\tau \alpha \hat{v} \rho \rho \nu, \kappa \in \lambda \in \varepsilon^{\prime} \epsilon \nu$ with $\pi a \rho \theta \in ́ v o \nu$; translating "ait non taurum significare sed puellam mactari jubere." [(4) Elm. also thinks that possibly while the subject of $\sigma$. is $\dot{\omega} \delta o u s$, the subject of $\kappa$. is Demophon himself.
 $\kappa \in \lambda \epsilon \epsilon^{\prime} \epsilon \epsilon \nu$. -Possibly the two verbs should be taken together in the present passage also: "he says that the soothsayers declare that they bid you."]
492. áuך $u \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\nu} \hat{i} \nu$ here takes a cognate accusative, or accusative of respect: elsewhere, we also find $\dot{\alpha} \mu \eta \chi . \pi \epsilon \rho^{i} \tau \iota \nu o s$, or with the dative ; as in Soph. $A j$. III3, $\theta \in \sigma \phi \dot{\alpha} \tau o \iota s \dot{\alpha} \mu \eta \chi a \nu \hat{\omega}=$ " on the ground of."
494. = "not in so many words, but it comes to this." Elm. qu.

495. See N.-" Unless we shall contrive a way out of this difficulty ( $\tau$ ) in some way" (adverbial use).

496, 7. $\beta$ oú $\lambda \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$ where we expected $\beta$ oúdeo $\theta a \iota$. There is a zeugma: $\lambda \epsilon ' \gamma \epsilon \iota$ is used with $\epsilon \dot{v} \rho i \sigma \kappa \epsilon \iota \nu$ in the sense of "he bids;" and with $\beta$ ßoúdєтą as " he says" (oratio recta).

49S. See N.-Tr. with R., "Is it on these terms that we depend
for safety？＂［Elm．would tr．غं $\chi \dot{j} \mu \in \sigma \theta a$ hacremus：＂In this pass，are we prevented from being saved？＂For this he qu．Thuc．I． 25 ，$\dot{\text { c }} \nu$
 hardly＝haerebant in that passage．］－каi єं $\chi$ ．＝＂do we indied depend：＂ cf．L．and Sc．кal，B．II．I．

500．光T $\tau=$＂do not as yct．＂Take $\nu \hat{\imath} \nu$ ët $\tau \iota$ together，not $\mu \grave{\eta}$ ét


501，2．air $\dot{\eta}=u l t r o$ ．With $\dot{\epsilon} \tau \circ \mu \mu \dot{\eta}$ supply $\epsilon i \mu i$ ；which is very often omitted with this adjective．Cf．Soph．Ocd．Tyr．9r，ëroumos єimeiv．－ mapiбтarөat＝＂put myself by the side of，and so，submit to：＂so in
 $\pi \alpha \rho \in \sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\nu} \alpha \iota=$ adesse ；and so in 590 ．

504．See N．－Cf．gSб．991，veikos，and סv
$50 \equiv, 6$ ．＂Shall I，though I have the opportunity of securing the safety of all，flee so as to escape death？＂Pfl．qu．Hdt．vil．194，Dapeîov $\delta \iota a \not \subset v \gamma \omega \bar{\nu} \mu \grave{\eta}$ ámo入白 $\sigma \theta a t$ ．－$\pi a \rho o ̀ \nu$ is nom．or acc．absolute．

ミOS－510．Does she mean＂It were ridiculous，that，while we give way to lamentations as suppliants（which is bad enough in itself）we should also make an exhibition of our cowardice？＂－With кakou＇s supply öyтas，not eival．The latter would mean＂should afticar to be cowards．＂
 fitting amongst good people；＂or，possibly，＂are seen amongst good actions．＂

51I．oifuat here and in 968 is ironical：not so in $670 .-\hat{a} \mu \eta$ rixot more：this prayer is always inserted before the mention of the ill－omened word．See 7I4．

512．Xeipas eis．The transposition is for the sake of the metre．
514．$\mu \eta \delta \grave{\delta} \nu \dot{\eta} \sigma \sigma o \nu$ ，i．e．，than in the present case．
515．à $\backslash \eta \tau \in \dot{\sigma} \sigma \omega$ may be either future indic．，or deliberative subjunc－ tive．Elm．

516．$\hat{0} \dot{\eta}="$ look here，lo ！＂$\hat{o} \eta \mathrm{\eta} \tau \alpha$ is an emphatic form of $\delta \dot{\eta}$. ［But P．translates $\epsilon \dot{\alpha} \nu \quad \delta \dot{\eta}$ ，＂if，as doubtless they will．＂］
$5_{17}^{7}, 8$ ．＂Why do you ask us to risk our lives for you，while you cling to life yourselves？＂
$\Sigma 19, \Sigma 20$ ．For $\pi \rho 0 \sigma \omega \phi$ ．cf． $330,68 \mathrm{r}$ ．－$\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau 0 t$ is thus often used in strong protestations．See L．and Sc．$\mu \grave{\varepsilon} \nu$, B．4．b．
$\xi_{22,3} \quad \tau \hat{\eta} \hat{0} \epsilon="$ in this way＂$(\dot{o} \hat{\partial} \hat{\varphi})="$ with such a hope．＂－ $\pi \rho o v ̃ o ̂ o c a \nu$, frequentative．—With кóp $\nu \nu$ supply $\epsilon \mu \epsilon$＇．
 694 , $\dot{\alpha} v a \xi(\alpha=$ " undeserving of evil."
527. $\ddot{\eta} \tau \iota 5$, as in 409, implies a reason; "such as to be."

529. See N.-Note that the reading in the text is a cretic reading. -кatap $\notin \sigma \theta a l$, cf. 60 I , and $I_{f}$ Ris. $T_{4} .40$, refers to the begiming of a sacrifice, when the lock or tuft of hai: was cut from a victim's forchead. It naturally takes genitive of the victim; and, in Alc. 74, dative of the instrument; катáp $\xi^{\xi} \omega \mu \alpha \iota \xi i \phi \epsilon \iota$.



533. For $\mu \dot{\eta}=$ "in the event of," cf. 2S3, 328. See 518. -For єن̈p $\eta \mu a$, Pfl. qu. Med. 553 .

Compare with this speech of Macaria, that of Polyxena in Hic. E42, $_{2}$, of Iphigeneia in $I_{P}^{h} h$. Aul. $\mathrm{I}_{3} 68$, and of Praxithea, in Erectheuts, Fris. Elm. - And yet they say that Euripides was a misogynist.
535. $\phi \in \hat{v}$, cf. $5 \Sigma_{2}$, of admiration. So in Ar. Avi's, $17^{2} 4, \phi \epsilon \hat{v} \phi \epsilon \hat{u}$ $\tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{s} \dot{\omega} \rho a s, \tau o \hat{v} \kappa a ́ \lambda \lambda o u s$.
536. $\pi \dot{\alpha} \rho o s$, here a preposition,$=\pi \rho \dot{\alpha}=\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau i$ in $\Sigma S_{0}=\pi \dot{\alpha} \rho o i \theta \in \nu$ in $\bar{\Sigma} S_{3}$. $\pi$ ápos in this sense follows the word which it governs. See Index.

53S. $\mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda \frac{1}{}$ is used with $\gamma \epsilon \nu \nu$ aious instead of the comparative adjective. -For étl, cf. note on $\mathbf{5 0 0}$. P. takes it here as "beyond the present example." B. takes $\mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda \frac{1}{l} \nu$ ĕ̃ $\tau \iota$ together. But why not ="hereafter"?
541. See N゙.-Hpak.귀os, the epic genitive, does not elsewhere occur in Tragedy. It may be taken as genitive in apposition with, and explanatory of, éreivov, and also as dependent on фpevós.-oik ai $\sigma$ रivoual, alluding to the request of Macaria in 474.-For ai $\sigma \chi$.

542. $\tau \hat{\eta} \tau \dot{\chi} \chi \eta=$ "at the mischance which makes such words necessary."
546. $\pi$ ádos=" the lot as shaken from a helmet." кilnpos is the more common word in Tragedy. But cf. Ion, $q \mathrm{I} \sigma$, oîs $\epsilon \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \rho \omega \sigma \epsilon \nu \pi$ álos, and Soph. Antig. 275 .
548. Xápıs, there is no boon, no favour conferred: see note on
 öpa, or some such word, can always be supplied. Cf. 558 , and $\sigma_{54}$.
549. With $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \partial \dot{\theta} \chi \in \sigma \theta \epsilon$ supply either $\mu \epsilon$, or aútò, or $\mu\lrcorner$.
 naturally the usual construction with $\dot{u} \pi \epsilon \rho \phi \in \rho \in \iota \nu$ ，as in Ar．Equit． $58_{4}$ ． Probably the accusative can be explained thus：－ím $\epsilon \rho \phi \varepsilon \varepsilon \rho \epsilon \iota \nu$ here $=$＂you exalt，you carry to excess，you top $u p$ one act of boldness by another．＂ ［So B．from Matth．But Elm．has such searchings of heart on the subject，that he would resort to emendation．See Pflugk＇s note．］ $\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho \epsilon \in \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ and $\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ are also found with an acc．in place of the usual genitive．

558．Iolaus had just said：＂I do not bid you die；I only say that by your death you aid your kin．＂Macaria replies，＂इo申̂̂s ke入ev́els： by so saying，you practically do bid me，and act wisely in so bidding me．＂Elm．，however，tr．$\sigma 0 \phi \hat{\omega}$＂＂cautiously：＂in the sense that＇Your command is so carefully worded that you escape participation in the guilt of my blood．＇－For $\mu \dot{\eta} \tau \rho \epsilon \in \sigma \eta$ s cf．note on 54 ．－$\mu i a \sigma \mu a$ ，so usually of the stain of murder．Cf．Acsch．Suppl．265，ai $\mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu \mu$ ．，and Hippol． 35 ．

559．$\theta a^{\prime} \nu \omega$ ，jussive，let me die．As Elm．observes，the plural subjunctive is more common in this sense．He qu．Hippol． $5^{6} 7$ ，aviòn
 ＂of my own free－will．＂P．［or，＂as becomes a free woman．＂Elm．］．
 134， 200 and Index．$-\pi \epsilon \in \pi$ خocs，a woman＇s garment，answering to the man＇s i $\mu a ́ \tau c o \nu$ or outer garment．$-\pi a \rho \omega \dot{\nu}=$＂be present and．＂

562．$\gamma \epsilon$ simply emphasises the dreadful word $\sigma \phi a \gamma \dot{\eta}$ ．— $\tau \grave{o}$ o $\delta \epsilon \nu \grave{\partial} \nu$, the strange and dreadful end，or deed．Cf．Moud．393，ró入 $\mu \eta$ s ô＇єi，$\mu$ $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ к а \rho \tau \epsilon \rho o ́ v . ~$

563，4．Observe that $\epsilon i \pi \epsilon \rho$ in Attic is only used when the truth of the supposition is assumed．But in Homer，$\epsilon i \pi \epsilon \rho=\kappa \alpha i \quad \epsilon i=$＂even

 $\pi a \rho \epsilon \sigma \tau$ ával cf．note on 502.

565．òè emphasises $\tau 0 \hat{0} \hat{\sigma} \epsilon$ ，and not $\sigma$ ú．See note on 257 ．With
 examples of this use of $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha}]$ ．一тои̂0ิ $\chi \chi \rho \hat{\eta} \zeta \epsilon=$＂ask of Demophon．＂

567．See N．
568．коб $\mu \epsilon ́ \omega$ is used specially of dressing women；in $7^{25}$ ，of armour：in Troad．II4T，of paying honours to a vékus，and in Soph． Antig．39б，$\tau \dot{\alpha} \phi о \nu$ коб $\mu$ оиб $\alpha$ ．
570. $\tau \lambda \eta \mu 0 \nu$. here $=$ "boldest, most stout-hearted :" so Elm., who
 In Soph. El. $439, \tau \lambda . \gamma u v \dot{\eta}$ seems to bear the (bad) sense of "bold." In any case, the underlying idea is cndurance.

572,3 . The accusative after $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \epsilon!\pi \epsilon i v$, which is to be supplied from the following line: or, the acc. of respect.-Exit Demophon finally.

575, 6. Take $\tau 0 t o v i \sigma \delta \epsilon \dot{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ $\sigma \dot{u}$ together, and tr.: "Teach them to be such as you are, wise in all." [So Pfl., who qu. many instances. And so B. But see Elm.]. -For the idea in $\mu \eta \dot{\partial} \dot{\epsilon} \nu \quad \mu a ́ \lambda \lambda o \nu$, cf. Mid.
 кeodal $\sigma \circ \phi o u ́ s . ~ P f l$. We, on the other hand, have the proverb about A little learning.- $\dot{\alpha} \kappa \kappa \in \sigma \sigma v \sigma \iota=$ " it will suffice them:" cf. 323,827 .
577. Tr. "Try to save them from death (so that they do not die)." [But Elm. has a comma after $\sigma \hat{\omega} \sigma a t$ : in which case, tr. "And do not be eager to die."]
578. Tr. "For thee we are as thy children: by thine hands have we been reared."

580 . At the end of this line, the following contrasted thought was left to suggest itself to Iolaus:-So do you, for your part, offer your old age, and live for them.
581. oumia here and in Æsch. Eum. $57=$ "assembly:" but its more usual meaning is, a being together, intercourse. Cf. Prom. 39, тò

 cf. note on 536 .- $\sigma \phi a \gamma \eta \sigma \epsilon \tau a l$. The same future passive is found in Androm. 3 I 5 .-For карסía, кápa would be more usual : $\sigma \phi a ́ s \in e \nu$ strictly refers to the throat.
584. $\epsilon \sigma \omega \delta \delta^{\circ} \mu \omega \nu$. Cf. Aesch. Theh. 232. We should have expected évôov, for there does not seem to be implied any idea of motion to the house. P. refers to Hippol. 2, oúpávou $\tau^{`}$ Ë $\sigma \omega$, and Eur. Suppl. 1197, $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau i v \ldots \epsilon i \sigma \omega$ óo $\mu \omega \nu$. For the genitive with évojov, and with other adverbs of place and time, cf. Madvig, Gk. Sy $h t$ t. § इ০. b.

5SS, 9. $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu \sigma \omega ̈ \tau \epsilon \rho p a \nu$ depends on $\theta$ á $\psi a l$. - Bury, that is, in her own land.-With кá入 $\lambda \iota \sigma \tau a$, supply $\theta$ á $\psi a \iota$.
590. For $\pi \alpha \rho \epsilon ́ \sigma \tau \eta \nu$ see note on 502.- $\pi \rho \circ \hat{H} \theta a \nu o \nu$, in belhalf of: so
 Cf. Alc. 682 , virep $\theta \nu \dot{\eta} \sigma \kappa \epsilon \iota \nu \sigma \in \theta \in \nu$. Dut observe that in Thuc. II. 52, $\pi \rho \circ \theta \nu \dot{\eta} \sigma \kappa \epsilon \iota \nu=$ " to die bifure."



593. $\gamma \epsilon$ always emphasises the preceding word. Distinguish therefore $\gamma \epsilon \mu \dot{e} \nu \tau o l$ (here, and in $6_{37}$ ) from $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau o l \gamma \epsilon$.
$59+, 5 . \quad \mu e \rho i \mu \nu a s="$ cares."-oi $\theta$. $=$ "those just about to die." P. $\tau t s=$ "one," Fr. "on," Ger. " man." Cf. 827,866 . -üтot is used with $\tau \rho \epsilon \pi \epsilon \epsilon \nu$, and not öтov; as in Latin quo me vertam, not qua. Elm.
506. Exit Macaria finally. The first five lines in the next speech are addressed to her retreating figure.
597. Of $\hat{\varepsilon} \kappa \pi \rho \epsilon \in \pi \epsilon \iota \nu$ L. and Sc. give no other instance.
598. Take $\pi$ ò̀̀ with $\tau u \mu \omega \tau$ di $\tau \eta$.
600. रaîpe, which is used both at beginnings and at ends of interviews, here $=$ "vale," and in $\sigma_{3} 0=$ "salve." $-\dot{\delta} v \sigma \phi \eta \mu \in \hat{i} v$ is here transitive : but has often the simply neuter sense of speaking in an ill-omened manner. -With $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ àp supply some such thought as follows: "Farewell! (I use the word, though it is ill-applied) for, \&c."

6or. For катйнктаl "has been devoted, initiatum est," see note on 629. Observe the passive use of the deponent. [Elm. in a long note suggests that the reading might be $\hat{\eta}$ кar $\bar{\eta} \rho \kappa \tau a t$, used actively : but in that case $\sigma \hat{\omega} \mu a$ would be in the genitive. In his note in his Appendix, he gives a list of passages in which eipyacaal, another deponent, is used (I) in active, (2) in passive, (3) doubtful].
602. oix ${ }^{3} \mu \in \sigma \theta a=$ "I am fainting, or dying:" cf. $6_{3} 6$. It is a common use of the word.

603,4 . $\dot{\epsilon} \rho \epsilon i \delta \omega$ is here used in the sense of making one thing lean upon another.-aưrồ = "here."

605,6 . He speaks of the future as past, because it is already decided on.-For oürє... $\tau \epsilon$, Pfl. qu. Troad. 487 , and other passages.où $\beta$ úácuop $=$ " it is impossible to live :" cf. Soph. Antig. $566, \tau \ell$ रà $\rho$


607 . $\dot{\alpha} \tau \eta$, here $=$ "mischief, destruction." See L. and Sc. It is a word that should only be used in Tragedy.- $\sigma \nu \mu \phi \circ \rho \dot{\alpha}$, noun, fem. sing.Let the beginner compare with this, and translate, Medea 54 , $\chi$ p $\eta \sigma$ ooĩo

$60 S$. For map of the metre of this chorus, which is chiefly dactylic, see Pf.-The Strophe here, like the moral of a fable, consists of very obvious general reflections; written, like some leading articles, to help those to think who cannot think for themselves. - The second ov in this
line is for oüre, as in 616 . Tr. "Without the gods, I say that no man becomes prosperous, none aflicted in lot." Negative clauses often thus stand, following one another without any comnecting particle. Cf. v. 615.
610. For $\beta \epsilon \beta$ ăval cf. Iliud xvir. $359, \beta \epsilon \beta a^{\prime} \mu \epsilon \nu$. For the expres-

612. $\delta \iota \omega \in \kappa є=$ " hurrics, properat :" intransitive. [Pfl. from Musgr.
 not uncommon in any language, Elm. qu. Aesch. Prom. $275, \pi \lambda a \nu \omega \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta$
 $\dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon<\beta \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota \pi \dot{\eta} \mu a \tau \alpha$.
613. á $\phi$ ' $\dot{v} \psi \eta \lambda \omega \bar{\omega}=\dot{v} \psi \phi \theta \in \nu$, Pfl. $=$ " from on high." [But P. refers
 $\tau a \pi \epsilon \epsilon \nu 0 u s .-\omega ँ \kappa \kappa \epsilon \beta$., frequentative : "brings to low estate."
614. For $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \dot{\eta}_{\tau}$. ${ }^{2}$ see N .
615. $\mu \dot{\rho} \rho \sigma \iota \mu a$ alludes to $\mu 0 \hat{\imath} \rho \alpha$ in 612. It is a Homeric word.$\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\omega} \sigma \epsilon \tau \alpha=$ " thrust them away from himself."

618. $\mu \eta$ ो $\pi \rho \circ \pi i \tau \nu \omega \nu=$ " erecto corpore atque animo." Iolaus was lying on the ground. - $\tau \dot{\alpha} \theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu$, "the things sent by or from the gods." Cf. Phoen. 382 , $\delta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \phi \epsilon \epsilon \rho \epsilon \iota \nu \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \theta \epsilon \omega \hat{\omega}$.-[See N.]
620. $\phi \rho o \nu \tau i \hat{j} a=$ " in your mind, or thoughts."一 $\dot{u} \pi \epsilon \rho a \lambda \gamma \epsilon i v$ is intransitive, and takes genitive of the person, as in Hipp. 260, $\kappa a^{\prime} \gamma \dot{\omega} \tau \hat{\eta} \sigma \delta^{\prime \prime}$ $\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho \alpha \lambda \gamma \omega$.


 Herodotus, äv $\epsilon v \tau \epsilon$ dódov кaì ámátךs. Elm.- $\mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \in a="$ unhappy, to be pitied:" but observe that the Homeric use is different ; as in Iliad xxini.

625. "The path of virtue leads through labours." Cf. Hesiod $O p$. 289, $\tau \hat{\eta} s \theta^{\prime}$ ' $\alpha \rho \epsilon \tau \hat{\eta} s i \delta \rho \omega \hat{\omega} \alpha$.
627. $\sigma \epsilon \in \beta \epsilon \iota s=$ "you reverence." With $\mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \in \chi \omega$, supply $\tau \hat{\eta} s \delta^{\delta}$ ós $\eta s$ : "I share that opinion with you." Cf. 8.-Cf. Balaam in Numbers xxiii. ıo, Let me die the death of the righteous, and let my last end be like his.
630. One of the servants of Hyllus (an elder son of Heracles, who has been searching elsewhere for an asylum for the family, cf. 45,46 ) enters to say that Hyllus is at hand with an army. These tidings, though not expressly told him, it is clear from $\sigma_{50}$ that Iolaus has gathered
from the answers in 637 and 639 . Iolaus had fallen to the ground, 602 ; and had been covered with wraps, 604 : he is therefore not at first seen by the $\theta \epsilon \rho a \dot{d} \pi \omega \nu$.

630 , I. For $\chi a i \rho \epsilon \tau$ ', see note on $600 .-\alpha \pi 0 \sigma \tau a \tau \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ is to be taken with each nominative separately.- "'òpa, here $=$ " a seat ;" but, often, the act of sitting.
632. Tr. "I am here-with poor presence as is mine." The $\gamma \epsilon$
 $\mu \circ \nu^{\prime} a^{\prime},="$ so far as happiness belongs to cattle." Xen. Cyr. viii. 2. i4.
633. $\tau i \chi \rho \hat{\eta} \mu \alpha$ is strictly an accusative of respect $=$ "Why?" Cf. $6{ }_{4} 6,709$. But often $=$ "What ?" Cf. Aesch. Chocph. 885 , ri ó '̇бтi хрฑियа;
634. oikeios = personal and private; as opposed to кolvós. Cf. 146, 419.- $\sigma v \nu \epsilon \iota \chi$ ó $\mu \eta \nu=$ " was constrained, oppressed :" and in this sense is

635. The change of tense has not so much emphasis here as in 654 .
636. $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$. The plural is used of one man, as in 602 .- $\dot{\epsilon}^{2} \rho \omega^{\prime}$. $\mu \in \theta a=$ "have strength;" and is mostly thus used in pf. pass. with present sense.
637. Cf. note on 593 .
639. For $\pi \epsilon \nu \epsilon ́ \sigma \tau \eta s$, a "serf" (i.e. a sitचer: servus), one who $\pi$ 'ย $\nu \epsilon-$ $\tau a i$ (cf. $\pi \sigma^{\prime} \nu 0-s$ ), see L. and Sc., and cf. Pfl. for learned references. "The descendants of the old Pelasgo-Argive inhabitants of Thessaly, paying portion of the produce as rent." P.-Cf. Theoc. 16. 35, dं $\rho \mu a-$

640. See N. for cretic ending.-This line apostrophises Hyllus, and is not adiressed to the $\theta \in \rho a \dot{\pi} \pi \omega \nu$. - $\beta \lambda \alpha^{\prime} \beta \eta s=$ "from hurt."- $\hat{\alpha} p a$ is probably, judging from the reply in the following line, here used in its ordinary sense of num ; but the passage will bear the use of $\hat{\alpha} p a$ as an interjection, for which see exx. in L. and Sc. I. 5.- $\nu \hat{\varphi} \nu=$ " to Alcmena and to myself."
$\sigma_{+} \mathrm{I}$. кai $\pi \rho$ ós $\gamma$ ', (adverb) = "and, besides," (implying, I don't understand your alarm): cf. Aesch. Prom. 73, ท̂ $\mu \grave{\eta} \nu \kappa \in \lambda \in u ́ \sigma \omega \kappa \kappa \dot{m} \pi \iota \theta \omega u ́ \xi \omega ~ \gamma \epsilon$ $\pi \rho$ 'śs. - With $\tau \dot{a}$ vîv $\tau$ áố , cf. Herc. $F .=\neq 6=$ "at the present time." Pfl.-The accusatives may be accounted for as an accusative of duration of time, followed by an accusative of respect. Both define and limit the scope of the verb: lit. 'you are fortunate as to present circumstances, as to these matters $'=$ " you are fortunate at present in this."

 For $\epsilon l$ with future indicative after verbs expressing emotion, cf. L. and Sc. $\epsilon l$, B. III. and Iv.-As verbs of fearing may be followed by an indirect question introduced by $\epsilon l$, ö $\pi o$, ö $\pi \omega \mathrm{s}$, etc., the idea of anxicty implied in $\psi v \chi \dot{\eta} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \kappa 0 \quad$ admits of a similar construction. For the general law, cf. Goodwin, Greck Moods and Tonses, § 4 6, N. 6. c.-The best explanation of these difficult lines seems to be the following, in which Elm. and Pf. agree :-oi $\dot{\alpha} \phi .=$ Hyllus, and the other elder sons of Heracles : עóotos = the arrival of Hyllus at Marathon [adventum: not, reditum in patriam, as B. thinks]. The genitive $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \phi$. can either be taken with $\dot{\omega} \dot{\delta} \dot{\nu} v o v \sigma a$, as if $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ were understood (Elm.); or as directly depending on $\nu$ úa $\sigma$ os (Pff.).
646. áüTخ̀ is generally a battle cry, as $\beta$ ò is a cry of suppliants, or
 $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \epsilon \phi \lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \nu$.
649. Tooóvō . With this word Alcmena turns from Iolaus to the other, and assumes an air of bravado, thinking him another emissary of Eurystheus.

651,2 . This is one of the seven lines in Euripides which begin

 vus incommodi, is in 795, and in Eur. Suppl. 637, used absolutely: but, more generally, it means to fight for a prize, and takes $\pi \epsilon \rho i$, or accus. cogn.
654. "Keep a good heart, cease trembling." Cf. 548.—oűк"Aprb日є
 not the herald.
657. $\sigma$ '́. Accusative because the idea is, You are the olject of my thoughts. For the elliptical use of the accus. cf. Ar. Ach. $345, \mu \dot{\eta} \mu o$ $\pi \rho o ́ \phi a \sigma \iota \nu$, and Ar. Av. ${ }^{273}$, oúros $\hat{\omega} \sigma \epsilon \in \tau o \iota$, and Madvig, Gk. Synt.§ 32. -Elm. explains $\sigma \epsilon$ in the present passage by supplying the definite word


 here as a final conjunction, taking the optative after past tenses, $=u t$. Cf. L. and Sc. B. I. b. Be careful to distinguish between the use of örcos in true Final clauses (with the sulj. and opt.; very rarely the indic. which is never found with most of the final conjunctions), and the

> B.
use ( 1 ) with secondary tenses of the indicative to express an unfulfilled condition ; and ( 2 ) with the future indic. after verbs of striving, etc.$\pi \rho o ́ \sigma \theta \epsilon$ is here a preposition, not an adverb; cf. 686 and Aesch. Piss.
 an adverb. [Elm., Herm., Matth.]
$6_{5} 8$. $\dot{\eta} \sigma \mu \in \nu($ see N.$)=$ "I knew not that: who then is' this?"
659. See note on 630 . He refers to Hyllus.
660. Is addressed to the $\theta \epsilon \rho \dot{a} \pi \omega \nu$ : Thou too share in my greeting on the ground of these thy tidings.

66 r . Take both $\tau i$ and $\pi 0 \hat{u}$ with är $\pi \epsilon \sigma \tau \iota$. [B., Matth., Pfl. and see exx. in Pff.] Tr. "Why, since his foot has reached this land, is he absent now? and where?"
662. єĭp $\gamma \omega$ usually takes $\mu \dot{\eta}$, as in 963 , єĭ $\rho \gamma \in \iota \mu \dot{\eta}$ Өaveîv. But cf. Soph. Oed. Tyr. 129, єโिүє $\tau 0 \hat{\tau} \tau^{\prime} \epsilon \xi \xi \epsilon \delta \epsilon \in \nu a \iota$.
663. $\hat{o} \epsilon \hat{v} \rho o$ is for $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \theta \dot{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} \epsilon$, as if $\phi a \nu \hat{\epsilon} \nu \tau a$ implied motion.
664. кati $\xi \in \iota$ is used causally, as in Thuc. Iv. 90, and vi. 66,
 middle voice, used of the general, "he is forming for himself," or "he is getting formed." P.-So in Thuc. II. 90, $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i \tau \epsilon \sigma \sigma a ́ \rho \omega \nu \tau a \check{\zeta} \alpha \dot{\mu} \mu \nu 0 \iota$ $\tau$ às vaûs. But its common use in Thuc. in the middle is, To fall in, in order of battle. Cf. I. 48 , IV. II.
$66 \approx$, 6 . She means, Then there is nothing more that interests me personally, in such details as these.- $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu=$ " $m y$ task, not yours."
663. Cf. 674 , and Aesch. Pers. 334, $\pi \dot{\sigma} \sigma \circ \nu \tau \iota \pi \lambda \tilde{\eta} \theta$ os $\tilde{\eta}_{\nu} \nu \boldsymbol{\nu} \omega \hat{\omega} \nu$; "About how many?"
669. á $\lambda \lambda \frac{}{}{ }^{2}(=\alpha \lambda \lambda \omega s)=$ "I cannot tell you the number in any other way." See N.

67 I . Tr. "And lo he is posted as the left wing :" that is, he and his forces form the left wing : nominative in apposition. [But Elm. would supply кará.-P. explains it as cognate accusative, like $\sigma \tau \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota$
 -Observe that $\lambda$ aios ( $=$ laevus) is not found in Attic prose, and is never used in any but the literal sense of "left :" cf. 728. But we have had oкalòs, above, metaphorically: and we find it so in prose authors also.
673. See N.-Tr. "And lo, the victims have been brought forward." - [кal $\delta \grave{\eta}=\eta ้ o ̂ \eta . \quad \pi a \rho a ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu=$ in medium adducere]. Cf. Xen. $d e$

674. ${ }^{a} \pi \omega \theta \in \varepsilon=a ̆ \pi \sigma \theta \in \nu,=$ (strictly) "from afar," as in Soph. Antig. $1206, \phi \omega \nu \eta ิ s a ̆ \pi \omega \theta \in \nu$ к $\lambda \dot{v} \epsilon \iota \tau \iota s$ : but here $=$ "how far off."
675. ఙ̈are with the infinitive gives the distance as a general tern : with the indicative, it would refer only to this particular case. P'fl.

 gather from $6_{77}$. See also the passage last qu.
${ }^{67} 6$. For $\tau$ ácoovia see note on $66_{4}$.-Look out the inflexions of बrixas.
677. $\operatorname{\epsilon in\alpha }{ }^{\prime} j \omega=(\mathrm{I})$ "to make like to," (2) "to compare with," (3) as here, "to conjecture."
 attinet", $\sigma$ ồ ëveка.
680. фpovtijw is mostly used with the negative, as in Bacch. 637, Hevécus oú ф $\rho$ ovtícas.

 the sense of prodesse, to benefit, does not often take, as here, the dative; but the accusative, like juvare, as in Aesch. Prom. 507, $\mu \mu^{\prime} \nu \nu \nu$ $\beta$ pootoùs $\mu$ èv $\dot{\omega} \phi \epsilon \lambda \lambda \epsilon$.
682. For $\hat{\eta} \nu$ cf. note on 416 . $-\mu \hat{\omega} \rho o \nu$ implies that the idea of so old a man being able to help his friends, is foolish.-Elm. qu. Herc. F. $5_{5}$, $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \sigma o v ̂ ~ \mu e ̀ v, ~ \omega ̀ ~ \pi a ̂ ̂, ~ \tau o i ̂ s ~ \phi i \lambda o c s ~ c i v a \iota ~ \phi i \lambda o v . ~ S o ~ t h e ~ g e n i t i v e ~ i n ~ L a t i n, ~$ Est viri boni. See L. and Sc. $\pi \rho \grave{o} s$, A. Iv. $\pi p \rho \grave{s} \sigma o u ̂="$ proceeding from, or connected with, your character," and so, "like you." Cf. Soph.
 Madv. Gk. Synt. § 77. 3. b.
 -Notice that this is an affirmative sentence. Pfl. notes at length that $\gamma \epsilon$ is only used in affirm. sentences.
 є̇ $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \dot{1}$. P.
685. From $\theta$ eivw: cf. 27 I .
686. $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu$ here is adverb of time $=$ "previously, first." Cf. $\pi$ ápootev in Index. -The character of the dialogue between these two, the "chaff" of the one, and the tottering efforts of the other to arm himself, have an element of comedy, which is not found in the earlier dramatists. P. therefore thinks that the Heracleidae was probably the fourth play in a tetralogy, played latest in the day, in the place of the usual Satyric drama (such as is the Cyclops) ; and so partly designed to create laughter.-At any rate, since in this play Euripides
had departed from custom by writing with a view to an existing political crisis, he was probably the less careful to maintain continuously that orthodox tragic tone, to which he was at no time so faithful as were his predecessors. [See the ludicrous features of the Bacciase.] His mind is throughout turned rather to political allusion than to dramatic precedent.

688. For $\ddagger$ rầ, " good master," cf. Soph. Ded. Ty"r. II 45 . In these two places the phrase is put into the mouth of a $\theta \in p \dot{d} \pi \omega v$. It usually denotes, in the few passages in Tragedy in which it occurs, familiar and friendly remonstrance. It is common enough in the conversations in Plato and Aristophanes. For tragic Iambics it should be avoided as too colloquial.
689. Tr. "Yet at any rate I am prepared to fight (future) with as many in number (acc. of respect) as before."-Cf. Ion, 1235, a.j入' oiv גєүóuєfá $\gamma \in$. [Elm. See also Pfl. for this phrase.] Cf. Thuc. I. I43, oủx $\in \lambda \dot{\alpha} \sigma \sigma o \sigma t ~ \mu a \chi o u ́ \mu \in \theta a$, and Soph. Antig. 84.

6go. Tr. "Slight is the weight which you thus throw into the

山arcs=" enclosure." $\rightarrow \dot{\sigma}$ oovv is not here necessarily contemptuous, but means, the weight consisting of you.

69 r . ôpar is probably to be taken with maperk.; but L. and Sc.,

693. Tr. "On the understanding that I shall not stay behind, you may talk on, what you will." $\pi \alpha \dot{\rho} \alpha=\pi \alpha \dot{\beta} \rho \sigma \tau$. $\tau \alpha \lambda \lambda=$ "cetera." is
 Acc. Absolutus alicujus de ea sententiam exprimit," Elm., who qu.
 yovor.- "Modo teneas me non mansuram." Pil.-It is possible that he would have said is $\mu \eta \dot{\eta} \mu$ evoivros, but used the accusative, through the
 and $\mathrm{Sc} . \dot{\operatorname{\omega }} \mathrm{s}$, C. I. 3. For the acc. absolute with $\dot{\text { iss }}$, cf. Madvig, Gk. Symi. § 182, and Goodwin, Gb. Mouls and Tenses, § 110.2 , N. i.
[Elm. in note in Appendix on 693 , gives (i) a list of supposed elisions of 6 in the dative singular, and would emend in each case, (2) a list of transitions from genitive or dative to the accusative, which transition mostly occurs in participles: e.g., Aesch. Agam. 16ro,

 $\mu \eta े \pi \alpha ́ \sigma \chi \circ \nu \sigma a \nu$.
 gather from $\theta \epsilon o \dot{s}, 697$. It is just possible that $\tau 0 \hat{\sigma} \delta \delta \epsilon$ may $=$ " belonging to the chorus." -olot refers awkwardly to $\delta \pi \lambda \lambda$. For the custom of hanging arms to the walls of temples, cf. Androm. 1123, крє $\mu \alpha \sigma \tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \epsilon \dot{\chi} \chi \eta$ $\pi \alpha \sigma \sigma a ́ \lambda \omega \nu ~ к a \theta a \rho \pi \alpha ́ \sigma a s$, and Hor. Odes 1. 5. 13-16; and III. 26. 3, 4, Nunc arma defunctumque bello barbiton hic paries habebit.-ö $\pi \lambda a$ is here used of suits of armour. Cf. 699, 720; i.e., it includes the $\delta 6 \rho v$. Cf. 727.
697. $\dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \iota \tau \epsilon \in \omega$ generally has two accusatives, as in $\mathrm{Hel}^{2} .96_{3}, \dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \iota \tau \hat{\omega}$ $\tau i \bar{\nu} \epsilon \mu \eta े \nu \delta \dot{a} \mu \alpha \rho \tau \dot{a} \sigma \epsilon$.
699. $\quad \dot{\pi} \lambda i \tau \eta$ s is here used strictly as an adjective: cf. 800.

700, r. oiкov́p $\eta \mu a$, cf. Hippol. 787 , = " a keeping the house." $-\gamma$ l $\gamma \nu \epsilon$ $\tau a \iota=$ " tends to be."- $\delta \epsilon i \lambda l q$. The dative states the efficient cause of the action: "remained through cowardice." Madvig, Gk. Synt. § 41. Cf. $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \nu 0 i a c \dot{a} \mu a \rho \operatorname{cáa}^{\nu} \epsilon \nu \nu$. -The $\theta \epsilon \rho a ́ \pi \omega \nu$ here goes into the temple to fetch the armour. Alcmena had either remained on the stage silent since her remark in 665 ; or had then withdrawn into the temple. In the latter case, the arrival of the $\theta \epsilon \rho \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \pi \nu$ in quest of armour causes her to reappear and remonstrate with Iolaus in 709 .

702, 3. $\sigma \tau \dot{\prime} \rho \nu \sigma_{\iota}$ is not found elsewhere in Eurip. B. $-\dot{\eta} \beta \hat{q}=$ " is in its prime."
704. $\mathfrak{a}$ is the relative to a neuter cognate accusative, which is implied with $\pi 0 \nu \in i \hat{s}$.
706. $\gamma \nu \omega \sigma \mu \mu \chi \epsilon i \nu$, "to fight one's opinion, and so, to change it," only occurs in one other place in the Attic poets, Ar. Aves, 555, אầ $\mu \hat{e} \nu$

 The old explanation was, "to know one's own weakness." See Elm.$\sigma \dot{\eta} \nu \dot{\eta} \lambda \iota \kappa i a \nu=$ " a man so old as you :" $\dot{\eta} \lambda$. is not here used in its common sense of $\eta$ " $\beta$.
707. For á $\mu$ خ́xava see note on 464 .-Tr. "To let alone impossibilities. There is no way in which you shall get your youth back again."

709 . See note on $700, \mathrm{r}$.-For $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \tau \dot{s}$ used, as $\epsilon^{\prime \prime} \nu \delta o \nu$ is here, of the
 о $\mu \boldsymbol{\lambda} \lambda \epsilon \hat{\text { in }}$.

7 II. For $\alpha \lambda \kappa \eta$, prowess, valour, strength in war, cf. 76 r. -For the construction used with $\mu \epsilon \in \epsilon \iota \nu$, cf. Soph. Pritloct. 1036, $\theta \in 0 \hat{\sigma} \sigma \iota \nu \in l$ סikns
 object of care is sometimes put in the nom., as in Eur. Suppl. 939,
 Cf. $96,354,713,717$, of the present play.
712. See N.-Tí $\delta \hat{\text { e }}$, so, in colloquial English, "But, come," "But, I say."

713 . With $\pi a \iota \delta o{ }^{2}$ supply $\sigma o v . \pi a \iota \sigma$, i.e., to the sons of Heracles who survive. $-\mu \epsilon \lambda$., Impersonal : for the construction cf. 717 , кal $\mathrm{Z} \eta \nu l \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\sigma \hat{\omega} \nu \ldots \mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \pi{ }^{\prime} \nu \omega \omega \nu$.
714. See note on 511. So great was the reluctance of the Greeks to mention by name anything unlucky, that, even after the deprecating parenthesis of $\mu \dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon{ }^{\prime} \nu \circ \tau \tau 0$, he uses a colourless expression, $\tau u ́ \chi \eta$, instead
 $\chi \rho \omega \dot{\mu} \in \nu 0 \iota$, Cicero Ep. ad Div. xiv. 5, adversis ventis usi. Elm. Med. 347. Pf.

717, 8. See note on 711 - dंкov́ $\sigma \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$ is used passively, like $\kappa \lambda \dot{u} \epsilon \iota \nu$, and audire.

 idea is one of religion.
721. oủk ăv $\phi$ Oávols, "you have no time to lose," "oportet te quam primum," is equivalent to a strong command. It takes the fresent partic!ple always, not the aorist. Cf. Alc. 662, фurev́mp raîoas

 notes on 415,1005 .-Here the second $\dot{a} \nu$ belongs to the participle, ( $=\epsilon i$ кри́nтoıs. P.) and so virtually makes a conditional sentence: "you could not be too quick in hiding, supposing you did hide." For oủk ây $\phi \theta$., as a summons, cf. Madvig, Gk. Synt. § 177 b. R. 6. And for inv with the participles cf. Goodwin, Gk. Moods ana Zerses, § 42, 3. N. I.
722. $\sigma \tau v \gamma^{\prime} \omega$, stronger than $\mu \tau \sigma^{\prime} \omega$, denotes the expression, besides
 oixalov.
725. For $\kappa \delta \delta \mu \mu$, see note on 568. - $\pi v к \dot{a} \zeta о \mu a \iota=$ "enwrap, and so protect :" cf. Rhes. 90, $\pi$. $\tau \epsilon \cup u_{\chi \in \sigma \iota \nu} \delta \epsilon \in \mu a s$. - $\tau \in \omega_{s}=$ " meanwhile ;" cf. Ar. Pax 687, 729.
727. кб $\mu i \zeta \epsilon=$ "carry them:" cf. Soph. Antig. 444, к. $\sigma \in a u t o ́ v .-~$ $\delta \xi \xi_{i} \eta$, the tree is used for that which is made from it. Cf. $\lambda \omega \tau \dot{\delta} \mathrm{s} 893$.
 phrastus often mentions the $\delta_{\S}^{5} \dot{\eta} \eta$. Elm. --Probably a beech.
728. See note on 67 I . -The left $\pi \eta \hat{\eta} u s$, or fore-arm, bore the shield; the right hand, the spear. But at present (it seems from 725 ) Iolaus was not armed.
730. Tr. "Nay, but one must go cautiously, for the omen." It was unlucky to stumble at starting. For an instance of an öpvis, cf. Iph. Aul. 988.-Tibullus I. 4. 19: O quoties ingressus iter mihi tristia dixi Offensum in porta signa dedisse pedem. B.
731. $\epsilon i \theta \epsilon$, to express an ordinary wish, takes the optative, of. 740, єl0 0 f'́volo: but, to express a wish that cannot be realised, takes the historical tenses of the indicative. Cf. the use of $\epsilon^{\prime \prime} \theta^{\prime} \ddot{\omega}^{\prime \prime} \phi \epsilon \lambda \varepsilon$ in Medea r. See L. and Sc. eite, A. viI. 2. b. See also Goodwin (Moods and Tenses, § $6_{4}$, esp. note I, p. I36); who points out the difference between the force of $\epsilon \tau$ and $\tilde{\eta} \sigma \theta a$ (had it been used by assimilation). Here we have not a conditional relative clause-no supposition, but a fact; and so the Indic. is used.
732. $\lambda \epsilon \iota \phi \theta \epsilon i s \mu a \chi \eta s=$ " left by the battle;" that is, too late for the battle. So in Aesch. Prom. 857, кєркоl $\pi \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \iota \omega ̂ \nu$ ои̉ $\mu а к \rho \grave{\nu} \nu \lambda \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \iota \mu \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \circ \iota$, and Xen. Cyr. vi. 3. 29, $\lambda \epsilon i \pi \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \tau o \hat{v}$ каıро仑̂.
 which is here intransitive.
735. With $\delta 0 к о \hat{v} \nu \tau \alpha$, supply $\sigma \pi \epsilon \dot{v} \delta \epsilon \iota \nu$.
736. $\dot{\eta} \nu i \kappa \alpha a ̈ a$ ä with subj., like ötav, denotes an uncertain occurrence in future time. $\dot{\eta} \nu i к \alpha=$ öтє : cf. 74 I .
739. тои̂то is accusative of respect ; cf. Ion, 572, тои̂то кӑ $\mu$ ' є̌ $\chi \in \iota$ mótos, Vergil, Aen. XI. I4, timor omnis abesto, quod superest. Pfl.

740-44. A passage of difficult construction, capable of being explained in more ways than one. (1) The words olos ầ $\theta \in i \eta \nu$ are, by contact with rooovios, attracted into their present shape, from the form $\ddot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \mu \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \theta \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \nu a \ell$, which had been originally intended. [But this is a unique case of such attraction.]-Translate, "So that I should put Eurystheus to rout." Tooov̂ros has thus, apparently, two relatives, but really, only the first. So Elm.-(2) After the word totoûtos, he turns, in his excitement, from addressing his $\beta p a x i \omega \nu$, to addressing himself, and thus, as is common in excitement, breaks off the construction. Translate, "Would that thou would'st prove for me an ally of such a kind as I remember thee to have been in thy prime:-how would I put Eurystheus to rout." So Pfl. and P.-See L. and Sc. ̈̈otє, V. 2. oiov,
III. 2. - (3) Instead of olos, otos, "solus," is given by some editors in line 743 . So Barnes and Matth. See N. If oios is the correct reading, the alteration of oios to olos can be explained as the error of a copyist, who, finding oios in 743 in juxtaposition with rotoûtos, and forgetting the preceding otos in 740 , assumed that otos in 743 must be really the oios corresponding to toioûtos, and altered accordingly. Reading otos, we have in substance a conditional sentence, with the protasis expressed
 of the usual form, oios äv $\theta \epsilon i \eta \nu$, " then alone would I put to rout." For what is possibly a similar confusion of oios and oios cf. Aesch. Ag. 13 r .
741. For $\mu \epsilon ́ \mu \nu \eta \mu \alpha \iota$ with a participle, cf. Hec. 244, $\mu \epsilon \mu \nu \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \theta^{\prime}$ 's
 $\sigma \dot{v} \nu)$. - $\Sigma \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \rho \tau \eta \nu \dot{\epsilon} \pi \dot{o} \rho \theta \epsilon \epsilon s$. This was not one of the regular labours of Heracles, but is chosen for mention, as bearing on the Pelop. war. See Barnes in Elm. and P.
745. Tr. "This also, which is not good." He does not necessarily mean that there are other bad accompaniments of of $\lambda \beta$ os. He implies that Eurystheus is a coward; as he had before abused him as
 $\phi \alpha \sigma^{\prime} \dot{a} \mu \iota \lambda \lambda \hat{\alpha} \sigma \theta a \iota \beta i \varphi, \gamma \nu \omega \prime \mu \eta \nu$ ôıкаià кả $\gamma a \theta \dot{\eta} \nu$. Pfl.

747,8 . Iolaus exit finally. The metre of the chorus is glyconic; see Pfl.- $\pi$ aviv́xios, though here probably only an epithet of the moon as shining by night, is of course strictly applicable solely to the full
 $\dot{\delta} \phi \theta a \lambda \mu \grave{\nu} \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \epsilon ́ \phi \lambda \epsilon \xi \epsilon \mu \hat{\eta} \nu a$.
749. Homer's $\phi a \in \sigma \iota \mu \beta \rho_{o ́ r o u ~}^{\eta} \in \lambda$ ioco was in the mind of Euripides. $\phi$. occurs nowhere else in Trag.-This chorus further contains the Homeric words $\pi$ oגvaivetos, $\mu \hat{\eta} \nu \iota s, \eta \dot{\eta} \nu \epsilon \mu \dot{\sigma} \epsilon \iota$. - For this appeal to nature (and to the sun, as seeing all things, and travelling with the tidings),
 $\dot{\omega} \gamma \hat{\eta} \kappa a l \theta \in o i$ of the orators. [The calling to witness heaven and earth has always been common enough : but the fancy which permeates modern poetry that the moods of nature sympathise with those of man, a projection of the sympathies of humanity on to the inanimate, is purely modern. The Greeks, it is true, peopled their Olympus with idealised human qualities; but they were too little self-conscious, and ton much self-contained, to cherish an idea that nature, while controlling the destinies, reflected also the emotions, of man.]

752．Tr．＂Shout in heaven，＂or，＂Proclaim it in heaven．＂［P1l． takes $\boldsymbol{i} \alpha \chi$ ．as neuter．See his note．B．takes oúpav $\hat{\varphi}=$ eis oúpavóv．］

753．$\pi$ apà conveys the idea of motion．－Observe the $\bar{a}$ in $\pi a \rho a ̀$ before $\theta \rho$ ，a mute and a liquid；which is unusual，even in lyrics．So in Soph． Ajax，1220，vimó $\pi$ 小áкa Souviou，Trachin．IOII，катá $\tau \bar{\epsilon}$ ôpia $\pi$ ávтa
 P．The two last occur in Iambic lines．－ג́p $\overline{\text { écav，that is，of Zeus ：here }}$ is an adjective，but is a noun in El．11 49 ：cf．Androm．3，Túpavvov モ́ $\sigma$ тiav．

754．See N．－Supply $\nu$ áos or $\delta o ́ \mu o t s . ~ C f . ~ I l i a d ~ v i . ~ 378, ~ 9: ~ \eta ं ध ~ \pi \eta ~$


755,6 ．$\mu \hat{k} \lambda \lambda \omega$ ，that is，I and my fellow－citizens．－Observe that $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ governs both $\gamma$ âs and oó $\mu \omega \nu$ ．So，probably，$\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ i n ~ 226 . ~$

757．$\dot{v} \pi 0 \delta \epsilon \chi \theta$ eis is the passive form used in middle sense，instead of $\dot{v} \pi 0 \delta \delta_{\zeta}^{\dot{\zeta} \alpha} \mu \epsilon \nu 0 s$ ．Since there is a middle form，this preference of the passive is very curious．See Elm．Matthiae（Gk．Gram．§ 496．6），in classifying the various interchanges of the Moods of the Gk．verb，qu． the use of oik $\quad \mu{ }^{\prime} \nu \cos =0 i \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu$ by Hdt．I． 27.

75S．кivôuvo $\tau \dot{\epsilon} \mu \nu \epsilon \iota \nu$ does not elsewhere occur．Tr．（1）＂To enter upon，to incur．＂The phrases $\tau \epsilon \in \mu \nu \epsilon \iota \nu$ $\sigma \pi \frac{\nu}{2} a ̀ s, H e l .1235$ ，and фi入ıa $\tau \epsilon \in \mu \nu \in \sigma \theta a \iota$ ，Eur．Suppl．375，may have been in his mind：in Pind．Ol．13， 57 is $\tau \epsilon \mu \nu \epsilon \iota \nu \mu a \chi \hat{a} \nu \tau \epsilon \in \lambda o s$, ＂to incur danger in battle．＂（2）＂To cut my way through，＂in a literal sense，as in Odj＇ss．III．175，$\tau \epsilon \in \mu \nu \epsilon \tau \nu \epsilon \bar{\epsilon} \lambda$ a os．

759．is Muкभ́vas is by attraction from i＇s Muк $\hat{\eta}$ val eioiv．Cf．Madv． Gk．Synt．§ 20．R． 3.

761．$\pi$ odvaiveros，which is not elsewhere found in Trag．，is Homeric for $\pi 0 \lambda$ úaulvos．－For $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \kappa \grave{\eta}$ cf．note on 7 II ．

762．кєن́ $\theta \epsilon L \nu$ here and in $879=$＂to cherish；＂but in $77 S$（if $\kappa \in u ́ \theta \epsilon \epsilon$ is read for $\lambda \dot{\eta} \theta \epsilon \tau)=$＂hide；＂which is the literal meaning．See note on тuка̧́ov， 725.

765．See N．
767．Tr．＂Is grateful to me．＂［＂Owes me a favour．＂P．］See note on 334 ．

769．See N．
770 ，I．ovious $\gamma \hat{a} s$ ，the surface or face of the land．－For $\sigma \dot{\partial} \nu \sigma \partial \nu$ ，
 mó入ıs supply $\sigma \dot{\eta}$ é $\sigma \tau i$.

773，4．$\pi$ ópєvбovä $\lambda \lambda \not \subset=$＂abige alio．＂B．－See N．
775,6 ．Tr．＂On the ground of the merit which is mine，I do not deserve to be expelled．＂For the dative see note on 474，and cf．66o，
-89.-For oikacós ci $\mu t$ with infinitive, see $1_{42}$, and L. and Sc. C. : it is a prose phrase: but occurs in Ar. Nubes 1434, öiкatós ci $\mu t$ ко入á $\zeta \epsilon \iota$.

777-9. See N.—mod́́日vбтos $\tau \iota \mu \dot{\alpha}$. Many of these compound adjectives are used with substantives, for a genitive, to express the nature of the substantive and that in which it consists. Cf. Eurip. El. I26
 $\theta v \sigma i \omega v$.

Notice $\bar{\alpha} \epsilon i$. -ou $\lambda \dot{\eta} \theta \epsilon \iota=$ "does not forget thee," Elm., or, possibly, "does not forget thine honour."- $\phi \theta \iota \nu a ̀ s ~-a ́ o ̂ o s, ~ a ~ f e m i n i n e ~ a d j e c t i v e, ~$ $=$ "waning."-The meaning of $\mu \eta \nu \grave{\omega} \nu \phi \theta \iota \nu a ̀ s ~ a \dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon ́ \rho a$, no one knows. The beginner is aware that the moon and the month corresponded, and that $\mu \grave{\eta} \nu \phi \theta i \nu \omega \nu$ was used to denote the last days, the waning, of either. There seem to be three equally possible ways of explaining this difficult passage:
(1) That $\phi \theta \iota v a ̀ s ~ a ́ \mu e ́ \rho a ~ d o e s ~ n o t ~ m e a n ~ a n y ~ d a y ~ i n ~ p a r t i c u l a r, ~ b u t, ~$ generally; the waning month. Translate, with Pfl., "Nor with the waning months cometh forgetfulness of thine honour."
(2) That by $\phi \theta . \dot{a}, \mu$. is intended the last day of the month. But though the first day, or $\nu \in o \mu \eta \nu i a$ was a holiday, the last day ( $\epsilon \nu \eta \tau \epsilon$ kail $\nu \epsilon ́ a$, see Ar. Nubes II3i-4, ingi) was not so, except when it corresponded with the first of the new moon, as happened six times a year.
[IN.B. It was only the full months of 30 days ( $\pi \lambda \eta \rho \in i \hat{s} \mu \hat{\eta} \nu \in s$ ) which really had a év kai véa; so that the last day of the old month only coincided with the first of the new six times a year: i.e., not in the коî̀oc $\mu \hat{\eta} v \in s$, those of 29 days.]
[(3) That $\phi \theta \omega \dot{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \rho x$ has no reference to $\mu \dot{\eta} \nu \phi \theta i v \omega \nu$, but alludes,
 the third day of the month in honour of Pallas. This appears to have been the opinion of Hesychius, quoted in Pfl.] Probably the whole passage has reference to the Panathenaic festival.-See discussion in Pff.
780. With this line supply крaivovтal: since the words oviò $\ldots \dot{\alpha} \mu \hat{q}^{\prime} \rho a$ are probably parenthetical, that is, epexegetical of $\tau i \mu \alpha$ краiveтal. So Pf.

 712, festas in Palladis arces.




 Elm.- $\pi a p \theta \dot{\varepsilon} \nu \omega \nu$ is an adjective in agreement with $\pi o \delta \hat{\omega} \nu$. Cf. Hippol.

 زos áoı $\delta a ̂ s$, Pindar, Ol. iv. 4.-крótos is used in Ran. 157 of the hand.
${ }^{7}$ S4 $_{4}$. This $\theta \epsilon \rho a \dot{\pi} \pi \nu$ is the servant of Alcmena (see 788,890 ); the former was the servant of Hyllus. [P. disagrees.]- $\mu \dot{\prime} \theta o u s$, here, in good sense $=$ " tale, story," cf. $812,95^{2}$.

785 . With $\dot{\epsilon} \mu o i$ supply $\lambda \in ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$, from $\kappa \lambda \nu \in ́ \iota \nu$, by a sort of zeugma. Cf. Soph. Oed. Tyr. 1234 .
786. For iôpú $\tau \tau \alpha$, cf. 397. The usual phrase is $\sigma \tau \hat{\eta} \sigma \alpha \iota \tau \rho \circ \pi a i ̂ a$.
788. סıŋ́入a $\epsilon^{\prime} \nu \sigma \epsilon$. This phrase does not elsewhere occur. But it is not an impossible expression, and has the meaning of $\delta \iota \eta \quad \gamma a \gamma \in \nu$.
790. $\dot{\epsilon} \lambda \in u \theta \in \rho o i s$ is used with reference to the previous line, and probably $\mu \epsilon$ is emphatic, as it is in Med. 432, Kal үà $\epsilon \check{\imath} \sigma u \mu \epsilon$

 from one ill, (fear, ) for I fear ;" or "free me from (the apprehension of) one mischance."
791. With $\theta \epsilon \lambda \omega$ supply $\zeta \hat{\eta} \nu .-\epsilon \imath{ }^{\imath} \mu 0 \iota \zeta \hat{\omega} \sigma t=\mu \grave{\eta}$ ov̉ $\zeta \hat{\omega} \sigma t . \quad$ Cf. Aesch.
 and Tenses, p .86 ( $\$ 46$, note 6. c). Cf. 248 , and 645 .
793. For Iolaus' restoration to youth, see Ovid, Mretam. Ix. 397 sq. B.
794. With $\pi \rho \alpha \dot{\xi}$ as к $\alpha \lambda \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$ (adverb) supply $\tau \dot{\alpha}$ av̇тoû. So L. and
 proof that $\pi \rho$ árcє $\iota \nu$ in this phrase is transitive. But it may just as well be intrans., and $\tau \iota$ adverbial. See next note.
795. in $\gamma \omega \nu i j \epsilon \tau \omega$ is a strong word used by way of contrast to the weak and neuter $\pi \rho \alpha \dot{\tau} \tau \epsilon \iota \nu$ of the preceding line. See note on 653 .
798. $\mu a ́ \chi \eta s a ̉ \gamma \omega ิ v a . ~ T h e ~ s a m e ~ p h r a s e ~ o c c u r s ~ i n ~ S o p h . ~ T r a c h . ~ 20 . ~$
800. $\epsilon \pi \epsilon l$ is used after a single complete act. So $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \epsilon \partial \hat{\eta}$ 81g. -For $\dot{\delta} \pi \lambda l \tau \eta \nu$ cf. 699. Notice $\alpha \lambda \lambda \dot{\lambda} \lambda{ }^{2}$ ocs with verb in first person: he had intended to say $\mathfrak{d} \nu \tau \epsilon \tau a \xi a \nu$, as an impartial observer; but, remembering that he had been a sharer in the fight, he alters the person of the verb, but cannot go back to say aưvoîs for $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\eta} \lambda o i s$.
801. катà $\sigma \tau o ́ \mu \alpha=$ "face to face, adversâ fronte," cf. Rhes. 409 ,
 $\mu a \tau o s=" t h o s e$ from the front line."
802. See 168 . So in Eur. El. 94, Balvet $\pi$ тóo.a. The instrument of motion is added in the accusative. Jelf [Gk. Gram. §558. 2] qu. many cases where verbs of stepping take an acc. of the step or its equivalent. Cf. Aic. 1153 , עóotupo é $\lambda$ ooss $\pi$ óoia. The acc. would seem to be cognate in character; or, does it limit the action and extent of the neuter verb? Cf. Iph. Taur. $\delta \omega \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu \pi \rho о \sigma a \mu \beta a ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota s$ éк $\kappa \eta \sigma^{\prime} \mu \epsilon \sigma \theta a$.
805. See N. -For $\epsilon$ ć $\epsilon \iota \nu$ in this sense of "to let alone," cf. note on 1041, and Iliad xxiv. 71, клє́భat '́á $\sigma о \mu \epsilon \nu$ "Eкторa (let us let alone our plan of stealing the body of H.).-The sense of this line is imperative. Other examples of past tense for present, used in speeches, are qu. in Elm.
807. ávőpòs $\sigma \tau \epsilon p \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma a s=$ "in depriving her of only a single man" (i.e. in the way which I am about to suggest). Cf. Androm. 909,
 like at in Latin. The construction is altered : instead of balancing
 into the imperative ; but keeps the $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \alpha{ }^{\prime}$.
808. ä $\gamma 00=$ " abduc tecum;" which is the force of the middle, as in


810 , I. ä" $\phi \epsilon s=$ " permitte."-After $\epsilon \pi \dot{\eta} \nu \epsilon \sigma \epsilon$, which is used absolutely, he qu. the praise.

813, 6. . Tr. "He, captain though he was, yet neither for shame of those that heard the words, nor shame of his own cowardice, got heart to draw near to the sword of valour, but remained poltroon." For aiòss, cf. 6,43, 101, 200, 460.-Possibly $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma \dot{o} s \hat{\omega} \nu$ is not concessizie ( $=\kappa \alpha i \pi \epsilon \rho \omega \stackrel{\omega}{*})$ ), but is to be taken only with line $8 \mathrm{I}_{4}=$ "nor, inasmuch as he was captain."-aủròs aitoû= $\dot{\epsilon} \mu a u t o u ̂, ~ \sigma \epsilon a u t o u ̂, ~ e ́ a u t o u ̂ . ~[E l m ., ~ w h o ~$
 ( $=$ éautoû), and Soph. Ocd. Col. 929, aio $\chi u ́ v \epsilon \iota s ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ aútòs aúroû (= $=\sigma \epsilon a v \tau 0 \hat{v})$.- $\epsilon i \tau a$ is indignant.
817. Dou入 $\omega \sigma \omega \nu$ is active for middle: for the middle voice of this word means "sibi in servitutem redigere." Elm. Pf.-The future middle would be a cumbrous form for use; and in this passage the centre of thought is the indignity done to those enslaved, and not the gain secured by their subduer.
820. With ovं $\tau \epsilon \lambda o u \mu$ évas supply óvtas, not eivat.
821. oúк $\nLeftarrow \mu \in \lambda \lambda o \nu$. See note on 178 for explanation of this construc-tion.- $\dot{\phi} \ell \epsilon \sigma \alpha \nu=$ "emiserunt." No doubt sacrifices were offered on both sides.

S22. See N.-Amongst these victims (unless Euripides has forgotten) was Macaria. But the interest of the audience (intensified, no doubt, by the existing Peloponnesian war) was now centred in the downfall of the Argive enemy; and it would have been impossible to enlarge on the death of M. without distracting attention from that downfall. The mere mention of her name must have been followed by lamentations and enquiries of Alcmena; since, as far as we gather from the play, A. had not yet been told of the heroic offer of M.-In the Hicuba, as Elm. notes, the death of Polyxena is narrated to her mother in sixty-five lines:-but of that play the death of the heroine is the main feature : in the Heraclecilac, the political parallel is paramount.-For ойpเò cf. Hel. $1_{5} 87$, aïцатоs aimoppoal oưplaц.
823. oi $\delta^{\prime}$ : notice the Homeric use of the article as a demonstrative, here, and in S28.- Either the first oi ò refers to the generals, and the second to the troops : or, oi $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$ ä $\rho \mu$., standing for oi $\delta \hat{\epsilon}$ oi $\mu \grave{̀} \nu$ äp $\mu a \tau a,=$ " and the troops were some in act to mount, while others, etc." -Take $i \pi{ }^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \sigma \pi i \delta \omega \nu$ mגevpais together $=$ "under shelter of, sub clypeorum lateribus."
825. $\pi$ apar $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{\wedge} \wedge \lambda$, here and in 908 , is used with the neuter accusative only: but in Xenophon usually with $\pi o \iota \epsilon \hat{\nu}$, or some other infinitive.

826, 7. $\tau \hat{\eta} \ldots$ кal $\tau \hat{\eta}$. The repetition of the article shews cither that these are two separate statements, "the soil that gave you birth, and that gives you the means of life"(P.) or, it is possible that $\beta$ робкоí $\eta$ refers to the $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \tau о \kappa \circ \iota$ and $\tau \epsilon \kappa \circ \dot{\sigma} \sigma \eta$ to the native citizens.- $\tau \epsilon \kappa \subset \dot{\sigma} \sigma \eta$ probably alludes to the Athenian boast that they were avitox日óves.-For $\tau \iota \downarrow \dot{\alpha}=$ " man," cf. 595 and 866.-For $\dot{\alpha} \rho \kappa \kappa ́ \omega$, cf. 323 and Index. [On $\sigma v \mu \pi o$ îtal, the editors qu. from Pollux 3, 51, that it is a word oú óscuov, though used by Eurip. in Hiracl. and Thesius.]

828, 9. For $\theta \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu=$ "choose" cf. Index and Nen. Anab. III. 2. 16, $\theta \dot{\epsilon} \lambda o v \sigma \iota ~ \mu \grave{\eta}$ ò $\chi \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \theta a l ~ \dot{\eta} \mu a ̂ s .-\dot{\epsilon} \lambda i \sigma \sigma \epsilon \tau 0$, suppplicabat, does not denote that Eurystheus was here timid, but that he had to beg hard to get his troops to face the Athenians. [Pfl. But not so Elm.]
830. With $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \dot{\eta} \mu \eta \nu \epsilon$ supply $\dot{o} \sigma a \lambda \pi \iota \gamma \kappa \tau \eta \dot{\eta}$, but the verb is practically
 and Thuc. IV. 52 , $\epsilon \sigma \epsilon \iota \sigma \epsilon$.-óp $\theta \iota o \nu$, loudly, is almost an adverb. See $86_{4}$,
 -For the Etruscan trumpet see Pf., who qu. Scholiast on Ajax 1 $_{7}$,

832. aú义єis = "do you think," cf. 333, 353, 931 . $-\beta \rho \dot{\epsilon} \mu \omega$ is strictly used of the roar of a wave, etc., but in Bacch. 16r, of a lute.

834, 5. $\pi$ ituhos is strictly used of the sound of oars in time, but here, of the simultaneous stroke of the spearmen. See Pfl. Cf. Aesch. Theb. 856 , $\chi \in \rho 0 i ̂ \nu ~ \pi i \tau u \lambda o \nu$. With єita supply oi 'Apyeiol.

S36, 7. '̇maidax $\theta \in i s=$ "consertus." Cf. Xen. Mem. III. S. I, $\mu \dot{\eta} \pi \eta$ ó $\lambda o ́ \gamma o s ~ \dot{\epsilon} \pi a \lambda \lambda a \chi \theta \hat{\eta}$. Cf. Verg. Aen. x. 361, haeret pede pes, densusque viro vir.- $\epsilon \kappa a \rho \tau \epsilon \rho \epsilon \iota=$ " held sternly on."
838. $\mathfrak{\eta} \nu=$ "there arose," "there were to be heard." Cf. Hec. 929 $\kappa \in \lambda \in \cup \sigma \mu \alpha \delta^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \nu$, and Pf .
839. With $\tau$ às 'A $\theta$., supply oixoûvtes: a zeugma. Cf. Index.زúns is masculine; cf. Aesch. Prom. 369, גevpoùs rúas.


$8_{45} \cdot \epsilon^{\prime} \mu 3 \hat{\eta} \sigma \alpha \iota$, causal, with double accusative. Cf. Cycl. $4^{67}$. Tr. "to put him into a chariot." Iolaus seems to have been alone; not in the chariot of Hyllus. Nothing is said about a charioteer.

S $_{7}$. $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \hat{\imath} \chi \epsilon=$ " he pressed hard on, pursued." ["instabat" Elm., but "direxit" Pfl.] In Bacch. irzr,it is quite intransitive: b̋ $\chi$ 入os $\tau \epsilon \pi$ âs
 ${ }^{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$, to hear from: cf. 853 .
849. Going out over the hill of Athena in the demos called Pallene, or Pallenon, between Athens and Marathon. Cf. 103I, and Hdt. I. 62,
 ipóv. [But P. thinks Pallene was towards Megara.] At any rate, distinguish this place from the peninsula and town in Chalcidice.

852, 3. $\dot{\alpha} \pi о т i \sigma a \sigma \theta a \iota \quad \delta$. '̇ $\chi$., literally $=$ "to get the foe to pay back;" and so, to exact from them : cf. 882, and Aesch. Ag. 1263.
 For к.גúยıv " to hear of," cf. 847. For the double acc. cf. Madv. Gk. Synt. § 25.

854, 5. The appearance of $\nu \notin \phi o s$, nebula, mist, was perhaps caused by contrast with the light of the stars.
 in the sense that "none but the $\sigma$. were of that opinion." Or possibly $\gamma \epsilon$ accentuates the statement, making it a surprise.
857. Hebe appeared in her double capacity: wife of IIeracles, and goddess of youth.- $\delta .=$ " murky."
858. тútos, here=" form," not "blow." So in Aesch. Thit. ${ }_{4} \$ 8$,

860. Eurystheus was really killed, not only captured, at these rocks. But the poet makes him survive that he may fulminate his oracle against Argos. [See in Elm. the quotations at length from:1 Apollodorus and Pausanias; and from Strabo, a description of the site. He refers to Wheeler's Travels in Gracie, p. 436]. -See further Hippol. 9i9, 120S. The legend of $\Sigma_{\kappa \epsilon \ell \rho \omega \nu}$ or $\Sigma_{\kappa i} \rho \omega \nu$ the robber is well known.

86r. aкродivıov $=$ " spolia opima," is usually plural.
864. $\lambda \alpha \mu \pi \rho \alpha \alpha_{\text {, }}$ adverb. See 830 , note on ô $\rho \theta$ iov.
$86_{\Xi}^{5}, 6$. This was the advice of Solon to Crœesus.- $\zeta \eta \lambda 0 \hat{v} \nu=$ "to pronounce happy," like $\epsilon \dot{\delta} \delta a \iota \mu o \nu i \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu$, cf. Aesch. Prom. 330. But P. tr., "to envy."-For $\pi p i \nu a ̂ \nu c f .180 .-F o r ~ \tau i s c f . ~ 595$ and $82 \%$.

S67. $\tau \rho 0 \pi a \hat{i} \epsilon=$ "the giver of victory, the god of battles:" cf. 937, and Soph. Antig. 143, єАıтоע Z $\eta \nu i$ т $\rho о \pi a i \varphi ~ \pi a ́ \gamma \chi a \lambda к a ~ \tau \epsilon ́ \lambda \eta$, and Eur. El. 67 r .

870. The genitive, in the sense of gratitude or thanks proceeding from or connected with.
$87 \mathrm{r}, 2$. Here and in $897, \pi \rho o ́ \sigma \theta \in \nu$ and $\pi a ́ p o s$ carry the mind back to the past, and therefore the participles are put in the present, describing the condition then still existing. - With $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i \sigma \tau a \mu a \iota ~ s u p p l y ~ \theta . \dot{\delta} \mu$.
874. For the single $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ instead of $\kappa a l$, or instead of $\mu \grave{\varepsilon} \nu, \delta \grave{\epsilon}$, cf.

 phrase, appropriate only to Comedy. It is intended here for both prophecy and imprecation. [See Cycl. 474, and other examples from fragments of Satyric plays in Pfl.]
876. $\epsilon \mu \beta a \tau$. is usually with $\epsilon i s:$ cf. Demosth. 894, 4, where $\dot{\epsilon} \mu \beta a r$. cis ovंбiav is used of creditors.- $\kappa \lambda$ ク́pous $\chi$ \#ovòs, "Your lots in the land," is supposed by some to allude to the partition of Peloponnesus among the Heracleidae.
879. For $\kappa \in v ́ \theta \omega \nu$, cf. note on $冖 762$.
$88 \mathrm{r}, 2$. For $\pi a \rho^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \mu i v$, cf. 201, 370 . -For $\dot{\alpha} \pi o r i \sigma a \sigma \theta a \iota \delta$. ( $\left.\dot{\epsilon} \chi \theta \rho o u ́ s\right)$ cf. note on $85^{2}$.-F For the sentiment, cf. Androm. 437, 8. P.
883. Tr. "Regarding first your pleasure."
884. See N.
 Philoct. 1025, àעáүкך suyєís.
802. For the metres, which are glyconic, but complicated; and which begin with a catalectic iambic senarius, see Pf.一 $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} \nu$ is to be
 complete.- $\lambda i \gamma \epsilon \iota a$, of the nightingale, $\lambda$. $\mu \iota \nu \dot{p} \epsilon \tau a \iota$, in Oed. Col. 671, and in Pirs. 332, of кшкíuata, is usually of sad sounds.

S93. $\epsilon i \nu i=\dot{\epsilon} \nu$. $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i$ is more common in this sense, as in Med. 193, $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i \tau^{\prime}$ єi\amivals kai mapà ôeimpols.- $\lambda \omega \tau$ òs, not found in Aesch., Soph. or Pind., is strictly an African tree. Cf. Iphig. Aul. 1036, ồà $\lambda \omega T 0 \hat{u}$

894. єüðapis "gracious," an epithet of Aphrodite, occurs twice only in Eurip. Cf. Med. 63 I.
897. See note on 871 . Tr. either, as in 87 r , "Who before were not thought to be so," or "Who were before held of no account," [Elm., Pff., B.] for which rendering cf. Troad. 609, $\theta \in 0$ ì $\tau \dot{\alpha}$ ठoкoûv $\tau \dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\omega} \lambda \epsilon \sigma a \nu$,


S99, 900. Moîpa and Aiciv are here personified. "Destiny" and "Time" (regarded as applying to the duration of a man's life) are probably the nearest equivalents. [Elm. thinks ai $\omega \nu$ is here an epithet
 from Buttmann refers to an old notion that $\chi$ póvos and Kpóvos were the same word.-Consult B.'s note.]
901. Tiva here emphasises oooiv:-oikalov is here of two terminations.

902, 3. Tr. "Thou hast thy path (never were it right to take this from thee), thy path of justice, even to honour the gods." $\tau \uparrow \mu \hat{\nu} \nu \theta$ धous should be taken, as above, twice over, for tóó $\varepsilon=\tau \iota \mu \hat{\nu} \nu \theta$ eoús. Take $\dot{a} \phi \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ as middle $=$ delere, tollere. [But Hermann takes róò $=$ ôiкalov óoóv. See P.'s note.]-For this characteristic of Athens, cf. Act.
 $\theta \in \omega \rho \hat{\omega}$.

903-5. Tr. "And he that saith thou dost not, treadeth hard on madness, when proofs such as these are published."-For ó $\mu \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon$ $\phi \dot{\alpha} \sigma \kappa \omega \nu$ " he that denies thou dost," cf. oú $\phi \eta \mu l=$ nego. $\mu \dot{\eta}$ is here used because an indefinite class of persons is referred to. Cf. Madvig G.


 disproof, proof to the contrary, cf. 404.

906-909. For maparүє $\lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota$ cf. note on S25.- $\theta \epsilon \dot{s}$ is here mono-syllabic.-пapaı $\rho \hat{i v}=$ "taking away some of ...from," gov. by "ap. Cf.
 $\pi а \rho a \iota \hat{\omega} \nu=$ "violating." $\quad$ параи $\rho \hat{\omega} \nu$ here takes the privative genitive $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \dot{\partial} i x \omega \nu$ after rapa and the verb of removal or deprivation; and
 straction was made.-Compare again the Magnificat: Luc. Evang. I.

 in Aesch. Pers. 1002, $\beta \in \beta \hat{a} \sigma$ b is an euphemism for $\tau \epsilon \theta \nu a ̂ \sigma t$ : see notes on $382,511,714$, and 946 .

9II. $\tau$ eòs is only used in Lyrics.
912, 3. See N.- $\phi \varepsilon \varepsilon^{\prime} \gamma \omega=$ "rejicio."-"Aıôa, genitive. Cf. Od. xxili.

94. On mount Oeta.-ôalन $\theta$ eis from ôai $\omega$, "to burn :" ôaï $\theta \epsilon i$ fr. oaits $\omega$, "to cleave."
 and Theocr. X. IS.-Note the quantity of Xpüб'́av. -Hebe, $\pi$ aîôa diòs


9r7, 8. H. is here the god Hymen, and not the song.- $\boldsymbol{\eta}_{5 i} i(\omega \sigma a s=$ honorasti, "hast glorified:" but cf. 947, and Soph. Ajax III4, ou'

919. Tr. "Most things resemble many others." [But there are various ways of taking this passage. (I) Most things happen suitably to many people. So L. and Sc. (2) Most things resemble each other in many things, or, to many people. (3) Many odd things happen. This is P.'s paraphrase. (4) Many people resemble each other in most things: Pf. Elm. would favour ( I ), and explains rolidois as the Heracleidae. He notes that $\pi 0 . \lambda \lambda d \dot{d} \pi 0 \lambda \lambda o i s$ is a very favourite phrase. See N.]

920, r. For this statement, Elm. qu. Pausanias, and Iliad vili. 362. - '̇пiкоироу= "Ally."

## 923. кelvas is genitive.

924. See N.- ${ }^{6} \sigma \chi \in \nu=$ "checked, cohibuit," as in Bacch. 555 ,

925. $\pi \rho \dot{\text { ò oikas seems to mean beyond, in the sense of } \pi \epsilon \in \rho a \text {. See }}$ exx. in P .
926. $\phi p . \psi u \chi \dot{\alpha} \tau \varepsilon$ almost $=$ "the thoughts of $m y$ heart" $=$ hendiadys.
927. The "A ${ }^{2} \gamma \in$ 入os here is possibly the same person as the second $\theta \epsilon \rho \alpha \alpha^{2} \omega \nu$ in 784 . -He , attended probably by others, brings the captive Eurystheus to Alcmena. An English audience would scarcely stomach this gloating at and taunting a beaten foe. But times have altered. Zenobia was, and Cleopatra escaped being, the chief gazing-stock at a Roman triumph. Much more then would they "bind their kings in chains." - But see note on 966.- $\epsilon i \rho \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma \epsilon \tau a l$ is Passive.
928. Supply $\sigma 02 \dot{\delta} \rho \hat{a} \nu$, to correspond with $\tau \hat{\omega} \hat{\delta} \epsilon \tau v \chi \in \hat{\imath} v$, and tr. "and in no degree less unexpected for him to meet with."
929. ทữ $\epsilon \iota=$ "thought :" cf. note on 333. Cf. тòv oŭтот' aúXoûעta, Aesch. Eumer. 56 r .
930. Tr. "weighty with his army." The phrase is explained in various ways : ( 1 ) with a great mass (mole) of his army, Pfl.; (z) adapted for toil (Matth.) ; (3) laboriosissimo, full of toil (Herm.).-Cf. Aesch. Pers. 320, $\pi$ oגúmovov óópu $\nu \omega \mu \hat{\omega v}$. -For $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \pi i s$ used collectively, cf. Phoen. $78, \pi 0 \lambda \lambda \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\alpha} \theta \rho o l \sigma a s ~ \dot{\alpha} \sigma \pi i \delta^{\prime \prime}{ }^{\prime} A \rho \gamma \epsilon i \omega \nu$ ă $\gamma \epsilon \epsilon$.
931. Tr. "With thoughts far loftier than his fate," or, perhaps, "despising chance," in the sense of катафроע $\hat{\nu} \nu \hat{\eta} s \tau \dot{\chi} \chi \eta s$. See note

932. $\pi \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \sigma \omega \nu=$ "to sack : " like the Latin future participle, denoting certainty of purpose: cf. 992. With $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \nu a \nu \tau i a \nu$ supply $\tau u ́ \chi \eta \nu$. [Since tú $\eta$ そ both precedes and follows it. But Elm. after Barnes would supply ósóv.]
933. ठaí $\omega \nu=$ "Fortune." Cf. Soph. Oed. Col. $76, \pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \nu \tau$ रov̂ ôaluovos.
 sense of a wooden image of a god. Cf. Phoen. 1250.-For tpomaiov, see note on 867 .-i $\sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \alpha \nu=$ " were then erecting, statuebant."- $\bar{\sigma} \sigma \alpha \sigma \alpha \nu$


934. From, or after, being a fortunate man : cf. note on $6_{13}$, and

$941-3 . \hat{\omega} \mu \hat{i} \sigma o s$ is predicative, that is, makes a statement, $=$ " O thou who art."- $\mu$ èv oúv="so then." Cf. 936.-Ėvavtiov, advb.

946 . This phrase is an euphemism for $\tau \epsilon \theta \nu \eta \kappa o ́ \tau \alpha$ : see note on 910 .
 of one dead and known to be dead.
$94 \overline{7}, 8$. For $\dot{\eta}_{5}^{i} i \omega \sigma a s$ cf. note on 918 . É $\phi \cup \beta \rho .="$ insult over ; " ка $\theta \cup \beta \rho .=$ "entreat despitefully." - є $\tau \lambda \eta$. Alcmena uses this word, remembering $\tau \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta_{6}$ in 943 .
949. кal, "etiam." - кaтá $\gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$ is used as the active of кáтєєц. Here the meaning is clearly "sent down" not "took down." Conversely, $\pi \dot{\epsilon} \mu \pi \epsilon \iota \nu$ is often to take on the way, to escort, instead of to send.
950. In this line there is no кal or $\tau \epsilon$ with viopas. We must therefore explain ë $\pi \epsilon \mu \pi \epsilon$ as epexegetical of кaтท่ $\gamma a \gamma \epsilon$. Cf. note on 178 . $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \omega \nu=$ "bidding him ; " cf. Soph. Philoct. ıoI, $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \omega$ $\sigma$ ' є́ $\gamma \dot{\omega}$ oó $\lambda \omega$

 and lions" only means one of each : a common idiom.
951. ${ }^{*} \pi \epsilon \mu \pi \epsilon s=$ "were ever sending."-The $\delta \epsilon$ corresponds with $\mu \grave{\nu} \nu$ in 946 .
953. For ${ }_{n} \rho \kappa \in \sigma \epsilon \nu$ see note on 323 -
956. $\nu \eta \pi i$ ous $=$ " infantes;" infants in our legal but not necessarily in our literal sense.

958,9 . oil refers to ă $\nu \delta \rho \in s$, or to the inhabitants of the $\pi$ ódı $\sigma \mu a$. Cf. Med. 453, $\pi \hat{a} \nu \kappa \epsilon ́ \rho \delta o o s ~ \eta ่ \gamma o v ̂ ~ \zeta \eta \mu \iota o v \mu e ́ v \eta ~ \phi u \gamma \hat{\eta}$.
960. $\dot{\epsilon} \xi \epsilon \varphi \rho \gamma a \sigma \mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu 0 \nu$ is here in active sense. But in Soph. Aj. 37\%, and Bacch. 1039, it is probably passive.
963. For $\epsilon$ l $\rho \gamma \epsilon \iota$ see note on 662 .
966. Cf. Hec. 399, oủk, クั้ $\gamma \in \pi \epsilon i \theta \eta$. Pff.—For $\zeta \hat{\omega} \nu \theta^{\prime} \epsilon \in \omega \omega \sigma \nu$, the prose word is $j \omega \gamma \rho \epsilon i \nu$. - This adjectival sentence expresses the circumstances in which the statement (ov кa入òv ктaveiv) of the principal clause will take effect. öv $\tau \iota \nu^{\prime} \not \partial \nu$ may be resolved into $\notin a ́ \nu \tau \iota \nu a$.-Credit is here justly taken by an Athenian for this refusal to butcher in cold blood: but he would seem to insinuate that an equal reluctance did not extend to the rest of Greece. Eurystheus, however, in ioio, speaks of it as a common idea of Hellas : for an illustration of which, cf. Thuc. III. 5 S, $\ddot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon \kappa$ кal $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \sigma \omega \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu, \kappa . \tau . \lambda ., ~ q u . ~ b y ~ P f f . ~$

967 . Tr. "And that decision did Hyllus brook?" Literally, "that these things had been decided on." - Notice the double augment.
968. For oîual see note on 5 11.- $\dot{\alpha} \pi \iota \sigma \tau \hat{\eta} \sigma a \iota$, here $=$ "disobey." So in Soph. Antig. 219.
970. There are two ways of taking this line. I. Literal: "Tich was he wronged " (i.e. deprived of his just right, because he was not at once granted a soldier's death ; you must not therefore wrong him now again). II. Ironical: "It was then that he did not get his deserts" (he ought to have been at once made an end of). The former is preferable, as the är $\gamma \epsilon$ los is pleading hard for Eurystheus. [Hermann pro-
posed to transpose this and the next following line ；see P．But Pf． objects．］－For cót $\epsilon=$＂at that former time，＂cf．Ach．x．532，tum．Pff．

97 I ．oưkoûv，＝＂is it not then，＂should have a note of interrogation at the end of this line．Tr．＂Is it not then still right that he should pay a penalty ？＂Cf．note on 1005 ．－For phrases like $\epsilon v$ ка入 $\hat{\psi}$ see Pf．

972 ．Cf． 344 ．at with optative here，as often，is equivalent to a mild，or polite，future ；being the apodosis to a conditional sentence of which the protasis，＂if you were not to object，＂or some such clause， has to be supplied．So in English＂I should like a walk．＂Cf．Aesch．


974．$\epsilon^{\epsilon} \chi \epsilon \nu \nu \epsilon \in \mu \psi \iota \nu$ here $=$＂to get blamed；＂but in Aesch．Prom． $445, \mu \epsilon \mu \psi \iota \nu$ oưт $\nu^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega \dot{\sigma} \pi$ ots $\epsilon \in \chi \omega \nu$ means，having no ground of complaint against men（indirect object）．

978，9．$\theta \rho a \sigma \epsilon i \alpha \nu=$＂overbold，audacious．＂一 $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu$ no doubt intro－ duces a quotation of the epithet．Cf．1015．P．well compares Prom．
 aüӨaöiav：＂my cruelty，as you call it＂）and Hippol．640，$\mu \dot{\eta} \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ êv $\gamma$＇ $\dot{\epsilon} \mu 0 i ̂ s ~ \delta o ́ \mu o u s ~ \epsilon ढ ̈ \eta ~ \phi \rho o v o v ̂ \sigma a ~ \pi \lambda \epsilon i ̂ \nu \nu ~ \eta े ~ \gamma u v a i ̂ \kappa \alpha ~ \chi \rho \eta ́ . ~$

981．Cf．435．－Tr．，supplying eivai with $\sigma v \gamma \gamma \nu \omega \sigma \pi o ̀ v, ~ " t h a t ~ y o u ~$ have a very terrible，and a pardonable hatred for this man，I am well aware：＂or，perhaps，supplying $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau l$ ，＂＇Tis a terrible thing，and yet a pardonable，for you to hate this man ：that know I well．＂－For $\delta \epsilon \iota \nu$ óv $\tau \iota$ see L．and Sc．$\tau \iota s$, A． 8 ；and for $\tau \iota s$ intensifying cf． 116 ．
$98^{4}, 5 . \mu \eta \hat{o} \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ is stronger than $\tau \iota .-\psi u \chi \hat{\eta} s \pi \epsilon \in \rho \iota=$＂for my life．＂－ Taking $\tau \iota \nu \dot{a}$ with $\delta \epsilon \iota \lambda i a \nu$, tr．＂from which conduct one would necessarily incur some taint of cowardice．＂

986．$\dot{\epsilon} \gamma \omega$＇$\delta \hat{\varepsilon}=$＂but I may say I took upon myself．＂－For $\eta$ $\eta \rho a ́ \mu \eta \nu$ cf．aipecoat in index．

998．It will be seen that they were very decidedly cousins ：for

## Perseus



Also，the mother of Alcmena was a daughter of Pelops．Cf． 211 ， and note．And the mother of Eurystheus was also a daughter of Pelops． See Thuc．I．9，where Atreus，a son of Pelops，is called $\mu \eta \tau \rho o{ }^{\prime} \dot{a} \delta \bar{\delta} \lambda \phi \phi^{\prime}$ s of Eurystheus．

For＇Нрак入 $\epsilon \epsilon \iota$ ，see note on 8.

990. For кá $\mu \nu \epsilon \iota \nu$ дó $\sigma \nu$, cogn. accusative, cf. Plat. Rep. 408, E, same phrase.-For | $\theta$ |
| :--- |
| $\eta$ |$\epsilon \kappa \kappa \alpha \mu \nu \epsilon \iota \nu$, "made me to labour," cf. Aesch. Ag.

 $\sigma \pi \epsilon i ̂ p a l ~ \sigma \epsilon \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega . \quad$ Cf. L. and Sc. $\tau \ell \theta \eta \mu t$, B. 4.
992. The future partic. implies the inevitable: cf. 934.

993, 4. $\sigma . \pi \eta \mu .=$ "inventor of pains" (but L. and Sc. render it "learned in misery ").-For vuктi $\sigma v \nu \theta .,="$ taking counsel with night," see Pfl., and cf. Pind. Pyth. Iv. 204, риктi коเขáбavtes óoóv, "having imparted their journey to (none but) night."

995, 6. See N.-For $\sigma u \nu 0 ו \kappa \propto i \eta \nu=$ "wedded to," cf. L. and Sc., and Aesch. Ag. I434, фóßou $\mu \hat{e} \lambda a \theta \rho o \nu ~ \epsilon ̇ \lambda \pi i s ~ \epsilon ́ \mu \pi a \tau \epsilon i ̂ . ~$
997. Take oủk ápt $\theta \mu \dot{\partial} \nu$ as one word: here used of a single man, $=$
 $\Phi \rho \nu \gamma \hat{\omega} \nu$, and see the context of $\dot{\alpha} \rho \iota \theta \mu \dot{s}$ in Ar. Nubes, 1203. Also, Horace, Epist. L. 2, 27 , Nos numerus sumus, et fruges consumere nati.


1000. Cf. notes on 3 17, 346. Here supply $\tau 0 \hat{1} \beta$ iov. -Take ồ with $\mu \hat{e} \nu$ in 997.
1002. $\pi a \tau \rho \notin a \nu=$ "inherited from their father."-Tr. "to leave no stone unturned." P. thinks the Greek is a metaphor derived from turning stones to look for crabs or scorpions. Cf. Hdt. v. 96, к. $\pi \hat{a} \nu$ रp $\hat{\mu} \mu$, and Plat. Legg. 843, A.
1003. ктeivovтa="trying to kill:"cf. 293 and Phoen. 1600, aû 1 c $\dot{\delta} \sigma \pi \epsilon i \rho a s \pi a \tau \eta ̀ p ~ к \tau \epsilon i \nu \epsilon \iota \mu \epsilon$. [See many references in Elm.]
roo5. ovikoûv, "would you not then have been persecuting?" should have a note of interrogation at the end of the clause. oưкouv, with full stop, must be taken ironically. Cf. note on 97 I .-For the double $a^{2} \nu$, see notes on 415,721 , esp. the former.

1007, 8. Observe the change of tense. With eiaaas supply ây. For $\epsilon \lambda a u ́ v e \iota \nu$ in the sense of to persecute, cf. Soph. $A j .{ }_{275}^{2}, \lambda u ́ \pi \eta$ râs $\dot{\epsilon} \lambda \dot{\eta} \lambda a \tau a \iota ~ к а к \hat{\eta}$, Oed. T. 28, Androm. 31. But see 904.-For $\sigma \omega \phi$ póv $\omega$ s ="discreetly, or, quietly," cf. Ior2.-The same sentiment occurs in Androm. 520-524.
roro, r. See 965,6 . -The dative $\nu$ ó $\mu$ ots depends on the notion " on the ground of," or, "with reference to."-oùx à $\gamma \nu{ }^{\prime}$ 's $\varepsilon i \mu \mu=o \dot{u} \chi$ ojiov ̇̇бтl.-For кat日aveiv see N.
ro12, 3. "Athens in letting me go (from death) shewed discretion :" cf. 1007. - Tò̀ $\theta$ єó $\nu=$ " the deity who bids us be discreet, or, bids
us not butcher in cold blood." Probably no deity by name is intended: certainly not aiō $\begin{gathered}s \\ s\end{gathered}$ which is feminine.-riovga is from $\tau i \omega$, to honour : which is not elsewhere used in Euripides: $\tau \grave{i} \omega$ in Aesch., but $\tau \frac{1}{\omega} \omega$ in
 "थ $\chi \theta$ pas $=$ "the hatred of which I am the olject."-Cf. 191, 469 , and Livy xxxv. 18, meis criminibus. Pf.

101 $_{4}, 5$. See N.-There are three ways of translating, or rather of paraphrasing, this passage. I. "Henceforward should you speak of me as visiting you (after my death) with vengeance, and (in my life) noble (in not asking for life)." For this meaning of $\pi \rho 0 \sigma t$., see Antipho, 119, 6, and Chocph. 287. This version, with P., I believe to be the best.-II. $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \tau \rho o ́ \pi a \iota o \nu ~ \gamma \epsilon \nu \nu a i ̂ o \nu ~ \tau \epsilon="$ vel improbum vel egregium :" tr. "Call me the criminal or the noble, which you will." So Pfl. and B.-III. $\pi \rho$. $=$ "supplicem," $\gamma \epsilon \nu \nu a i ̂ o \nu(u s e d ~ i r o n i c a l l y)=" t i m i$ tium." So Elm., who thinks that $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \tau \rho$., which strictly means a suppliant for purification, here means a suppliant for life, and quotes Aj. II73, Philoct. 930. The objection to this version is that Eurystheus' tone is the reverse of supplex and timidus.-For $\tau \grave{\partial} \nu \pi \rho$. cf. note on 978 .
1024. Tr., with L. and Sc., "For, as to the body, I will not hesitate to commit it to the (Athenian) soil." [But P. would render "I will not disobey the state."]- $\sigma \hat{\omega} \mu a$ is an accusative of respect, as in 492, 495 : but some would govern it by supplying ôoóval.-This is a curious and feeble pretence of obeying the wish of Athens: but the plot, such as it is, requires that Eurystheus should be killed, and buried in Athenian soil, and yet be friendly to Athens: so that he may, as he now proceeds to do, prophesy against the future enemies of Athens, the descendants of the Heracleidae. And thus Eurystheus, as regards hostility to Athens, changes sides at his death.
1026. $\kappa \tau \epsilon i v \epsilon$, present tense, slay on; continue, complete, the slaying.
1027. For катŋठ́є́ $\theta \eta=$ "was ashamed to," cf. Ion 179, ктeivelv o' ìuâs aiôoû $\mu a \iota$, speaking of birds that frequent the temple. But aiồ's


1028. $\delta \omega \rho \eta \dot{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\mu} a t$ is here used as Donare aliquem aliquo: but sometimes as Donare aliquid alicui.
1029. With $\mu \epsilon i \zeta o \nu a$ supply w $\phi \in \lambda i a v$, and tr. "to a greater extent than mere seeming "
rozo. ovi $\tau \grave{\grave{o}} \mu \dot{\rho} \rho \sigma \tau \mu 0 \nu$. In these words he alludes to the $\chi \rho \eta \sigma \mu \dot{\circ}$, with the purport of which he thus presents the Athenians.
1031. For the burial of Eurystheus, Elm. qu. Strabo at length. $\pi a ́ p o t \theta \epsilon=$ "in front of (the temple of Athena of Pallene): " cf. note on 849.
1032. $\sigma o l$, that is, to the chorus. - For $\sigma \omega T \eta$ plos, cf. 402.
1033. $\mu$ '́тowos: look out this word. -It is used of the dead, buried out of their own land, in Aesch. Pers. 319, and Choeph. 684. P.
1035. For $\chi \in \rho l$ cf. 337.-Here Eurystheus is made to threaten Argos, and the Peloponnesians generally.
1036. Tr. "Having proved thankless for this favour" (which you have now shewn them): so L. and Sc. Cf. Soph. Aj. I267, xápıs


1037, 8. For $\pi \rho \circ \hat{\sigma} \sigma \tau \eta \tau \epsilon$, cf. note on $306 .-\pi \hat{\omega}$ s oũ $\nu=$ " you ask, why, if I foreknew this, did I come hither, and did not $\& c$. ."- $\alpha \lambda \lambda$ ' ov is
 the sense of $\epsilon \nu \tau \rho \dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \sigma \theta a l$, to reverence and obey, cf. Aesch. Suppl. $47^{78}$,

 with the verb.

10+0-2. For $\chi$ oas see L. and Sc. ; and with $\chi$ oàs, supply $\sigma \pi \in l \sigma \eta$,
 instance of Zeugma. Cf. index.-Cf. $\mu \grave{\eta}$ $\mu 0 l \pi \rho_{0} \phi a \sigma \iota \nu$, Ar. Ach. 345, and for the elliptical acc. cf. Madvig Gk. Synt. § 32.- 1 is = "on to, so as to fall on." So Xenophon speaks of $\sigma \phi \dot{a} \zeta \epsilon \iota v$ єis tòv то́таноע.-Tr. "But pour me no libations, nor suffer blood to drop on to my tomb: for in return for this present treatment (i.e. of myself by Alcmena and the Heracleidae, regarding $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \epsilon$ as neuter), evil will be the journey home that I shall give them (that is, give their posterity invading Athens)."-B. qu. Ennius from Cicero Tusc. Quaest. I. I5, Nemo me lacrumis decoret, neque funera fletu Faxit; and, for ai $\mu$ a, refers to the slaughter of Polyxena at the tomb of Achilles.

The version of this passage given above is that supported by Elm. and P. But observe that there is another possible rendering. "Omit not to pour libations, \&c. ; for (if you do so offer libations) I will give to their posterity (aúroîs) instead of them ( $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \hat{\delta} \epsilon$, the Heracleidae here present, masculine) an evil return home." In favour of this interpretation, see note on $\begin{gathered} \\ a \\ \omega\end{gathered}$, line 805 , and the verse of the Iliad there quoted. $\bar{\epsilon} \dot{a} \omega$ is used in a similar sense in Xen. Cyrop. vil. 5.9, $\tau \alpha \hat{u} \tau \alpha$ єं $\omega \mu \in \nu$ ö́ $\alpha$, крєit $\tau \omega$
$\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau i \tau \hat{\eta} s \dot{\eta}_{\mu} \epsilon \in \epsilon p a s$ ôvváacces, in Demosth. Left., line ro, and in many other passages. And observe that, in $10_{4}$, rovi $\sigma \boldsymbol{\sigma}$ certainly does refer to the Heracleidae.
1043. ôı $\boldsymbol{1} \lambda 0 \hat{\nu} \nu \kappa$ ќ́ $\rho \delta o s$. It was scarcely a double gain ; but, as the next line shews, he regards one event from two points of view. The benefit to the Athenians would arise from the injury to the Peloponnesians, the descendants of the Heracleidae : the two facts are just the opposite sides of the same shield.
$10^{2}$, 6. With $\epsilon l$, supply $\epsilon \sigma \tau i:=$ "since."-For катєp\%., "achieve," cf. Hdt. III. 65 , к. т $\grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \mu \circ \nu i \eta \nu$.-For $\epsilon_{\xi}^{\xi}$, cf. Soph. Phil. 260, $\hat{\omega} \pi a \hat{\imath}$

1050. See N.-This proposal to throw Eur. to the dogs is not consistent with Alcmena's promise in 1023 , 4. Possibly, ( I ) in her rage, she forgot that promise ; or (2) she wished to frighten Eurystheus; or ( 3 , and so Pfl.) thinking the burying was no business of hers, she would leave his body to the dogs unless it was claimed by the Athenians, or (4) $\pi v \rho i$ should be read for $\kappa v \sigma i$, or ( 5 , and most probable of all conjectures) Euripides himself forgot his former line.

105 I . For $\mu \dot{\eta} \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \pi i \sigma \eta s$ ön ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{s}$ with the future indicative, see note on $24^{8}$, also 16 r .
 respect, $=$ "quod ad nos attinet." At any rate the meaning is "the
 $\kappa \alpha \lambda \hat{\omega}$ in 369 . -The chorus would say: " we will not cause any bloodguiltiness to Demophon : we will have nothing to do with this butchery."

## ENGLISH INDEX.

Figures with $n$ refer to the Critical ; the others, to the Explanatory Notes.

Abstract for concrete, 52 accusative absolute, 7, 177, 186, 506, 693 (with $\dot{\text { wis }}$ ) adverbial, 38 in apposition, $24 \mathrm{I}, 67 \mathrm{I}$, 759
by attraction, 759
cognate, 990
for dative, IIo
elliptical, 657 , 1040
of instrument of motion, 168, 802
of respect, $65,95,202$, $445,492,495,572$, $633,64 \mathrm{I}, 645,657$, $689,739,1024,1054$
active for middle, 816
adjective applied to two nouns, $130 n$
for adverb, $7 n$, $180 n$, $669,830,864,943$
adverb for adjective, 369 , 1055
aorist, $710 n, 13,146,165,232$, 320
frequentative, $54,332,522$, 613
participle with $\phi \theta \dot{\nu} \nu \omega, 120$
article as demonstrative, 291 , 823, 828
with quotation of epithet, 978,1015

Change of case, 693
gender, 745
change of person, 800
tense, 1007
comparative, superfluous, 297
compound adj. with subst. for genitive, 777
conditional sentences, 187, 892, 972
Cretic endings, $303,529,640,654$
Dative, causal, 474, 660, 701, 775,789 , 1010 ethic, 378
double question, 66 I
Epexegetic indicative, 821, 950 infinitive, $33,141,156$, 182, 301, 577
sentence, 780 subjunctive, 178
euphemism, 382, 511, 7 14, 910 , 946

Fearing, verbs of, $248,645,791$ future, 439, 934, 992

Genitive, 226, 365, 682 absolute, 66, 305, 416, 693
with adverbs of place, 584
of comparison, 233
denoting connexion, 213 , 379, 402, 447, 479
objective, 191, 469 , 10I3
genitive, partitive, 397,416
privative, 908
"proceeding from," 618, 870

Hebe, 9 r 8
hendiadys, 926
Homeric words, 749
Imperfect, 416, 682, 95 I
for aorist, 87
impersonals, 830
infinitive: see epexegetic for imperative, $3^{1} 3$

Lengthening vowels before mute and liquid, 753

Marathon, 32, 80, 393
middle voice, 380,615
denoting "in company with," 256,808
Mycenae, 191

Nominative in apposition, 72, 671
pendens, 40 for vocative, 52

Pallene, 849, 1031
parenthetic clause, 226
participle, aorist with $\phi \theta$ á $\nu \omega, 120$ concessive, $425,81_{4}$
future, $934,99^{2}$
present, 1003
passive for middle, 752 .
predicate, 55,62
preposition not repeated, 226, 755
present for past, $87 \mathrm{I}, 897$
Singular for plural, 212
subjunctive, jussive, 559
Tmesis, 231
tribrach, 2II $n, 1014 n$
Zeugma, 3ı $1,496,785,833,839$, 1041

## GREEK INDEX．

Figures with $n$ refer to the Critical ；the others，to the Explanatory Notes．
＇A yopaîos Zevis，үo
á $\gamma \omega \nu$ ľo ${ }^{2}$ aı，653， 795
aiṑs，6，43，101，200，460，474， 813，1027， 1037
aipeбөat， $504 n, 504,986,991$
aí $\chi$ úvŋ， 200
aiбхย์ขоมаи， 541
aix $\chi \eta, 276$
ai $\omega v, 900$
а́кท́риктоs， 89
áкро日ivov，861
à $\lambda \dot{\eta} \tau \alpha \nu, 614 n, 51,224$
ả入кท่，711，761
$\alpha \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha}, 565 ;=$＂at，＂hortative， 807
à $\lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \sigma \sigma \epsilon \epsilon \nu, 317,346,1000$
d．$\lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ ov $=$ кal où， 1038
à $\lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ oủ $\gamma \epsilon, 689$
ац $\mu$ ало̀े， 75
ац $\mu \eta \chi$ ауєіे, $49^{2}$

ลั้，344， $4^{15}, 721,972,1005,1008$
àvaぞia， 526
$\dot{\alpha} \nu \in \iota \mu \in \nu_{0} \nu \in i s, 3$
ä้є七 $\mu$ ，fut．of $\begin{gathered}\text { é }\end{gathered} \chi$ одаи， 209
वं $\nu \grave{\rho} \rho=$ unus homo， $80_{7}^{7}$
àvti，superfluous， 58
ăv $\tau$ 入os， 168
á乡เó $\omega, 917,947$
äтaipeıv， 67
ȧтаıтєì，220， 697
ảтเбтєiv， 968,1024
àтобтєрєiv， 5 I
äтoti $\sigma a \sigma \theta a l, 852,882$
$\alpha ँ \pi \omega \theta \in \nu, 674$
ajpa， $895 n$ ，1т6， 640
ăp $\neq \alpha \iota, 840$
$\dot{\alpha} \rho \iota \theta \mu \dot{\prime} s, 997$
a．$\rho \kappa \in \in \omega, 3^{2} 3,576,827,953$
àp $\mathrm{\epsilon tas}, 753$
a $\sigma \pi i s$ ，collectively， 932
äт $\quad$ ， 007
aü̈ท̀े， 646
aúròs aúroû， $8 \mathrm{I}_{4}$
au่า $\omega$ ， $143^{n}$
au’x $\hat{\omega}, 333,353,832,93$
Baiveıv and its compounds，with accus．，168，802， 845
вєßакш̀s， 9 го
$\beta \in \beta$ ával， 610
$\beta \epsilon \beta \eta \lambda$ os， 404
$\beta \iota \omega ́ \sigma \iota \mu \mathrm{os}, 605$
$\beta \rho \epsilon ́ \mu \omega, 83^{2}$
$\beta p \in \dot{\tau} a s, 93^{6}$
T $\dot{\rho} \rho, 12,234,302,600$
$\boldsymbol{\gamma \epsilon}, 632,683,856$
limiting adverbs， $203 n$
$\delta \epsilon \gamma \epsilon, 109$
үє́ $\mu \in \nu \tau 0 \iota, 593,637$
emphasizing nouns， 562
participles， $263 n$
$\gamma \in \nu o ́ s=$ birth， 45
$\gamma \nu \omega \sigma \iota \mu a \chi \epsilon i \nu, 706$
$\Delta a i \mu \omega \nu, 935$
$\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ ，emphasizing the following word， 257， $5^{65}$
$\delta \epsilon \quad \gamma \epsilon, 109$
ठє $\epsilon$ ข̀ $\nu, 468,562$
$\delta \in \hat{v} \rho o$ for $\epsilon \nu \theta \dot{\alpha} \delta \epsilon, 663$
$\delta \eta{ }^{2}, 53,331,484,516$
סทї́ $\omega$ ， 995 и
іпро̀， 69
סьорра́⿱кн，I4
סıєрүá̧oual，passive， 174
$\delta \iota \eta ่ \lambda a \sigma \epsilon \nu \sigma \epsilon \in, 788$
Sikalos $\epsilon$ โขal， $\mathrm{I}_{42}, 776$
$\delta \iota \omega ́ \kappa \epsilon \iota$ ，intransitive， 612
ঠокทَбаข， 186
бок $\eta \sigma \omega, 245$
סокои́ขт $\omega \nu, 897$
סou入ó $\omega$ ，active for middle， 817
$\delta \rho \hat{\nu} \nu$ and $\pi \alpha \theta \in i ̂ \nu$ ，antithesis， $17 \sigma$ ， 424
ठvб $\emptyset \eta \mu \in \hat{\nu}$, transitive， 600
ошрєїб $\theta a l$ ， 1028

## ＇Eá $\omega, 805,1041$

$\epsilon l$ ，with fut．indic．after verbs of emotion， 645
єโ $\because \in, 73 \mathrm{I}, 74^{\circ}$
єiкর̧́єєข， 677
$\epsilon l \mu i$ ，see $\epsilon$ है $\sigma \tau \iota$
civi， 893
$\epsilon$ єॉт $\tau \rho, 563$
$\epsilon \check{\rho \gamma} \epsilon \in \nu, 662,963$
eis， 60,1041 for $\epsilon \nu, I_{47}$
$=$＂against，＂ $3^{1} 3,3^{87}$
єiтa，429，816
$\epsilon \kappa=$＂after，＂ 939
$=$＂son of，＂ 1046
$\epsilon \kappa \pi \rho \epsilon \pi \pi \epsilon \nu, 597$
$\epsilon \bar{\epsilon} \lambda u^{\prime} \nu \epsilon เ \nu, 904,1007$
$\epsilon \bar{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon \gamma \chi \epsilon เ \nu, 404$
ย้ $\lambda \in \gamma \chi 05,404,905$
є $\lambda \epsilon \dot{\prime} \theta \epsilon \rho \frac{1}{}, 868$
${ }^{\prime} E \lambda \lambda \eta \eta^{2}$, feminine，$r_{3}$ o
$\epsilon{ }^{\epsilon} \mu \beta a \tau \epsilon \cup \cup \epsilon เ \nu, 876$
$\epsilon^{\epsilon} \mu \pi \rho \dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \iota \nu, 407$
$\epsilon \nu$ ，for $\epsilon i s, 77$
${ }^{\epsilon} \nu \nu 0 \nu$ ，of the mind， 709
$\epsilon \xi \bar{\epsilon} \gamma \epsilon \lambda \lambda$ л $\mu a \iota, 53 \mathrm{I}$
$\epsilon \xi \in \epsilon \rho \gamma a \sigma \mu \hat{L} \nu 0 \nu, 960$
$\epsilon \xi{ }^{\circ} \nu, 7$


$\epsilon \pi a \lambda \lambda a \chi \theta \in i s, 836$
є̇ $\pi \epsilon i \chi \chi \in, 847$
$\epsilon \pi \in \lambda \theta \omega \nu$ ，in hostile sense， $355 n$
${ }^{\epsilon} \pi{ }^{\prime} \eta \dot{\eta} \kappa 00 s$ ，with genitive， 119
$\dot{\epsilon} \pi i$ ，with accusative， 50 ，，dative， 777 n， 135,482
，genitive， 238
$\epsilon \bar{\epsilon} \pi l \phi \theta_{0}$ vos with infinitive， 202
${ }_{\epsilon} \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \mu \nu \dot{\prime} s, \dot{a} \pi$ ．$\lambda \epsilon \gamma$ ．in Eur．， 218
ёркоя， 44 I
є́ри́кш， 69 I

Ё $\sigma \tau \eta \kappa \epsilon=\tilde{\epsilon} \sigma \tau l, 74,400$
ย̈ $\sigma \tau \iota, 29,210$
$\epsilon \in \sigma \tau l$ ，beginning a line， 386 n
$\epsilon \sigma \chi \epsilon=$＂cessavit，＂or＂cohibuit，＂
$924 n, 924$

є $\tau \iota, 500,53^{8}$
ยттоцоя， 502
єṽ̌apıs， 894
$\epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \hat{\eta} \kappa \epsilon$ ，in hostile sense， $393 n$
єौхоцаи，380， $49^{8}$


Z $\eta$ 入oûv， 865
＂H，epexegetic， 298
ที $\pi 0 v, 55$
ท̆ $\tau 0 九$ äpa， 651
ท̈кєเs for $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \dot{\eta} \kappa \in เ$ ，s， 213
$\dot{\eta} \lambda \iota \kappa i a, 706$
$\bar{\eta} \nu, 416,682$
ทㄴしка àv， $73^{6}$



Өєiv $\omega, 27 \mathrm{I}, 685$
$\theta \epsilon \in \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu$ for $\beta$ oú $\lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta a l, 13,134,200$ ， 561， 828
＊Iotos for olkeîos， 146
iסpúєтal，397， 786
२бт $\eta \mu, 74,400,937$

Käض $\mu \in \nu 0$ ， 394 каөl乡еเข， 66
кal $\gamma \dot{\alpha}, \rho, 99^{8}$
кal $\mu \dot{\eta} \nu \gamma \epsilon, 118$
kalptos， 471
карабокйע， 279
катá $\boldsymbol{\epsilon เ \nu ,} 949$
катáp $\chi \in \sigma \theta a t, 529 n, 529,601$
катабтє́фєเข，124， 226 n
катà $\sigma \tau o ́ \mu \alpha, 8$ or
катєє $\chi \epsilon เ \nu, 83 n, 83$
катךүорєîv， 418
катоккєì and катоккǐєєข， 35
$\kappa \in \cup ́ \theta \epsilon \iota \nu 762,(778 n), 879$
$\kappa \lambda \alpha l \epsilon เ \nu, 445$
$\kappa \lambda$ ย́єเข， 847,853
коб $\mu \in i v, 568,725$
крiveเข for $\pi \rho \circ к \rho i \nu \epsilon เ \nu, 19712$
кขрєіิ， 374
ィarđáveเข， $3^{6}$
入a ò̀s，671， 728
$\lambda a \mu \pi \rho o ̀ s, 280$
$\lambda \epsilon \gamma \omega=$＂I bid，＂ 950
$\lambda \in i \pi \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota, 732$
$\lambda \dot{n} \theta \epsilon \iota \nu, 778$
$\lambda$ irus， 892
$\lambda \omega \tau$ òs， 893
Maprupeîv， 219
$\mu \epsilon$ ，emphatic， $79^{\circ}$
$\mu \epsilon \in \epsilon เ \nu, 96,354,7 \mathrm{II}, 713,717$
$\mu \in \lambda \in{ }^{\circ}{ }^{\circ}, \sigma_{22}$
$\mu \epsilon ́ \mu \nu \eta \mu a \iota, 741$
$\mu \hat{\epsilon} \mu \psi \iota \nu$ еैं $\chi \epsilon \nu, 974$
$\mu \dot{\nu} \nu$ ，omitted， $8_{47}, 873$
with $\tau \epsilon, 335$
$\mu \in ̀ \nu$ oủ $\nu, 936,9+2$
$\mu E ́ \nu \tau 0 \iota, 520$
$\mu \in \tau \dot{a}, 217$
$\mu \in \tau \epsilon \in \chi \in L \nu, 8,629,683$
$\mu$ е́тоькоя，IO33
$\mu \eta$ jó $\bar{\eta} \mathrm{ns}$ wis，with fut．indic．， 160 denoting fear， 384
denoting indefinite class， 903 epexegetic， 506
indirect question，with pres． indic．， 482
$\mu \dot{\eta}$ ，in supposed cases，$=$＂if not，＂ 283，328， 533
ö $\pi \omega$ ，with fut．indic．， 248 ， 1051
with subj．aorist， $548,558,654$
$\mu \hat{\eta} \chi a \rho, 495 n$
$\mu i \alpha \sigma \mu a, 558$
$\mu \nu \eta \mu \circ \nu \in \cup \cup \epsilon เ \nu, 470 n$
$\mu$ і̂pa， 899
$\mu \hat{\nu} \theta 05,784,812,95^{2}$

Níntos， 956

$\nu \iota \nu, 127$

Olкєîos， $146,419,634$
oíual， 5 11， 968
olos， $743 n, 743$
óкขєiv， 28
o่入о入uyウे， 782
o่入ои́ $\mu \in \nu 05,874$
ópl入la， 58 I
ō乡ú 7,727
ӧ $\pi \lambda \alpha, 695,699,720$
от $\pi$ it 7 S，699， 800
ö $\pi \omega$ as as final conjunction， 657
with future indic．， 248,420 ， 1051
op $\rho \zeta \epsilon \epsilon \nu, 16$
ôs for ö $\sigma \pi \epsilon \rho, 53$
ö $\sigma$ os， 719
ö $\sigma \tau \tau s, 328,409,414,527$
ö $\sigma \tau$ เs ầ $\nu, 966$
ov่ for oữє，608， 6 I5
oủkoûv
oưkouv $\}^{255} n, 11$ i， 97 I ， 1005
ov่ $\mu$ خे， 384
ou้ $\tau 0 \downarrow \gamma \in, \sigma_{4}$
оั้тє．．．$\tau \epsilon, 605$
oบ่า จบ゙т $\omega$ s， 374
oं $\phi \varepsilon$ i $\lambda \omega, 247$
ó申рún， 394
${ }^{\circ} \chi$ Oos，of the Acropolis， 781
ő $\chi$ 入os， 44

IIá入os， 546
$\pi a \rho d$ ，with accus．，295， 753
with dative $="$ in the mind of，＂201，370， 88 I
$\pi а р а \gamma \gamma^{e} \lambda \lambda \epsilon t \nu, 825,907$
тарацр $\hat{\nu}, ~ g \circ 8$
тap日Ėvos，adjective， 782
тaptéval， 153
тарiбтабөai，502，564， 590

$\pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma \iota \nu$ ，adverbial， 45 I 22
$\pi \epsilon \lambda$ ájecv， 44
$\pi \in \nu \epsilon \sigma \tau \eta s, 639$
$\pi \epsilon \pi \pi \nu, 159$
$\pi i \tau v \lambda o s, 834$
$\pi \lambda \epsilon \epsilon^{\circ}, 466$
$\pi \lambda \lambda^{2} \nu, 23 \mathrm{I}, 444$
$\pi$ ó 0 os＝＂cupido，＂ 299
жoios，indignant， 162
тоגvaivetos，761
$\pi$ oגúmovos， $93^{2}$
$\pi$ oû，indignant， 369,510
$\pi \rho a ́ \tau \tau \varepsilon \iota \nu$ ，with accus．，438，i94
$\pi \rho \in \sigma \beta \in \cup ́ \epsilon \iota \nu, 45,479$
$\pi \rho i \nu$ äv，180， $86_{5}$
$\pi \rho \grave{o}=\pi \epsilon \dot{\rho} \rho \alpha, 925$
$\pi \rho \circ \dot{\epsilon} \chi \epsilon เ \nu, 21$
$\pi \rho \circ \neq \nu \dot{\eta} \sigma \kappa \epsilon \nu, 59 \circ$
$\pi \rho о \pi i \tau \nu \in \iota, 618$
$\pi \rho o ̀ s$, adverb， 641
with genitive， 632
$\pi \rho \circ \sigma \hat{\eta} \kappa о \nu, 214$
$\pi \rho o ́ \sigma \theta \epsilon$ ，adverb of time，6S6 preposition， 657
$\pi \rho о \sigma \tau a \tau \epsilon \hat{\nu}, 349,9{ }^{6} 4$
тробтท̂vaı，306，349，1037
тробт ротайоя， 1015
$\pi \rho \circ \sigma \tau \rho о \pi \dot{\eta}$ ， 108
$\pi \rho \dot{\sigma} \sigma \phi \theta \in \gamma \mu a$ in singular not found in Euripides， 57312
$\pi \rho о ́ \sigma ф о \rho о s, 480$
тupyoû， 293
＇Pimetiv kivoivov， $\mathrm{I}_{4}$ S
р́ف́vขv $\mu, \sigma_{3} 6$

oxaùs＝＂gauche，＂258，458，67s
бофıбтท̀s， 993
$\sigma \tau \dot{\rho} \rho \nu v \sigma \iota$, aim．$\lambda \varepsilon \gamma ., 702$
$\sigma \tau \cup \gamma \epsilon i \nu, 722$
बv $\gamma \boldsymbol{\nu} \omega \omega \sigma \tau$ òs，435，981
бu入áw， 243
бvитолìтаı，826
бинфора̀，126， 236
बvva入入á⿱ $\sigma \epsilon เ \nu, 4$
бขขа́ттєเข，429， 459
$\sigma \nu \nu \epsilon \sigma \chi \circ ́ \mu \eta \nu, 634 n, 634$
бن́бтабเร， 415

$\sigma \omega$ Tiplos，402， 1032
$\sigma \omega \phi \rho \circ ้ \nu \omega$ ，1007， 1012

Та́ббоцаи， $66_{4}$
$\tau \epsilon \ldots$ кai＝＂quum，tum，＂ 469
$\tau \epsilon \ldots \tau \epsilon$ ，giving alternatives， 153
$\tau \epsilon \mu \nu \epsilon \iota \nu$ кivòvעov， $75^{8}$
тє́ $\mu \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta a u, 400$
тєòs， 9 II
тiӨпщє ка́ $\mu \nu \epsilon \iota, 990$
$\tau \iota$ ，adverb of manner，193，439，
$444,495,668,794$
$\tau$ ts，intensifying， $116,90 \mathrm{r}, 98 \mathrm{r}$
＝Fr．＂on，＂595，827， 866
$\tau i \quad \chi \rho \hat{\eta} \mu \alpha, 633$
$\tau i \omega$, IOI 3
$\tau \lambda \dot{\eta} \mu \omega \nu, 570$
тoйoûtos， 266
тò $\mu \eta \delta \dot{\iota} \nu$ ，indeclinable， 167
$\tau \rho i \beta \epsilon \iota \nu, 8_{4}$
тротаîos，867， 937
$\tau \dot{\tau} \mu \beta$ os， 167
ти́тоs， 858
＂$\Upsilon \beta$ рıs，18， 280
v̇ $\mu \in \dot{v}$ alos， 917
$\dot{\psi} \pi \epsilon \rho a \lambda \gamma \epsilon i \nu, 6$ I 9
$\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho \phi \epsilon \rho \epsilon \epsilon \nu, 554$
$\dot{v} \pi \eta$ そоoov，with dative， 286 i2
vinó，with dative， 10

$$
=" \text { to the time of," } 782
$$

$\Phi \epsilon \hat{v}$ ，of admiration， 535 ， $55^{2}$

## GREEK INDEX.

фé̛́reiv, 15, 913
$\phi \theta a ́ v \in เ \nu, 721$
$\phi \theta \in l \rho o u, 284$
$\phi \theta \iota \nu$ às $\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon ́ \rho a, 779$
фро́v $\eta \mu$ а $, 387,459,9=\varsigma$
фроитij $\omega, 680$
$\phi \rho \circ \nu \omega ̂ \nu, 258,933$

Xaî $\epsilon, 600,630$
$\chi$ х́́pts, $334,43^{8}, 54^{8}, 767,103^{6}$ $\chi \in i \rho=$ "a band of men," 337,1035
$\chi$ ра́одац, 714
$\chi \rho \in ́ o s, 95$

$\chi$ рої广єє, 915
$\chi$ wpis, $223^{12}$
${ }^{*} \Omega \tau \hat{\alpha} \nu, 688$
ís ( $\mu \grave{\eta}$ óóg̣ns $\dot{\omega} s$ ), 160
$\omega_{\omega}^{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon(=\omega \dot{s}), 423,675$
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